

In Alternatives:

'The Prime of Miss Jean Brodie,'
'Jean Brodie' Not in her Her
Prime, 'Gallipoli,' Grand Funk,
Anne Sexton, and More....

Statesman

Newspaper for the State University of New York
at Stony Brook and surrounding communities.

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1981
VOLUME 25, NUMBER 18

Enrollment Limit for CEAS Approved

By Todd Schall

The recent controversy of alleviating overcrowding in three College of Engineering and Applied Sciences (CEAS) courses ended Monday with the SUSB Senate Executive Committee's passing a proposal that limits the number of freshmen allowed to declare a major in CEAS departments.

The executive committee, a nine-member body that handles the day-to-day activities of the full senate had been

charged on Oct. 12 by the Senate to decide on a one year interim answer to the CEAS overcrowding problem.

The idea of limiting enrollment first arose last spring when University President John Marburger called for a solution.

The result was an interim proposal by the Admissions Committee to limit entry in these departments to two-thirds freshmen and one-third transfer students. The executive committee rejected the two-thirds to one-third basis. Instead, the proposal was altered and calls for CEAS departments to limit the number of freshmen allowed to declare CEAS majors and to offer a statement to freshmen not immediately accepted emphasizing that there are no guarantees of acceptance in the future.

According to SUSB Senate President Alfred Goldhaber, "The proposals we have passed are to protect the students. In the past there were no limitations on the number of students allowed to declare their major in CEAS departments. However, problems arose when due to overcrowding students were not able to take the courses they needed to fulfill their graduation requirements in the usual time."

"We had a number of options we could have taken," said Goldhaber. "We could have simply warned all the students who expressed interest in CEAS areas that due to overcrowding they might not

be able to complete all their required classes as scheduled. This, however, was seen as not a strong enough action."

"Instead," Goldhaber said, "we passed a plan allowing each department of CEAS to decide on its own the number of freshmen they will admit as majors."

The proposal will take effect in the Fall 1982 semester, for incoming freshmen, if approved by Marburger which is expected.

The Executive Committee has been directed by the Senate to reach a permanent plan by early spring. According to Goldhaber this should include a more comprehensive approach in defining overcrowded courses.

Babak Movahedi, the student representative to the SUSB Senate, said that he is against the proposal and voted against it. A vote was taken at the meeting only upon Movahedi's request. The vote was 6-1-0, with Movahedi the only no vote. Movahedi voted no with a note: "Even though this is the only solution to the overcrowding of the engineering school, I cannot as the student representative vote for a motion to limit entry to any department. Furthermore, it is against my philosophical beliefs to request that students decide their majors prior to entering this institution, which to me destroys the value of general education."

Movahedi emphasized that the proposal passed verbally only and that the



Babak Movahedi, undergraduate representative to the SUSB Senate Executive Committee, opposed the plan to limit enrollment in certain College of Engineering and Applied Sciences courses.

written proposal, when written by Goldhaber, will be distributed to the executive committee members and Marburger simultaneously.

Lawyers Seek Records From Shock Incident

By Mitchell Wagner

The university, the University Hospital and the Attorney General of the State of New York are among the parties who have been served with an "order to show cause," requiring them to appear in the Supreme Court of the County of Queens today, and prove to Judge Joseph Calabretta that they should not be required to release all records pertaining to Sharon Grossman, according to Steve Tannenbaum of the firm Reichenbaum and Silverstein, attorneys for the Grossman family.

Grossman, 20, died at 6 AM on Oct. 18, following an allergy inoculation she received at the Infirmary Oct. 6. She went into anaphylactic shock minutes after receiving the injection, and became comatose. She never regained consciousness.

The injection was administered by John Mehrling, who is also a litigant in the case. Mehrling, a Port Jefferson immunologist, has worked in the Infirmary for 18 years. He left the Infirmary by mutual consent following Grossman's collapse.

Hospital Spokesman Jim Rhatigan said the order was served on Thursday, Oct. 22. Rhatigan included the Stony Brook Volunteer Ambulance Corps and the University Health Service among those served. "Since the matter is under litigation the hospital is not going to comment," Rhatigan said.

Jim Black, vice-president for University Affairs said the attorney general is representing the university. Should Calabretta find for the Grossmans, the University, the University Hospital, the Volunteer Ambulance Corps, and Mehrling would be stayed from, "altering the documents," pertaining to the case, and it would also require them to quarantine the particular batch of serum from which Grossman received her injection.

According to a spokesman for the Suffolk County Medical Examiner's office, the case is "still pending further study," so no information can be released by them. The Grossman case was referred to the office following Grossman's death, an action which is "typical in any unexpected death," Rhatigan said.

More Win Voting Rights Upstate But at SB, it's Wait 'till Next Year

By Danielle Milland

Whether to allow students to vote at their college campuses as opposed to returning home or filing an absentee ballot has been decided favorably in five counties, however, Suffolk is not one of them.

Ulster County, "the most recalcitrant county" according to Donald Ross, the Executive Director of the New York Public Interest Research Group (NYPIRG) started allowing students to register two weeks ago for next week's election. The decision to allow students to vote in Ulster could be due to a precedent set last October when 11 students from SUNY Albany, NYPIRG and the Student Assembly for State Universities (SASU), succeeded in winning a lawsuit filed against the Albany County Board of Elections. Even though the case was won, it was not binding to all Albany college students and as a consequence the U.S. District Court Judge, Neil McCurn had to sign a court injunction forcing the Albany County Board of Elections to allow all students to register. A few weeks ago Ulster County also received a court injunction.

So far, Albany and Ulster Counties have been the only counties where a court injunction has been necessary.

Onondaga, Broome and Clinton counties voluntarily decided to allow students to vote in their campus communities.

NYPIRG and SASU have been working jointly to solve this problem. "There have been few developments since last year, it is a slow process," Ross said.

"So far it has been a county by county decision," SASU spokesman Marilyn Appleby said. "It is a shame so few counties have allowed students to vote up to now."

For Stony Brook all this means is that it will take time. NYPIRG Project Coordinator, Jim Leotta feels that if the present trend of allowing students to vote continues, there is a good possibility that the remaining counties could appeal to the United States Court of Appeals thereby perhaps making the decision statewide.

SASU is currently preparing a statewide case for all students who have tried to register in their respective college communities and have been rejected. SASU plans to decipher why they have been rejected.

"We don't think this case is going to lose unless the judge reverses his own ruling," Leotta said. He added that despite the time component he is hopeful for the future.



Donald Ross, executive director of the New York Public Interest Research Group, which is fighting for students' voting rights.