

## **360 - Degree Evaluations of Senior EM Residents: A Necessary Evil**

Gregory Garra, DO · Andrew Wackett, MD · Henry Thode, PhD

Department of Emergency Medicine, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY

#### Background:

- The ACGME recommends multisource feedback (MSF) evaluation of resident performance.
- The utility of MSF for EM trainees is untested.

### **Objective:**

 To determine the feasibility of an MSF program and evaluate the intra and interclass correlation of a previously reported resident professionalism evaluation - The Humanism Scale (HS).

#### **Methods:**

#### **Study Design**

Survey

#### Subjects

Ten EM - 3 residents

#### **Measures**

- An anonymous 9 item modified HS (EM HS) was distributed to the ED nursing staff, attending physicians and patients (figure 1). Patient surveys consisted of questions 4-9.
- The evaluators rated resident performance on a
   1 9 scale ( needs improvement to outstanding).
- Residents were asked to complete a self evaluation of performance using the same scale.

#### **Analysis**

- Generalizability coefficients were used to assess the reliability within evaluator classes.
- The mean score for each of the 9 questions provided by each evaluator class was calculated for each EM resident.
- Correlation coefficients were used to evaluate correlation between rater classes for each question on the EM -HS.
- Generalizability coefficients and correlations > 0.70 were deemed acceptable.

#### figure 1

#### The Emergency Medicine Humanism Scale

Resident:

#### Nursing Evaluation of Resident Staff

Please circle appropriate rating for each question. If unable to evaluate, leave blank.

Ability to coopertate with medical colleagues								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Need	Needs Improvement			Satisfactory			Outstanding	l

Ability t	o cooper	ate with n	nurses					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8
Needs Improvement			Satisfactory			(	Outstanding	9

Ability to cooperate with ancillary medical staff (Clerks, Clinical Assistants)								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Needs Improvement				L Satisfactory	/	(	ା Outstanding	3

### Quality of physician-patient relationship 1 2 3 4 5 Limitations:

Needs Improvement Satisfactory Single-center study

## Ability to render comfort and empathy 1 2 3 4 5 Needs Improvement Satisfactory

Involve	ment of p	atient in	decision i	making
1	2	3	4	5
Need	ds Improve	ment	;	Satisfactory

- Single-center study
- Modified surveyMemory biases
- such as context effect, mood congruent memory bias and distinctve encoding
- Not designed to assess validation

# Consideration of patients' concerns 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Needs Improvement Satisfactory Outstanding

Ability t	o place p	atients at	ease					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Need	ds Improve	ment		Satisfactory	/	(	 Outstanding	9

Ability to admit one's own errors								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Nee	ds Improve	ment		Satisfactory	/	(	ົ Outstanding	]

Thank you

### Results:

- EM HS's were obtained from 44 nurses and 12 attending physicians.
- Each resident had an average of 18 evaluations by ED patients at the point of care.
- Reliability within evaluator class was acceptable:  $E\rho^2$  0.79, 0.83 and 0.77 for attendings, nurses and patients, respectively.
- Inter-class reliability was poor for all pairs of evaluator types (table 1).

## Pearson Correlations of Mean Total Resident Scores Between Evaluator Classes

	Correlation of Total Score ( questions 1 - 9 )	Correlation of Total Score ( questions 4 - 9 )
Attending-Nurse	0.62	0.69
Attending-Resident	-0.08	0.01
Attending-Patient	-	0.13
Nurse-Resident	-0.35	-0.29
Nurse-Patient	-	0.13
Resident-Patient	-	0.21

#### **Conclusions:**

- · Intraclass reliability was acceptable for all evaluator types.
- Ratings were not consistent across rater classes, confirming the utility of MSF instruments.