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## PREFACE.

It has been calculated that the cuneiform texts selected for publication in Series A of The Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania, edited by me, will require from twelve to fifteen volumes. This number may be increased, if the importance of the new material to be obtained through our continued excavations in Nippur should require it. The first and second parts of Vol. I have been in the hands of Assyriologists for some time; Part 3 will be issued in the near future. Vols. II, III, VI, X, XI are in the course of preparation.

The Neo-Babylonian texts published in the following seventy-two plates form Vol. IX. They belong to the general class of the so-called "contract tablets." All of them being taken from the archives of a certain family well known as a flourishing business firm during the second half of the fifth century B.C., they possess a unique value, which is augmented by the fact that they constitute the first large collection of cuneiform documents dated in the reign of Artaxerxes I. Vol. X will bring a further selection of representative texts from the same archives, dated in the reign of Darius II., the successor of Artaxerxes.

Notwithstanding there are many points of contact between our tablets and the large amount of contract literature already published, the documents here submitted contain so much new material, otherwise inaccessible, that I do not need to offer an apology for their publication. A number of new words occur in our texts for the first time; other words, forms and phrases receive a new meaning, and Persian loan words appear more frequently than in earlier inscriptions. Many of the business transactions refer to the cultivation of the ground around Nippur, frequently mentioning the different plants and seeds used for orchards and fields; others deal with the lease of canals and facilities for irrigation, or furnish us important information concerning the payment of taxes by the different classes of the population. A large portion of the land is in the hands of Persians, who fre-
quently do not care to attend in person to their large estates in the hot and malarious Babylonian country, but lease their fields and other property to the firm of "Murashû Sons" and live from their revenues in the cities with their manifold luxuries and attractions. From Babylonian documents we are thus enabled to confirm and supplement what the Greeks tell us about the large number of Persians settled in the various provinces, ${ }^{1}$ and from direct and trustworthy sources we can control the statements of the classical writers as to the heavy taxes levied by the Persian kings upon their Babylonian subjects. We get a glance of the life and local administration in the richest province of the large empire at the time of Ezra and Nehemiah, and we become familiar with the names and titles of Persian officers--among them the dâtabâri known from Daniel 3:2f.-who were stationed all over the fertile plain between the lower Euphrates and the Tigris, to gather the taxes and to look after the interests of their government.

The numerous proper names of our documents prove of exceptional value. In order to make the rich linguistic material contained in them accessible even to those who are not familiar with the cuneiform writing of the originals, I have attached to this volume a complete "Concordance of Proper Names." For often enough it happens that the most important material is not found in the names of witnesses and scribes, usually given in similar publications, but in those of their fathers and in the many other names found in the inscriptions. With the intention of facilitating the tiresome but essential work of those who are engaged in the compilation of dictionaries of Babylonian proper namesat least three of them are in the course of preparation-I have cited every passage in which a name occurs. In gathering the scattered material I have been able to supplement a great number of mutilated names, enclosed in brackets, and to restore broken lines of the text itself. Where a name in its alphabetical order appears for the first time in the Concordance I have transliterated it syllabically or ideographically, according to the manner in which it is written in the cuneiform texts. In all the other places the syllabic writing has been abandoned, the name, if intelligible, being simply divided into the words of which it is composed. Whenever the etymology of a name appeared absolutely certain long syllables have been marked as such.

The numerous foreign names are characterized by a little asterisk placed before them. If foreign origin seemed doubtful, this asterisk has been omitted. For it seemed wiser to give rather too little than too much in a first attempt to discriminate between the Babylonian and foreign proper names of our inscriptions, especially as comparatively but little has yet been done for the analysis and understanding of ancient Semitic proper names. ${ }^{3}$ Every-
${ }^{1}$ Cf. also Eduard Meyer, Die Entstehung des Judenthums, p. 25.
${ }^{2}$ For the different ways in which this title is written in the cuneiform documents of this volume, ef. the Introduction, "Proper Names," p. 28, note 1.
${ }^{3}$ Greater energy has recently been shown in the investigation of Hebrew proper names. Among the several
one who has occupied himself with their study, is aware of the extraordinary difficulties which are constantly encountered, partly because no satisfactory collections of all the proper names occurring in the inscriptions of the different Semitic dialects have been made, partly because certain words and phrases of which the proper names are composed, are met with in several Semitic languages, partly because accidentally names of entirely different origin may sound alike in languages which have little or no relation with each other. Much remains to be done before we shall enjoy works similar to those of which the different branches of Indo-European philology can boast. And here I acknowledge with particular pleasure and gratitude the valuable assistance which I have received from Justi's Iranisches Namenbuch, a monument of human patience, industry and scholarship, which ought to be in the hands of every Assyriologist. If it had been published a year earlier, when I began my collection of Persian names from the classical writers, I should have been saved considerable time and labor.

Many of the tablets discovered bear impressions of seals and seal cylinders, as illustrated by Plates V-VII, IX-XI, some of them of rare beauty and clearly betraying Persian influence in design and execution (cf. especially Pls. IX-XI). Others have brief Aramaic legends, either incised in the clay ( $\mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{VIII}, ~ N o s . ~ 9,11$ ) or inscribed upon its surface ( Pl . VIII, No. 10). The black color used in the latter case as writing material has mostly faded away, so that often little but faint traces indicates the former existence of letters. As I have a larger work entitled Vorstudien zur Altersbestimmung Babylonischer Siegel und Siegelcylinder in the course of preparation, the first part of which, containing the seal impressions of the tablets from our archives, will appear within a year, I have refrained from giving their complete reproductions in the present volume. Oppert, who was the pioneer in the interpretation of legal documents, was also the first to work successfully upon seals and seal cylinders. To him, Menant, and a few others, we owe chiefly what we know about Babylonian and Assyrian glyptology to-day. Their work and efforts, valuable in more than one respect, deserve our full appreciation. But we still lack the means of determining the age of most Babylonian seal cylinders even approximately. In order to obtain it, we must change the method hitherto employed. First of all we must undertake the tedious and often difficult task ${ }^{1}$ of studying the seal impressions on the dated documents of the different periods, and we must gather, classify and publish all of them
books and papers devoted to this subject, which during the last years have been added to the earlier publications of Olshausen, Nöldeke, Nestle, Stade. Schrader, Friedrich Delitzsch and others, I call attention to G. B. Gray, Studies in Hebrew Proper Names, and those chapters in Hommel's Altisraelitische Forstellungen which deal with Hebrew and Arabic proper names.
${ }^{1}$ From lack of space on most of the earliér documents, especially on those of the second dynasty of Ur and of the first dynasty of Babylon, the seal cylinders were rolled over the inscribed portions of the tablets. In consequence of this peculiarity, the utangling of the bewildering mass of partly effaced wedges and feeble remains of pictorial representations appears often as a hopeless task even to the most experienced eye,
that are accessible in the public and private collections of Europe and America. Then it will be possible not only to determine the age of seals and seal cylinders more accurately, but also to trace the factors and influences which were active in the history and development of Babylonian seal engraving. The book announced above is intended as a beginning in filling a seriously felt gap in our present Assyriological literature.

The names of witnesses and other persons who left impressions of their seals, seal cylinders (both called lounukku), seal rings (un-qu(qa), un-qu hुurâsi (81: L. E.)), or their thumbmarks (su-pur, supur $=$ DUBBIN), ${ }^{1}$ or seal impressions and thumbmarks (kunukku $u$ supru ( 50 : R.), supru u un-qu ( $49:$ R.)), or different seal impressions at the same time (kunuklk u un-qu (32 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : Lo.E.) ), have been published for various reasons with the text of the documents. They enable us to form a correct idea of the customs and rules observed in connection with the sealing of tablets; they furnish us valuable variants for the reading of proper names and titles (cf. Ki-na-aplu (71:8) with Kîna(DU)-aplu (71: L. E.), E-a-bullit-su (80:7) with $\mathbf{E a}\left({ }^{a} \mathbf{B E}\right)^{2}$-bullit-su (80: R. E., also li. 2), ${ }^{n} u s$-tar-bà ${ }^{3}-r i(102$ : 16) with ${ }^{h} u s$-tar-ba-ri (102: L. E.)) ; occasionally they are accompanied by the names of the fathers not occurring in the transactions proper (cf. $75: 16$ with R. or $80: 2,7$ with R. E.) ; they supplement names and phrases mutilated or entirely broken off in the text (cf. $59: 19$ (end) with L. E., $86^{a}: 1$ (beginning) with U. E. (Ea-zittishu), $94^{a}: 12$ f. with R., $102: 8$ with O., L. E. \& R. E., $107: 9$ with L. E.) ; and there are even cases in which persons not mentioned in the text at all left their names and seal impressions on the tablet (cf. $55: \mathrm{R}$. (Ardi-Bêl), $82:$ L. E. (Zitti-Nab̂̂h ${ }^{\text {dààtabâra sha Avtarêmu), } 83: \text { Lo.E. (Bêl-nâdin-shumu), }}$ 92: R. E. (Dannâ), 102: R. (Aplâ)), apparently corresponding to such cases in the NeoBabylonian contract literature in which persons, for some reason present (cf. Kohler in Peiser, Babyl. Verträge, p. XLII, § 11, end), are introduced by ina ashâbi sha, "in the presence of" (cf. 48: 37). The impression of the seal ring of a woman, Amat-Bêlit, is found on 53 : Lo. E.

In accordance with the law of excavations enforced in the Ottoman empire, all the tablets rescued from the archives of Murashû Sons were originally sent to Constantinople, where most of them are now deposited in the Imperial Archæological Museum.
${ }^{1}$ Cf, the fuller phrase şupur ${ }^{m} B a-g a-$-'mi-i-ri aplu sha mMit-ra-da-a-ti ku-um Funukfi-shu shu-um-da-a-tim, " the thumbmark (made with the thumb-nail) of B., s. of M., was placed (impressed upon the tablet) instead of his seal." Cf. $48: 34$. The pausal form of the permansive III 1 of $e m e \hat{e} d u$ (shumdàti) is perhaps due to the preceding Mitradâti. We also learn the gender of supru (fem.) from our passage. Cf. also un-qu parailli (Const. Ni. 558), siparri (565, 582).
${ }^{2}$ Still transliterated with Bèl by Delitzsch, Beitıäge zur Assyriologie, III, p. 391. So far as I know, $a B E$ denotes exclusively $E a$ in the Neo-Babylonian contract literature, as can be shown from several parallel passages in Strassmaier's text publications. Cf. the Introduction, "Proper Names," p. 23.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Brünnow, A Classified List, 7768. The sign can be easily mistaken for pa in our text. Cf. also p. 28, note 2.

But several boxes of antiquities were presented by His Imperial Majesty, the Sultan, to the undersigned editor in recognition of his services in connection with the reorganization of the Babylonian Section of the Imperial Museum (1893-1897). With the exception of a few specimens retained as a souvenir, I, on my part, presented this collection with other antiquities to the Babylonian Museum of the University of Pennsylvania, where they will be on exhibition as soon as the new building is finished.

A word remains to be said as to the division of work in the present publication. After I had examined and selected the material which was to appear in this volume, my pupil and friend, Dr. A. T. Clay, formerly instructor of Assyrian and Hebrew in the University of Pennsylvania, began the copying of the tablets under my supervision. The experience which he had previously obtained in the exercises of the Assyrian Seminar conducted by myself, and the patient devotion with which he performed his task, enabled him to produce very satisfactory results. When he had finished his work, I examined sign after sign once more critically, comparing his plates with the originals and changing, adding or removing wherever this was necessary. Of especially difficult or effaced passages I furnished the copies myself. Large portions of Nos. 65, $86^{\mathrm{a}}, 87,88,99,102$, the whole of No. $3^{\text {a }}$, which presented exceptional difficulties (cf. the Introduction, Palæography), and most of the shading in the texts, were added by my own hand, but it will be hard, I trust, to distinguish Dr. Clay's work from my own. For the names of witnesses and other cuneiform characters on the margin, for the Aramaic inscriptions, for all the critical notes printed on the plates, for the Introduction, Concordance of Proper Names, and Table of Contents, I am alone responsible. As each of us devoted more than a year of continuous work to the preparation of the plates, and as every line was transliterated and translated by myself, ${ }^{1}$ before the last critical examination of the copies began, we lay this edition before the Assyriological world, with the belief that it. will be found entirely trustworthy and prepared with the same care and exactness which secured for the previous publications of this Series such a favorable reception from all the critics.

Particular pains was taken again to imitate as faithfully as possible the different hands of the scribes, who frequently grouped the signs very closely together, writing scrawlingly and hastily. In the study of the history of cuneiform writing it is not less important to trace the factors active during the period of its decay than to untangle the mysteries which enshroud its beginnings. In any edition of Babylonian texts published for the first time, we must, in the interest of philological research as well as palæography, aim at reproducing all the scribal peculiarities rather than at grouping and drawing the single cuneiform signs according to our own personal taste, thus furnishing a subjective

[^0]interpretation instead of an objective picture of what is on the clay tablet or stone. The method to be employed must be different from what it was and is in the case of publishing Assyrian tablets from the royal library of Ashurbânapal copied by a comparatively small number of calligraphers from Babylonian originals in the well-established forms of cuneiform writing of the late Assyrian empire. Assyriology has gradually become Babyloniology, if I may coin this word for the present occasion. And in order to facilitate the study of the tens of thousands of Babylonian originals preserved in American and European museums, we must train the eyes of our students in connection with careful text-editions to see the handwriting of the scribes as it is rather than as we would like it to be.

I would here express my grateful recognition of the great kindness of Prof. Nöldeke, in examining the foreign names marked by an asterisk in the Concordance, and rendering his valuable assistance in the analysis and identification of those indicated by the letter (N.).

For the English rendering of certain legal terms and for the reading of the last proof I have had the support of my friends, Mr. Patterson DuBois of The Sunday School Times, Prof. Gibbons of the University of Pennsylvania, Rev. Dr. A. Long of Robert College, Constantinople, and Mr. John Sparhawk, Jr., treasurer of our Archæological Department; while the quotations of the first eleven pages of the proper names were compared with the originals by my pupils, Messrs. Koppe and Van Burkalow, at a time when my feeble health made this assistance especially desirable. To all of them I extend my hearty thanks.

With Messrs. Meynen \& Co., D. Anson Partridge, and the Philadelphia PhotoEngraving Co., I made numerous experiments toward obtaining more satisfactory halftone reproductions. The results reached by our combined efforts will greatly increase the usefulness of the book.

In conclusion I would record my personal gratitude most of all to Mr. Eckley Brinton Coxe, Jr., of Philadelphia, who, recognizing the importance of the work done by our expedition, placed a generous sum in the hands of Charles C. Harrison, LL.D., Provost of the University of Pennsylvania, for my own publications. I am thus enabled to submit this volume at an earlier time than I originally anticipated.

H. V. Hilprecht.

## INTRODUCTION.

At the end of May, 1893, while occupied with the exploration of the central part of the northwestern ridge ${ }^{1}$ of the ruins of Nippur, the Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania discovered a room ( $5.5 \times 2.75 \mathrm{~m}$. wide) about 6 m . below the surface. Its ceiling had collapsed long ago ; its side walls, for the greater part, were in ruins, and the clay floor was covered with earth and rubbish from above. A gang of trained Affej workmen was ordered to remove the débris that filled the room, when suddenly they noticed numerous clay tablets lying immediately upon the floor or very close by it. A few hours later, the whole room had been carefully searched and cleaned. Seven hundred and thirty tablets were gathered and safely stored in the "castle" of our fortified camp. Fortunately a considerable number of these cuneiform documents were intact and in a fine state of preservation, but the rest of them were cracked and broken or otherwise more or less damaged.

After a critical examination of the building itself and of the condition, position and contents of the tablets found therein, it became evident, that the excavated room had been once used as a business archive by the apparently wealthy and influential firm of Murashû Sons of Nippur, who lived in the time of Artaxerxes I. (464-424 B.C.) ${ }^{2}$ and Darius II. (423-405 B.C.), ${ }^{2}$ in whose reigns the documents are dated. All these tablets, covering a period of more than fifty years and inscribed by many different hands ${ }^{3}$ in Nippur and other neighboring places, were made of an especially pure and soft clay and moulded and baked with greater care ${ }^{4}$ than is usual in the Babylonian tablets met
${ }^{1}$ Situated on the western side of the Shatt-en-Nâl. Cf. the map in my first volume, Pl. XV.
${ }^{2}$ The reigns of these two Persian kings are given according to Eduard Mahler's "Vergleichungstabellen der Babylonischen und Christlichen Zeitrechnung von Nabonassar (747 v. Ch.) bis 100 v. Ch.," in his essay Zur Chronologie der Babylonier, p. 12, f. (reprint from Denkschriften der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Vol. LXII). I agree with the results reached by Mahler entirely.
${ }^{3}$ The 120 texts published in the present volume were written by 41 different scribes. Cf. the "Concordance of Proper Names," I, 3 ("Names of Scribes").
${ }^{4}$ Cf. PIs. VII f., X f., and especially Pl. IV.
with in my experience, which rests upon an examination of over 40,000 cuneiform texts belonging to nearly every century of the last 4000 years B.C. In their regular form and beautiful appearance many of these tablets approach those of king Ashurbânapal's famous library, from which our young Assyriological science has chiefly drawn its material.

From a study of these documents we learn that Murashû had several sons (cf. ahêeka, $25: 4), B e ̂ l-h a ̂ t i n ~ a n d ~ B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u ~ i ~ b e i n g ~ p r o m i n e n t ~ a m o n g ~ t h e m . ~ T h a t ~ t h e s e ~ t w o ~ p e r-~$ sons, each of whom is called aplu sha Murashtu, were children of the same father, ${ }^{2}$ although never really designated as brothers, follows with probability from the following considerations: 1. All the seven hundred and thirty tablets excavated were found in the same room, and, according to form, color, contents, names of witnesses and scribes and seal impressions, belong closely together. 2. In all the texts here published, with the exception of Nos. 1, 42, 43, 54, ${ }^{3}$ either Bêl-nâdin-shumu or Bêl-lâtin (or their sons) transact the business recorded. This can be explained satisfactorily only if these two persons, whose documents were lying together, stood in close personal relation to each other, i.e., if they were brothers. 3. This result is confirmed by No. 12 and a tablet in Const. in which it is expressly stated that an officer who presents an order to Bêl-katin receives his payment from Bêl-nâdinshumu. The latter, being mentioned in all but seventeen ${ }^{4}$ of the texts here submitted, was doubtless the more prominent member of the family; the former, who probably was the older brother, ${ }^{5}$ seems to have died at an early age ( 437 B.C. or soon afterwards), as I infer from the total absence of his name in connection with business transactions after Tishritu 13th of the 28th year of Artaxerxes (No. 12) ${ }^{6}$ and from the frequent mention of his son RêmûtNinib (abbreviated Rimut, 61:3;78:1) instead of him in the later documents. It is, however, of interest and importance to note that the latter has the apposition aplu sha Bêlhata only in one document ( 48 : 24, U. E.), where he appears as witness of his uncle, Bêl-

[^1]nâdin-shumu. In two tablets, written half a month before, he is called aplu sha Bêl-hâtin $(46: 4 ; 47: 6)$ or aplu sha Murash $\hat{u}(46: 2 ; 47: 3)$, while in all the other inscriptions in which his name is mentioned he appears exclusively as aplu sha Murash $\hat{u}$. It is possible to regard Rîmût-Ninib a. sh. Bêl-hâtin (46:4; 47:6) and Rîmût-Ninib a. sh. Murashû (46:2; $47: 3$, etc.) as two different persons (son and brother of Bél-hation). But it seems more reasonable to assume that Rimit(-Ninib) taking his father's place in the firm of "Murashû Sons" after the latter's death, for obvious business reasons retained his father's designation, aplu sha Murashâ, generally known to the public. A son of Bêl-nâdinshumu originally also mentioned in $26^{a}: 1$, was called Murash $\hat{u}$ (101: 4 and Const. Ni. 542 ), and another son of Hâtin (abbrev. from Bêl-hâtin) was likewise named after his grandfather, Murashû (Const. Ni. 525).

As stated above, all the seven hundred and thirty documents discovered are dated in the reigns of Artaxerxes ${ }^{1}$ and Darius.' My reasons for identifying these two kings with Artaxerxes I. and Darius II. are the following:

1. The place of discovery, the quality and color of the material, the size and shape of the tablets, the style of art, especially the frequent similarity and even identity of certain designs in the seal impressions, characteristic palæographical peculiarities in a number of cuneiform signs, the apparent relation between certain business transactions dated in the reigns of the two kings, the employment of the same persons as scribes and witnesses during the reigns of Artaxerxes and Darius, and, finally, the fact that Bêl-nâdin-shumu appears as the leading member of the firm of "Murashû Sons" under both kings, indicate that Artaxerxes and Darius must have reigned successively, unless separated from each other by another king of a very brief ${ }^{2}$ reign.
2. The circumstance that comparatively very few of the seven hundred and thirty documents are dated in the first half of Artaxerxes' and in the second half of Darius' reign, while the bulk of the tablets belongs to the close of Artaxerxes' and to the beginning of Darius' reign points to Darius as the successor of Artaxerxes, not vice versa.
3. All the documents of Bel-hatin are dated in the first twenty-eight years of Artaxerxes, those of his son Rîmût(-Ninib) in the last six years of the same king and in the first years of Darius. This fact confirms the result at which we have arrived, that Darius must have lived after Artaxerxes.
4. The latest date obtained for Artaxerxes from our tablets is the seventeenth day of Shabattu in the forty-first year of his reign. As the number of documents dated in the forty-first year of Artaxerxes and in the first year of Darius is comparatively very large,
${ }^{1}$ For the eight different ways in which the name of Artaxerxes is written in our texts, cf. the" "Concordance of Proper Names," I, 1. ("Male Names"), under Artahshassu. For Darius ef. Vol. X. The title given to the two kings in the dates of the tablets is always shar mâtâti.
${ }^{2}$ As not a single document bears the name of this assumed ruler.
and, moreover, as all these documents were written at brief intervals from one another, it is safe to assert that the Artaxerxes of our tablets must have died at the end of the forty-first year of his reign. From this it follows that he is identical with Artaxerxes I., who ruled forty-one years, and that King Darius in whose reign by far the larger number of tablets is dated, can only be his successor, Darius II.

For the contents, translation and analysis of these texts cf. Series C. The only points which can be treated here are the following :

## PALEOGRAPHY.

On the whole the cuneiform writing of our tablets is identical with that known from Strassmaier's publications of Neo-Babylonian contracts. A constant development of the script, however, taking place, certain cuneiform characters become more simple, and differences formerly existing between several signs begin to disappear the more we advance in the latter half of the first Pre-Christian millennium. Traces of this development or degeneration are clearly visible in the texts here published. A complete list of all the variants in use will be attached to Volume X of Series A. For the present it will suffice to call attention to some more prominent features of the cuneiform writing of the time of Artaxerxes I.

1. Owing to the carelessness of certain scribes, a number of similar cuneiform signs became identical at all periods of Babylonian writing. It is, therefore, not very remarkable, that in our own texts the signs for $i s u(80: 5)$ and $p a(48: 30)$, for $m a(14: 4,7,9)$ and $a s h(14: 1)$, for $m a(75: 1,8 ; 85: 12 ; 94: 6)$ and $b a(75: 2,5,11 ; 109: 12)$, for tú (101:5) and qa (109:1), for bar (54:3) and me (99:2), etc., are frequently written alike. But it is worthy of note and important for the understanding of the degeneration of the cursive writing, that even cuneiform signs which originally bear little resemblance to each other, fall frequently together, ${ }^{1}$ in consequence of an abbreviation of certain classes of signs-a peculiarity which becomes more common in the cuneiform texts of the fourth and third centuries B.C. Cf. e. g. ilu ( $63: 4 ; 85$ : passim; $36:$ L. E. in ${ }^{d}$ Bél $)$ and bar (passim), na ( $62: 12,16 ; 63: 6,14$ ) and GISH (=lıshir, $17: 20$ end, cf. $26: 19$ ), kan ( $3^{a}: 14 ; 105: 15$ ), and mu ( $3^{\mathrm{a}}: 15 ; 105: 15$ ), kan ( $85: 27$; $86: 25)$ and she (passim), sharru ( $85: 2$ ) and $b i^{2}(85: 8) ;$ su ( $85: 24$ ) and $m a(85: 11$ f.);
${ }^{1}$ In some respects this period is not unlike that of the first dynasty of Babylon. Cf. the lists in Meissner's Altbabylonisches Privatrecht.
${ }^{2}$ The original picture of $b i$ is a pointed vase with a spout (on the right!) in an upright position and filled with a liquid, designating shikaru (probably "date-wine"). The original form is fairly well preserved in I $H$. (abbreviation for the first volume of my inscriptions), Part 2 , No. 105, li. 9, and No. 110, 6-4 f. e. This latter character together with others which represent almost the original picture and can be understood only if standing upright, go far to weaken Delitzsch's arguments recently set forth (Der Ursprung der Keilschrift, p 24 f ) against the principle of the original position of the cuneiforn signs defended by myself (l.c., p. 40, note 3). In view of the overwhelming mass of palæographical and archæological material already published, it will be an easy
$n i(85: 23)^{1}$ and kak (passim) ; sha ( $6: 2,10 ; 9: 13$ end) and aplu ( $=\mathrm{A}$, passim)-both written with three wedges-or sha (36:1 end; 104:11 end) and aplu (=A, 36:14; $109: 12$ )—both written with two wedges-and even sha (36:14; $64: 14$ in ${ }^{n}$ Sha-p $\hat{i}$ $k a l-b i$ ) and aplu ( $=\mathrm{A}, 31: 2,3,15$ ) and the determinative for man (passim), and others.
2. This general degeneration of the cuneiform writing, manifested by the frequent omission of important and characteristic wedges, can be traced in a number of other signs, cf. tah ( $104: 15$, one wedge at the beginning) or $q \hat{a} t$ ( $81: 5$, followed by the dual sign expressed by one wedge). These two examples, like others, may be due to mistakes of the scribes. But there are other cases, like ahu, "brother" ( $85: 18$ ), in ( $85: 20$ ), su ( $85: 24$ ), ${ }^{a}$ Bêlit ( $63: 4$ ) or $A Z A G(86: 1$, in the ideog. for kaspu), ha (85:21), as (105: 15 ), in which a certain regularity can be observed. There is, first of all, a very decided tendency to write $\gamma, \downarrow$ instead of $\bar{\gamma} \Downarrow$ in all cuneiform signs in which these double wedges occur. The following examples may serve as an illustration:
a. One perpendicular wedge is written instead of two in the signs for A, used as a phonogr. $(a, 31: 7,16)$ or as an ideogr. (aplu $(31: 16)$ ), especially in the phrase aplu $\operatorname{sha}(16: 1,2 ; 17: 19 ; 26: 15 \mathrm{ff}$; $47: 21 ; 90: 6 ; 105: 1 \mathrm{ff}$., etc.), or apil-shu sha ( 16 : 13 ff .), mê, "water" ( $16: 3,9$ ) and the first sign of nâru, "river" ( $16: 9$ beg.; $32: 3$ beg., etc.) ), for $e(31: 7)$, ia ( $54: 12$ ), tir (31:11), sha (36:1; 104:11), za (8:8;31: $19 ; 62: 10 ; 93: 7$ ), ha ( $63: 7 ; 86: 6 ; 87:$ L. E. ; $93: 7 ; 103: 4$ ), un ( $81:$ L. E.), etc.

In connection with this it may be mentioned that $a(a p h a)$ is written in four different ways, $\bar{Y}, Y \nmid Y, Y Y, Y$ (passim, resp. $36: 1,13,14$, resp. $36: 14$ ff. $104: 11,14$, resp. $31: 2,3,15$ ), two or three of the four forms frequently occurring in the same text (31: especially lines 2 and 16) or even in the same line ( $36: 13$ ). Of sha the following six
 $14: 11$ beg.; $28^{a}: 10$ end, resp. $36: 3,6,11 ; 82: 16,23$ f., resp. $6: 11 ; 104: 2,12,15$, resp. $36: 1$ end ; 104:11 end, resp. $46: 4 ; 90: 6 ; 100: 13 ; 104: 14 ; 109: 11$ ), of which sometimes two ( $6: 4$ ff., resp. lines 2 , 10, 12) or three ( $44: 3$, resp. 8 ff., resp. 22, or 84 : 1 ff., resp. lines 8 beg. 14, 16 , resp. lines 6 beg., 10 , U. E.) or four ( $36: 18$, resp. 1 end, resp. lines $3,6,11$, resp. 12 ff .) occur in the same text or even line ( $66^{a}: 4 ; 82: 24$, three different forms). Strassmaier, Cyr. $281: 15$, is correct (against $B$. A. III, p. 443, note 2).
b. One oblique wedge instead of two in the signs for $\operatorname{din}$ (ina-an-din=inamdin, $47: 4 ; 62: 9$ ), $\operatorname{kan}$ (83:9, R. E.; determ. after numbers, $63: 18 ; 64: 17 ; 105: 15$ ), mu ( $62: 13,16 ; 76: 13$ ) and others.

[^2]c. One horizontal wedge instead of two in the signs for $a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, u^{\prime}(47: 4 ; 85$ : 21), itu (36: L. E.; $63: 4 ; 85$ and 86 passim), na ( $62: 12,16 ; 63: 6,14$ ), kin ( $=D U$, $3^{\mathrm{a}}: 9 ; 63: 14 ; 87: 11$ ), in the second sign of the ideogr. for nâru, "river" (7:8), etc.
3. Contrary to the peculiarity just treated, we sometimes find a tendency to increase the two wedges of the group $\bar{\nabla}$ to three, obtaining thereby $\stackrel{\nabla \nabla}{\nabla}$, doubtless arisen under the influence of the two corresponding forms for sha, in use at that time. Cf. e.g. the signs for arthu, " month" ( $53: 4,9 ; 58: 5,19 ; 66: 5,6,15), b a b u$, "gate" $(30: 5 ; 55: 3 ; 58: 8)$, dan $(53: 6,9)$, e (53:10), karpatu, "pot" (43:3), kip (70:4), nadânu (=SE, $66: 7$ ), $s(z) a b$ or nûr ( $47: 19 ; 56: 5 ; 57: 4,8 ; 65: 28 ; 79:$ $13), \mathrm{GU}^{1}(35: 10,13), \mathrm{GIG}^{1}(65: 10,15,16)$, etc.
4. Occasionally cuneiform signs of a much earlier period occur in the midst of other signs regularly in use at the period of Artaxerxes I. Cf. especially No. 13, e.g. $s u$ (written with $5-7$ horiz. wedges, $13: 2,3,8,9$ ), $u$ ( $5-6$ perpend. wedges, $13: 1,4$ ), la ( 6 horiz. wedges, $13: 4$ ), gal ( 5 horiz. wedges, $13: 4$ ). Cf. also $14: 8$ (u), $18: 14$ (dupsar) and others. This fact only confirms, what we knew before, that the scribes of the Persian period were acquainted with the so-called hieratic writing, ${ }^{2}$ which continued to be used for religious purposes even to a later time.
5. In the cursive writing of all periods of Babylonian history we frequently meet with extended and dissevered characters. Sometimes in our own texts single portions of the same cuneiform sign are separated so widely from each other that they appear as independent characters and can be recognized as units only by the context. Cf. e.g. a (15: 8, 12), $\operatorname{BAR}(54: 3), \mathrm{DI}\left(32^{a}: 14\right)$, ishtên (-en, 35: 24), ti (7: 16), ia (15: 19 end), it (85: 16, 21), KAK (=ibni, 85:9), p $\hat{\imath}(=\mathrm{KA}, 7: 24)$, UR (in kalbu, 7:24), SAG

6. The stylus employed for writing frequently became worn out, the sharp corners or points becoming gradually round, then flat and finally even concave. In consequence of this the impressions made by the scribes in the soft clay became rather indistinct, frequently appearing as double wedges. Examples of this kind of writing are not very rare; they occur in our own texts, cf. $61: 1,3$, and especially No. $3^{3}$. At the first glance the latter text seems to have been written in an entirely different cunciform writing and language. The tablet was returned by my co-worker with the remark that he "could not recognize one character." It would have puzzled even older and more experienced Assyriologists. In the copy furnished by my own hand all the peculiarities

[^3]of the scribe are faithfully reproduced. For the sake of those who are not familiar with such palæographical puzzles I add a complete transliteration of this text (No. 3a): 1. I[pi] XXIV qa ${ }^{\text {she }} \mathrm{BAR}$ 2. ishtên (-en) karpatud dan-nu shikari tâbi ma-lu-ú (cf. 50: 2) 3. sha ${ }^{m} B e ̂ l l-n a ́ d i n-s h u m u ~ a p i l-s h u ~ s h a ~{ }^{m} M u-r a-s h i ́-u ́ u ~ 4 . ~ s h a ~ q a ̂ t ~{ }^{m} M u$-she-zib ${ }^{\text {hgal-la sha }}{ }^{m}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu 5. ina muh-hi ${ }^{m}$ Bél-zêr-iddina apil-shu sha ${ }^{m} I$-shi
 (-en) karpatu dan-nu mala 8. i-nam-din. 9. "Mu-kîn ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Shum-iddina apil-shu sha ${ }^{m}$ La-ba-shi 10. ${ }^{m} B a-l a-t ̣ u ~ a p i l-s h u ~ s h a ~{ }^{m} B e ̂ l-b a-n a ~ 11 . ~ " S h a m a s h-e ̂ t i r ~ a p i l-s h u ~ s h a ~ " ~ A h u-u-~$
 ${ }^{m} N a$-din 14. Nippur ${ }^{k i}$ arhu $A d a r u$ ûmu $V^{\text {nan }}$ 15. shattu $\mathrm{XX}^{\text {kan } m} A r$-tah-sha-as-su shar mâtâti. L. E. Şu-pur ${ }^{m}$ Bél-zêr-iddina. The translation does not offer any difficulty.

## 7. Peculiar is the plural sign mesh after ilu and "Shamash in the transliteration of foreign Semitic proper names. Cf. $A-q a(q a b)-i l u^{p l}$, $B a-n a a^{\prime}-i l u^{p l}$,

 $B a-r i k(-k i)-i l u^{p l}, I a-d i-i h-i l u^{p l}, I a-d a-a r-n i-{ }^{\prime}-i l u^{p l}, I l u^{p l}-g a b-r i, I l u^{p h}-i d d-r i-$, , Ilu $u^{p h}-l i-i n-d a r$, $I l u^{p h}-q a-t a-r i, \quad I l u^{p l}-z a-b a(d)-d u, N a-t a n-i l u^{p h}, \quad R a-a b-b i(R a b-b i)-i l u^{p h}, \quad R a-h i-i m-i l u^{p l}$, Sha-ra-'a-ilu $\psi^{p h}$, etc, and Ba-rik (ri-ki)- ${ }^{d}$ Shamash $h^{p h}$, 'Shamash ${ }^{p h}$-ba-rak-ku, dShamash ${ }^{p h}-l a-$ din-ni, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Shamash $h^{p l-l i-i n-d a r, ~}{ }^{d}$ Shamash ${ }^{p p-n u t i-', ~ e t c . ~ C o m p a r a t i v e l y ~ f e w ~ a r e ~ t h e ~ c a s e s ~ i n ~}$ which mesh is omitted. Cf. Ra-'a-bi-ilu Ra-hi-im(hi-mi)-ilu (36:11; 69:1), ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Shamash$n a-d a-r^{2}$. So far as the use and meaning of ${ }^{d}$ Shamash is concerned, a plural is here out of question, and in names like Ba-na-'ili $u^{p l}$, Ia-da-ar-ni-'-ilu $u^{p l}$, Sha-ra-' $a-i l u^{p p}$, Ilu $u^{p l}-l i-i n-$ dar, etc., where the other element is a verbal form in the singular, the subject cannot stand in the plural. A similar result is reached by comparing these and other names containing the element $i l u^{p l .}$ with the corresponding names in the other Semitic languages. We find the singular $4 \times$ in use where the Babylonian scribes as a rule offer $i l u^{p l}$. It is, therefore, evident that in either case mesh cannot indicate a plurality of gods, but must have been employed for expressing a sound which appeared to the Babylonian mind as one of their own plural endings. From the fact that in a number of these or similar names the other Semitic languages have ilk, "my god," ${ }^{1}$ it may be that the Babylonian scribes mistaking $\hat{i}$, the pronominal suffix of the first person singular, in these foreign names for their own plural ending $\hat{e}$, later pronounced $\hat{\imath}$, rendered $i l \imath$, " my god," and shamsĥ̂, "my sun," by il̂ (ihupl), " gods," ${ }^{p}$ and ${ }^{d}$ Shamshut ${ }^{p / 2}$, "suns." In the Concordance of Proper Names these two words are transliterated ilh and Shamsh $\hat{\text { r }}$.${ }^{1}$ Cf. especially the rich material furnished by the inscriptions from southern Arabia, as recently shown by Hommel, Altisraelitische Ueberlieferung, pp. 81 ff . Compare e. g. Ilì-rabbi with Rabbi-ilupl. above, or Ilì-shara'a with Shara'a-ilup̈l. above, or such Hebrew names as Elî-ezer with Ilupl-idri' above, Elî-sûr with Ilupl--qutari above, etc.
${ }^{2}$ The plural of $i l u$ reads ilitni and $i l e ̂(\hat{i})$, cf. Delitzsch, Assyrisches Hundwörterbuch, p. 59b. The Assyrian plural ilì may also be inferred from the wavering writing of such pure Assyrian names as Ashur-etil-ili or ilipl. This can be explained satisfactorily only by assuming that sing. and plur. were pronounced alike.
8. Of other palæographical peculiarities may be mentioned the writing of ishtên(-en) alpu coll. $167: 7$ (cf. also II alpu (49:6) II alpu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$. $\left(34: 2,11\right.$ ), IV alpu ${ }^{\text {pl. (35: 8), XX }}$ alpu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$ ( $65: 4$ ), etc.), the extraordinary writing of the numeral 162 in $1: 3$ and 16 (apparently to prevent confusion with 103), ${ }^{2}$ the very frequent writing ina-an-din $=$ inamdin ( $54: 9 ; 62: 11 ; 78: 7$ and often), the cuneiform signs for pah ( $14: 13$ ) and for $a d(t)$ in min-át-ti (tum), $45: 27 ; 59: 12$, etc., in the passage la i-bat-taq, ina ûmu (-mu) bit-qa ina lib-bi ib-át-qa (= ibátaqa, 55:9) and in the frequent name Ad-dan-nu. Ma-shi-ȟu, " measure," ${ }^{3}$ is always preceded by the determ. ${ }^{\text {isu }}$ (cf. e. g. $17: 5 ; 19: 6 ; 22: 4$; $29: 21 ; 45: 14,26 ; 65: 11$ ). The demonstrative pronoun shu'atu, frequently occurring in our inscriptions, is exclusively written $M U^{p h .}=$ shumati (cf. shú-ma-a-tim, Peiser, Keilschriftl. Actenstiucke, p. 28, 1. 26) $=$ shuFâti = shu'àti =shu'a'tít, and used even for the plural shu'atumu (cf. ${ }^{\text {she }} K U L^{p l .} M U^{p l}, 28: 8 ; 30: 18$; for other examples cf. my $A s-$ syriaca, p. 6, note ll. 10 ff .). As I have pointed out in Assyriaca, p. 58, the length of the vowel $a$ (in shu'ati) does not necessarily follow from this writing $M U^{p h .}$ (against $B$. A. III, p. 431). We learn from it only that the accent, which in Assyrian may be expressed by lengthening the vowel or by doubling the following consonant (Delitzsch, Assyr. Gram., §53, c), rests upon the syllable ú, which I regard as short (sh'u'ưtu) similar to the Ethiopic wĕ'ét $t \bar{u}$ (cf. Assyriaca, pp. 55-58).

## PROPER NAMES.

The transliteration of Babylonian proper names, for the greater part written ideographically, offers peculiar difficulties. In consequence of this the precise reading of a large number of them will always remain more or less doubtful. On the other side, many of the names found in the Neo-Babylonian contract literature can be read correctly, if certain laws underlying their formation are observed. The syllabic writing often existing alongside of the ideographic, not only furnishes us the key for the understanding and establishing of these laws, but it also provides us with the means of controlling, within certain limits, the correctness of our own transliteration.

This is not the place for discussing and grouping these laws, but a word must be

[^4]said as to the transliteration of certain ideograms frequently occurring in the personal proper names of the tablets here published.

Many of the personal proper names which we meet in the Neo-Babylonian cuneiform literature consist of two or three elements-subject and verb, or subject, verb and object. The subject, as a rule, is a deity. In dealing with their transliteration in the following pages, we keep these two classes of names separate.
I. In names consisting of two elements the deity (subject) may stand at the beginning or end. The other element, which represents the verb, can be transliterated by the preterite (with or without $l u$ ), present, participle or imperative. From our own texts only a limited number of examples written phonetically (or in part so) can be quoted, e. g., Mu-shal-lim-Bêl, Mu-she-zib-Bél (Ninib), Na-shi-ilu,-I-shi- ${ }^{\text {Sh }}$ Shad̂̂-rabû,-Bêl-iq-bi, Bêl-iqîsha(-sha), Bêl-êpush(-ush), Bêl-u-pah-hiir, Bêl-ú-shal-lim, Bêl(Nab̂u)-ú-shezib, Ninib-er-ba, Sin-ik-sur,-Bêl-mu-tak-kil-[lu], Bêl-(Nabû)-na-din, Bêl(Ninib)-nasir, Nabû-ta-ri-is, Ninib-ga-mil,—Bêl-ki-shir, Gula-mu-gur, "Shadû-rabî-she-zib. In names like Bêl-e-ti-ir it is doubtful whether the verb is an imperative, a participle or the third person sing. pret. (cf. Delitzsch, in B. A., III, p. 388). In several cases the particular verbal form to be chosen is determined by the usage of language, as becomes evident from a collection of proper names. More frequently, however, two or three or even four different forms of the same verb occur side by side in the same connection and position. Cf. ${ }^{a}$ Bêl-ka-sir (Peiser, Babylonische Verträge $61: 19$, Strassmaier, Nabonidus 803: 15, Cyıus 188:26), Bêl-lik-sur (Delitzsch, Assyr. Handwörterb., p. 592a), Sin-ikşur (cf. "Concordance"), or Rammân-u-bal-lit (Delitzsch, A. H., p. 174b), Nab̂̂-bal-lit (ib., p. 175a), Marduk-mu-bal-lit (Strassmaier, Cambyses 303:14), etc. In view of this condition it is impossible to give a definite rule for the transliteration of certain ideograms. Our"decision must remain more or less arbitrary. In order to enable students to find the names consisting of a deity and a verb in the index without difficulty, I call their attention to the following manner of transliterating observed in these pages:

BA-sha=iq̂̂sha(-sha); DIR-ir=âtir(-ir); GAL(IG)-shi=ushabshi; GI=ushallim; $\mathbf{K A K}=i b n i ; \mathbf{K A K}-u s h=e ̂ p u s h ; \mathbf{K A N}=\hat{e} r i s h ; \mathbf{K A R}=m u s h e ̂ z i b ; \mathbf{K A T}=k a ̂ s ̣ i r$ (in Bêlkeasir) or $i k s u r$ (in Sin-iksur) ; $\mathbf{M U}=i d d i n a$ (at the beginning) or nadin (at the end); $\mathbf{P A P}=n a ̂ s ̣ i r ; ~ S E=n a ̂ d i n ; ~ S U=e r b a ; ~ S H E-G A=m u g u r ; ~ S H I-B I R-i q=m u d a m m i q ~$ $(-i q) ;$ SHUR=êtir ; TIN or TIN- $i t=m u b a l l i t(-i t)$.
II. In names consisting of three elements-subject, verb, object-the deity, which is the subject, may stand at the beginning or at the end of the name. According to the place occupied by the ideogr, of the verb and according to the usage of language the verbal form differs. The names which have the deity at the end, occur comparatively rarely in our texts. Cf. Ah-iddina-Marduk, U-bal-lit-su-Gula(Marduk) and Ile'i-bullutu-

Bél-mâti. For the last name cf. Delitzsch in B. A., III, p. 389. The following remarks refer exclusively to names where the deity stands at the beginning. We distinguish two classes.
a. If the ideogr. representing the verb stands in the middle of the name, it is always to be transliterated by the participle. Cf. such phonetic writings as Bêl-mu-she-zib-ahu, Nabû-mu-she-tiq-urru, Ninib-na-din-shumú, Sin-na-din-aḩu, Shamash-na-din-zêru. Exceptions of this rule are very rare. Cases like Bél-tash-me-e-ri-ih-túu ("O Bel, thou hast granted the desire"), Bê-taz-kur-shu ("O Bel, thou hast called him"), are, however, not exceptions, as $B e ̂ l$ is not the subject but stands in the vocative. If the third element (object) is expressed by a suffix (shu, $n i$, $n u$, etc.), the verb may also stand in the (præs.-) præt. or in the imperative. ${ }^{1}$ Alongside of Ninib-mu-tir-(ri-)shu (or mutir = GUR) we find in our text such names as Bêl-bul-lit-su, Bêl(Nabû)-it-sur-shu, Nabû-ku-ṣur-shu, Nabû-i-dan-ni (=Nabû-îdanni "N. saw (chose) me," cf. Delitzsch, Assyrisches Handwörterbuch, p. 305).
b. If the ideogr. expressing the verb stands at the end of the name, it can be transliterated by the (præs.-) præt. or by the imperative. Cf. Bêl-ah-it-tan-nu, Bêl-shum-im-bi, Shamash-shum-iqîsha(-sha), or Bêl-ba-a-ku-pi-tin, Bêl-supê-mu-hur, Ninib-ahêebul-lit. Of some verbs only the imperative is found (e.g.usur) or at least in most cases; of others the pret. (iqbi, ushabshi), while of a third class, to which bullutu "to keep alive," erêbu "to add," nadânu "to give" belong, either form is in use. But transliterations like "Ramman-shum-nasir," given by Sayce (Records of the Past," vol. II, p. 207), and Winckler (Geschichte, p. 102), or Marduk(Nabû)-ukin-aplu(zêr), which we read in B. A., III, pp. 410 and 467 (Demuth, Ziemer), are an impossibility.

The cases treated under $a$. need no further comment. As to $b$., the verbal ideograms have been transliterated as follows: BA-sha=iqîsha(-sha); $\mathbf{D U}=u k i ̂ n ; \mathbf{E}=i q b i$; $\mathbf{K A K}=i b n i ; \mathbf{M U}=i d d i n a ; \mathbf{S H E S H}=u s u r ; \mathbf{T I N}$ or TIN-it=bullit(-it).

If the second and third elements expressing verb and object are written with the same ideogram or with two different ideograms of which each has a double ideogr. value commonly occurring in proper names, the reading must remain doubtful in most cases. Bêl-MU-MU, Ninib-SHESH-SHESH, Nergal-MU-SHESH, Bêl-SHESH-MU, can be transliterated respectively either Bêl-nâdin-shumu, Ninib-nâṣir-ahur, Nergal-nâdin-al̂u, Bêl-nâsir-shumu, or they can be transliterated Bêl-shum-iddina, Ninib-ahh-usur, Nergal-shum-usur, Bél-ah-iddina. In view of the constant syllabic writing of the names Ninib-na-din-shumu, Sin-na-din-ahu, Shamash-na-din-zêru, etc., in our texts, I have transliterated MU-MU as nâdin-shumu, MU-SHESH as nâdin-ahu, SHESH-SHESH

[^5]as nassir-ahu, and in view of the frequent ${ }^{d} X-S H E S H{ }^{p h}-M U$ which must be read ${ }^{d} X$ - $\alpha h \hat{e} \hat{C}-$ iddina (resp. idin), I have transliterated SHESH-MU as ah-iddina. The fact that in all the examples of our texts in which the middle element is surely a participle, we never find the ideographic but always the phonetic writing (na-din, etc.), may be brought forth in favor of the rejected transliteration (shum-iddina, resp. ah-usur, resp. shum-uşur). But I regard this fact as merely accidental, because there are examples enough known from other inscriptions where the middle element of the name of the same person (nádin) is written $M U$ or na-din. The abbrev. name ${ }^{m} M U-M U$ has been given as ${ }^{m}$ Shum-iddina.

The transliteration of the ideograms apart from the two classes of names just mentioned is on the whole the same as that employed by Delitzsch in B. A., III, pp. 390ff. The two gods most frequently found in the personal proper names of the inhabitants of Nippur and its surroundings are, as was to be expected, Bêl and Ninib, who were principally worshiped in the temple of Ekur. There are a little over 300 names composed with Bêl and about 150 which contain Ninib in the tablets here published. Whenever the name of a deity is written with different ideograms, their syllabic values are added in parenthesis, in order to facilitate the control. The ideograms in use for $B \hat{e} l$ are distinguished from each other by small numbers : $B \hat{e} l={ }^{a} E N, B e ̂ l{ }^{1}={ }^{a} E N-L I L, B e \hat{e} l^{2}={ }^{a} L$, Ninib without any explanation in parenthesis is the transliteration of ${ }^{d} B A R$. For the other ideograms used for Ninib, cf. the list of gods given as section V of the "Concordance.". In transliterating the ideogr. ${ }^{a} B E$ with $E a$, I differ from Strassmaier (cf. e.g. Nabonidus, $63: 15, \operatorname{Bêl}\left(={ }^{d} B E\right)$-im-bi), Peiser (Babyl. Verträge 2:15, cf. p. 330b, Bêl $\left(={ }^{d} B E\right)$-ibni), Delitzsch (in B. A., III, p. 390 middle) and others, who regard it as an ideogr. of Bêl. I am acquainted with about a dozen cases in the Neo-Babylonian contract literature published by Strassmaier and others, in which the same name has the ideogr. ${ }^{d} B E$ in one passage and is written with ${ }^{d} E-a$ in another, but with no passage where ${ }^{d} B E$ corresponds to any of the ideograms of Bél. For the present it may suffice to refer to the two different writings of the name Ea-bullitsu in one of our own texts (cf. $80: 2$ with 7) and in Const. Ni. 598 (cf. li. 16 with L. E.). ${ }^{d} B E$ denotes the god $E a$ as ilu naqbê or the god of the subterranean waters.

There are many proper names of especial interest and value in the texts here published. I confine myself to the following five:

1. The name Sha-me-e-ra-mu=Shamê-ramu (75:6) is doubtless identical with the
 from Babylon, quoted in Chron. Alex. $88: 24$. Cf. Justi, Iranisches Namenbuch, s.v. Müller (Fragm. IV, 492) regards it as identical with Seiramnes, while Gelzer (S. J. Africanus und die byz. Chron. 1, 77) and Justi (l. c.) transliterate it "der Sumerier." Both interpretations are unsatisfactory, because too arbitrary in regard to the Greek
transliteration and ignoring Babylonian names actually existing. The Greek form is apparently corrupted, but much less than is generally supposed. It is simply due to a very common scribal error in Greek MSS. and inscriptions. Read £EMHPSMO』 instead of $\Sigma E M H P \Omega N I O \Sigma$. (Cf. our well-known astronomical term ZENITH, which goes back to the Arabic ZEMT.)
2. The name Bêl-su-pi-e-mu-hur (1:15) is once written Bêl-SIGISHE ${ }^{p l}$-mu-hur $(1: 1)$. From a comparison of these two different writings of the same name and person we obtain the ideographical value sup $\hat{u}$ for SIGISHE, hitherto unknown, so far as I know. In other Babylonian proper names this ideogr. has generally the value karâbi

3. A name frequently occurring in the contract literature of the time of the Persian kings is Bêll(Nabû)-ID-DAN-nu, also written Bêl-ID-DAN-ni (Peiser, Babyl. Verträge $72: 9)$ and Bêl(Nabut)-ID-DAN-na (Peiser, l. c. $72: 23$; $113: 29$; Keilschriftl. ActenStïcke $13: 22$ ). These different writings, in connection with the name Nabti-ah-ID-DAN-nu (cf. "Concordance" and Peiser, Keilschriftl. Acten-Stücke 17:15; 18:7;19: 7), in which the object is expressed by ahu, prove that $n u$ and $n i$ are not the suffixes "us" " me," as in the names Marduk-iqisha(-sha)-an-ni (Peiser, Babyl. Verträge 107 : 22 ; 118:14) and Marduk-iqisha(-sha)-an-nu (Peiser, Keilschriftl. Acten-Stücke 6:30; $7: 23$ ). The constant doubling of the consonant $n$ indicates, that this name, which (being regarded as a compound substantive) could receive the nominal ending $u, i$ or $a$, for a very simple reason had the accent on the syllable $D A N$. The name means "Bêl (Nabit) gave." That this verbal form, however, cannot be transliterated iddannu, as is generally done, is proved by the Aramaic docket ${ }^{1}$ No. 71, where our name appears as נבואתן, i. e., Nabti-ittan. The verb, from which ID-DAN-nu is derived, is therefore not nadânu, but natânu, which belongs to the "Vulgär-Assyrisch" (cf. Delitzsch, Assyr. Handwörterbuch, p. 488).
4. Of the ítoxopıซтıx́x occurring in our inscriptions I mention only Şillu-Ninib and Sillai. From the two passages 70:13f. (Shum-iddina, s. of Ina-sillu-Ninib) and $58: 2 \mathrm{f}$. (Shum-iddina, s. of \$il-lu-Ninib) it results that Sillu-Ninib is an abbreviation of Inc-silluNinib. ${ }^{2}$ In view of the writing Sil-lu-Ninib I have transliterated names composed with
${ }^{1}$ The first three words of Nos. 71 and $66^{a}$ are identical ( $\boldsymbol{*}$, "Urkunde über eine Landes Sec"). אטאת ארקא, apparently so called in distinction from a royal Sea, can only refer to the XXVI (No. 71) resp. XXV (No. $\left.66^{3}\right) G U R$ mentioned in the two cuneiform inscriptions. From these two passages it would seem to follow that at the time of Artaxerxes II there was a sea in use which was equal to 25 or 26 Babylonian $G U R$-a result differing from what we know from other sources. Prof. Nöldeke kindly promised an article on the two Ar. dockets for $Z . A$.
${ }^{2}$ Names which have $i n a$ as the first element, drop this preposition frequently. Cf. e. $g$. E-sag-ila-be-lit (Strassmaier, Cyrus 337 : 5, 7, 14, 15, 18) and Ina-Eh-sag-gil-be-lit (Strassmaier, Cambyses 215: 6), Esagil-zêtu and Ina-Esagit-zêru, Eulmash-shurqi-iddina and Ina-Eulmash-shurqi-iddina. For the passages of the latter two examples cf. I $H_{1}$, part 1, p. 43, note 2.
${ }^{i s u} M I$ by ssillu, instead of ṣil generally used by Assyriologists. Cf. also Delitzsch's remark on the transliteration of $a r d i$ instead of arad in proper names (B. A., III, p. 387). That Sillu-Ninib may be still further abbreviated to Sillai, ${ }^{1}$ follows from a comparison of two other passages. Two witnesses, Ea-bullit-su and Bêl-ushallim are called sons of Sillai in 83 : 20f. The same two persons appear as sons of Ina-ṣillu-Esagila in $80:$ R. E. and Lo. E.
5. In connection with these names I offer a few remarks about ${ }^{m d} B E$-epesh(-esh)$A N$ and ${ }^{m}$ Epesh- $A N$ or ${ }^{m}$ Epesh(-esh)-AN, which accidentally are not mentioned in our own inscriptions. So far as I can see, all Assyriologists who transliterated these names wrote " Bêl-epesh-ilu" and "Epesh-ilu," which can only be translated " Bêl is the maker of a god," resp. " Maker of a god," or if we assume that the plural sign is omitted after "ilu" (as is sometimes the case, cf. Ashur-êtil-ili for $i l u^{p l}$ ), "Bêl is the maker of the gods," resp. "Maker of the gods." ${ }^{2}$ Very strong reasons speak, however, against such a transliteration. (a) The ideogr. of the deity, ${ }^{d} B E$, as we saw above, does not mean $B e ̂ l$, but Ea. In addition cf. ${ }^{a} E$-a-epesh(esh)-AN (Strassmaier, Darius $266: 15$ ). (b) Epêshu, " to do, to make," as a rule, is not used in the sense of ban̂, " to create, to beget." ${ }^{3}(c)$ If ilu stood for $i l u^{p h}$ or $A N-A N$ (二ilani), we should expect to find either of these two writings at least once in the hundreds of passages where our name occurs. We have, therefore, to look for another transliteration, Ea-epesh $(-$ esh $)-a n^{4}=$ Ea-epeshan (cf. e-pi-shanu, Strassmaier, Nabonidus 737 : 12, or e-pesh-a-ni, ib. $424: 7$, or e-pesh-sha-nu, ib. 456 : 5 and often, or e-pesh-nu ${ }^{5}$ (Cambyses 61: 4; 66:7;121:6 and often) $=$ " Ea is the artisan" (or something similar), referring to the fact that Ea, who is bél niméqu or ba-an
 Horn in Sassanidische Siegelsteine, p. 36, 37c.).

2 "Epesh-ilu" was translated even by "Hlu is creating" and used as a strong argument in support of the existence of a god Ilu in the Babylonian pantheon. We would better cover this interpretation with the "Mantel der Liebe."
${ }^{3}$ In names like Shamash-êpush(-ush), Strassmaier, Nabonidus 344:13 etc., epêshui does not necessarily mean "to create," but may have the more general meaning "Shamash did (it)."
${ }^{4}$ I call attention to a passage in Ashurbênapal (V R.5: 120), where an, also generally misread as ilu, has been explained as a mistake of the scribe (cf. Delitzsch, A. $L .{ }^{3}$ and $A . H .$, p. 547 b. ). The passage must be transliterated $\dot{u}-s h a p-s h i i h t a n$ ka-bit-ti bêl bélê. It is one of the few examples in Assyrian, where the old energet. ending $a n$, so common in Arabic, is preserved in a verbal form not followed by a pronominal suffix. Cf. V R. $1: 59$ (Variant) illikan and the very common $i b-b a k-k a n$, $i$-bu- $u k-k a n$, $i t-t a b-k a n$, etc., in the contract literature-cases which must be regarcled as doubtful in view of the double value (Fan and kam) of the sign KAN. Cf. also Hommel, Sumerische Lesestüçe, p. 112 ; Süüdarabische Chrestomathie, p. 23.
${ }^{5}$ According to Demuth (in B. A., III, p. 488, Note 2) and Ziemer (ib., p. 480, Note 4) sha was erroneously omitted by the scribe. The frequent occurrence of the writing epesh-nu in texts written by different scribes speaks decidedly against this explanation. We have here rather the same syncope of an accented vowel that we find in umnu as over against ummânu, $35: 17$, in râmnu as over against râmânu, etc. Cf. Delitzsch, Assyr. Gram., §37c., and Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 45. After a prececing vowel the first $e$ of epeshshênu, as it seems, may be dropped, cf. $a-n \alpha(s h a) \cdot p i-s h a-a n-n i$ (Strassmaier, Nabonidus $186: 5 ; 213: 2 ; 1029: 7$ ) =a-na(sha)e-pi-sha-an-ni. Tallquist (l.c.) and Delitzsch (Assyr. Handwörterbuch, p. 548b.) separate pishanni from epishanni, leaving the former untranslated,
$k a-l a$ (I H. No. 83, Rev., li. 16) or ba-an kul-la-ti (IV R., $56: 59 b$ ) is also the ummánu or ' $\Omega \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu n_{s}(=\text { Uvvannu-Ummânu })^{1}$ who taught all handicrafts and arts to mankind. In view of its origin (epesh-ân) and of the writing e-pesh-a-ni just mentioned the constant writing of our name as (Ea-)epesh(-esh)-an instead of E-pi-sha-an or the like (cf. Delitzsch, Assyr. Gram., § 17) is not remarkable. The rejection of the final vowel in proper names is also very common. Cf. e.g. Bêl-u-sat (Peiser, Babyl. Vertr. $33: 12$ ), Itti-Bêl-pa-shar (cf. "Concordance"), Ni-qud(ib.) and the very frequent Ri-mut, etc. Whether in every case Epeshân is an abbreviation of the longer name Ea-epeshan, as in the passage quoted by Demuth (in B. A., III, p. 415) is doubtful. It seems just as reasonable to regard ${ }^{m} E p i s h a ̂ n$ as an originally professional name which became later a proper name, in the same way as Ashlaku, Ikkaru, Paharu and others. That our interpretation is correct is proven by the fact that in genealogical statements ( $X$. apil-shu sha Y. apil ${ }^{m}$ Epesh (-esh)-an) this name appears as a rule in the third place, where professional designations are very common, and that at least in two passages we find the original determinative ${ }^{\text {ameluw }}$ (instead of ${ }^{m}$ ) preserved ; cf. Strassmaier, Durius 257 : 5 (Ubâr, s. of Ardi-Bêl, s. of ${ }^{\text {amethe }}$ epesh (-esh)-an and 15 (Bêl-muballit, s. of Marduk-erba, s. of ${ }^{\text {amelu }}$ epesh $(-e s h)-a n)$.

From early days Babylonia was a country of many tongues, but at no other period of its varied history are we so impressed with the large foreign element living in the rich alluvial plain between the Euphrates and Tigris as during the centuries following the fall of Babylon, 538 B.C. Nebuchadrezzar's conquests in the West, accompanied by the removal of thousands of captives to his own land, the overthrow of the Babylonian empire by Cyrus' victorious armies and the gradual settling of Persian officers and merchants in the new province, the constant invasions of nomadic tribes roaming alongside the fertile banks of the two rivers and the rapid spread of the Aramean language over a large portion of Western Asia ${ }^{2}$ affected the whole character and composition of the dense population very decidedly, changing the language, customs and life in this small country to a larger extent than the Arabian and Cassite occupations of the second millennium. The population of Babylonia at the time of Artaxerxes I. appears about as thoroughly mixed as that of the States of New York and Pennsylvania at our own time. And as the emigrants from Europe brought the local and personal names of their native lands to the different settlements in the New World, so Persians and Medians, Arameans and Sabeans, Judeans and Edomites, etc., transplanted those of their former abodes (cf. Ashkelon $86: 8$, Heshbon $86^{a}: 6$ ) to ancient Babylonia.

[^6]The old Babylonian names begin to decrease and foreign names taking their place become very common. These new names are of inestimable value in more than one respect. Especially numerous are Persian and Aramean personal proper names. Cf. Ahratush, Arabak, Arsham, Artâ, Artabarri, Artahshar, Artarêmu, Aspa'dasta', Atrumanu', Attamarga, Attarapāta, Bagâ, Baga'dâta, Baga'maha', Baga'mîri, Bagapānu, Mänūshtānu, Mitradâtu, Mitra'in, Pāpaku, Rushundâtu, Rushunpâti, Shatabarzana, TīraKâma, Tīridâta, T̄̄̀riûama, Ushtabuzana', etc., on the one hand and $A b d a$ ', $A d d u$-na-tan-na, Addu-rammu, Appussâ, Aqabi-il̂̀, Atê-iana', Attar-nûri', Barîk-Bêl, Barîki, Barîk-il̂̂, Barîk-Shamsĥ̂, Iādahu-Nabu, Iādîh-il̂̂, Il̂̂-idri', Il̂̂-qatari, Nabî-dala', Nabûhaqabi, Nabî-qatari, Nab̂̂-zabad, Nadiru, Qus-dana', Qusu-iâhabir, ${ }^{1}$ Rahîm-il̂̂, Shamshî-barakku, Zabîdâ, etc., on the other hand. Unusually large is the number of Jewish names known from the Old Testament, especially from the books of Ezra and Nehemiah, which we meet frequently in our own cuneiform inscriptions. Others are unknown in the O. T. $A d$ -




 Minahhimmu (מְנַחם), Miniamîni \& Miniamê (בִּנְיָמִין), Nabundu (=Nabuntu= Nabuttu = Nabâtu = (נָוֹת),

 Zabâdu (זבוּר), Zimmâ (זְָּׂ), etc.

There is no doubt that a considerable number of the Jewish exiles carried away by Nebuchadrezzar were settled in Nippur and its neighborhood, where many of their descendants continued to live as long as this city existed, to judge from the many inscribed Hebrew vases excavated in the upper strata of its ruins. The Talmudic tradition, which identifies Nippur with Calneh (Gen. 10:10), gains new force in the light of these

[^7]The aj of proper names in (Bi.-)Ar. frequently corresponds to $\hat{a}$ in Assyrian, cf. Bis $\hat{a}, \boldsymbol{H} a g g \hat{a}$, Eribâ.
names, strengthened by the argument that Nippur, which played a most prominent part in the early history of Babylonia, could not well have been omitted by the writer of Gen. 10 : 10. It is also important to learn from No. 84 of our inscriptions that " the river Kebar, in the land of the Chaldeans," by which Ezekiel, while among the captives of his people at Tel-abib, saw his famous visions of the cherubims (cf. Ez. $1: 1,3 ; 3: 15 ; 10: 15$ ), and for which we hitherto searched in vain in the cuneiform literature, is doubtless identical with the ${ }^{\text {naru }}$ Kabari, a large navigable canal not far from Nippur. Cf. also No. 4:9.

It is natural to suppose that men of so many different nationalities living together in a comparatively small country should finally amalgamate and become one new people, to whose language and literature, customs and religion each nation represented contributed its own larger or smaller share. This process of gradual amalgamation is clearly visible in the language (cf. e.g., the Persian words ${ }^{a m e l t} d \hat{a} t a b a ̂ r i,^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{\text {amelu }} u s(z)$ tarbâri ${ }^{2}$ (cf. also uz-bar$r a, 28: 1$, and $u z-b a-r i, 73: 1$ ), amelu pitipabaga ${ }^{3}$ ) and proper names of our inscriptions. That captives and slaves without regard to their former position and nationality, as a rule, received a new name from their Babylonian masters, is illustrated by the large number of slaves with pure Babylonian names in the Neo-Babylonian contracts (cf. B. A., III, p. 452). Cf. also Daniel 1:7. But also Persians, Arameans and other immigrants from foreign countries who had settled in Babylonia adapted themselves more and more to their new surroundings, frequently giving their children Babylonian names. Cf. Ni-dintum-Bêl, son of Bagâna'; Bêl-ittannu, son of Ushtabuzana'; Iqîsha-aplu, son of Karsakka'; Marduka, son of Arabak; Bêl-bullitsu, son of $A(?) d a^{\prime}$ mamiasta; Bêl-êtir and Shum-iddina, sons of Shara'a-ili, etc. On the other hand we find Persian, Hebrew and Aramean names borne by children of persons with ordinary Babylonian names. This fact is doubtless due to the frequent marriages between Babylonian men and foreign women, ${ }^{4}$ also to fashion and-in the case of Persian names-to the powerful influence exercised by the ruling class in all affairs of public and private life. The following ex-

[^8]amples may serve as illustrations: Artammara (Pe.), son of Bêl-ibni; Mitra'in (Pe.), son of Marduk-nâdin-shumu; Shatabarzana (Pe.), son of Bêl-ibni; Tīridata (Pe.), son of Ninib-êtir ; Miniamîni (He.), son of Bêl-abu-usur; Miniamê (He.), son of Bâniia; Hanûn (He.), son of Bêlshunu; Igdaliàma (He.), son of Nanâ-nâdin; Aqqabi-ilî (Ar.), son of Ah-iddina; Bêl-barakki (Ar.), son of Marduk-êtior ; Shamshî-nadari (Ar.), son of Bél-êtir. (In many cases former slaves who regained their liberty may have given their children names peculiar to their own people from which they were carried away.) Similar examples can be quoted from much earlier times. I remind my readers of Rammân-shum-usur and. Marduk-apal-iddina, father and son of Mili-Shipak, a member of the Cassite dynasty, and of the names Apil-Sin and Sin-muballit borne by members of the so-called first dynasty of Babylon, whose Arabian origin was recently demonstrated by Sayce and Hommel. All these exảmples, which could be easily multiplied, teach us how difficult and impossible it is to determine the nationality of a person living in ancient Babylonia merely from his name.
 the corresponding cuneiform inscriptions, have been excluded from the "Concordance of Proper Names" because their reading is doubtful. If $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(\text {P) }}$ ב (71) were certain, we should expect the name of a deity in the first half, but a deity 'מוג is unknown to me. Besides, the two letters גו do not appear to be quite certain. The last three consonants in the second name represent the Babylonian goddess Bêlit. The first part is perhaps indi-imdi ("support is Bêlit"). . The Aramaic transliteration of a Babylonian $\boldsymbol{y}$ (scarcely distinguished from $\boldsymbol{N}$ in the pronunciation) by $\boldsymbol{N}$ would not offer particular difficulties.

Contrary to the custom prevailing in the large number of Neo-Babylonian contracts, we find the phrase X. apil(mar)-shu sha Y. apil(matr) Z. only exceptionally in our own texts. In 48:35 we meet with the expression Ni. aplu sha A. aplu sha Nu. and in 73: 3f. with $N$. aplu sha $B$. mâr I. As a rule only the father's name is added, the phrase in use being aplu sha (passim) or mâru sha ( $6: 1,2,10 \mathrm{ff}$; $9: 2 ; 10: 2$ and often) or $\operatorname{apil}^{1}($ mâr $)(13: 2,5,7 \mathrm{ff}$; $62: 13 \mathrm{f}$. and often) or rarely apil-shu sha (16:13 ff.; 22: 1 f.; 31). Sometimes two of them are found in the same inscription, cf. $12: 9 ; 14: 9$ (aplu sha) with $12: 3,8,13,16 ; 14: 12,16$ (mâru sha) or $27: 9$ ff. (aplu sha) with $27: 11$ f. (apil-shu sha). In 100:2,3 (Bêl-ittannu) and 8:19 (Ninib-nâdin) father and son bear the same name. ${ }^{2}$

[^9]
## TRANSLATIONS OF SELECTED TEXTS.

A complete transliteration and translation of the texts here published will be found in Series C. The most of these documents present but little difficulty to the translator. The few difficulties occurring in them are, for the greater part, confined to a number of new words or to certain titles of officers and technical terms more or less known from other inscriptions, and which have not yet found a satisfactory explanation. At the especial request of some gentlemen who have been instrumental in sending out this expedition, I add the transliteration, translation and a brief analysis of a few representative texts, in order to illustrate the general character of the hundred and twenty documents here published.

## 1.

No. 41 (cf. Pl. II, No. 2), Artax. I., year 35th, Elul 8th.
Contents: Guarantee that an emerald set in a gold ring will not fall out for twenty years.

## Transliteration:

1. ${ }^{m d} B \hat{e} l^{1}-a h$-idddina $u^{m} B e ̂ l-s h u-n u$ aplê sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l^{1}-\ldots .2 . u^{m} \underline{H} a-t i n ~ a p l u ~ s h a$ ${ }^{m} B a-z u-z u a-n a{ }^{m}{ }^{\text {d }} B e ̂ l$-nâddin-shumu [aplu sha] 3. ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-ú ki-a-am iq-bu-и́ um-ma $u[n]-q[u]$ 4. sha tam-lu-ínsha bár-ra-aq-tum sha ina hurdấsi shak-na-at 5. a-di XX shanâti sha la ma-qa-at sha ba-ar-ra-aq-tum 6. ultu un-qu sha hurâṣu na-sha-ni. Ina ̂̀mu(-mu) maqât( $=\mathbf{R U})$ ba-ar-raq-tum 7. ultu un-qu sha ḩurâṣu a-di la $X X$ shanâti e-tir-ti 8. X ma-na kaspu ${ }^{m}{ }^{a}$ Bêll${ }^{1}$-ah-iddina ${ }^{m} B e ̂ l-s h u-n u{ }^{m} H a-t i n ~ 9 . ~ a-n a ~{ }^{m}{ }^{3} B e ̂ l^{1}-$ nâdin-shumu i-nam-din-u'.

## Translation:

Bêl-ahl-iddina and Bêlshunu, sons of Bêl . . . . , and H्Lâtin, s. of Bazûzu, spoke unto Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashû, thus: As concerns the gold ring set with an emerald, we guarantee that for twenty years the emerald will not fall out of the gold ring. If the emerald should fall out of the gold ring before the end of twenty years, Bêl-ah-iddina, Bêlshunu (and) HGâtin shall pay unto Bêl-nâdin-shumu an indemnity of ten mana of silver.

For the names of the seven witnesses and of the scribe cf. the "Concordance of Proper Names."

Lines 15, f.: "Thumb-nail mark of Bêl-ah-iddina, Bêlshunu and Hâtin instead of (supplement ku-um) their seal."

Annotations: No. 1, L. 1. For the transliteration of the various ideograms for Bêl, cf. p. 23. L. 4. For taml̂̂, "setting" ("Besatz"), cf. I R. 35, No. 1, 20; more frequent is tamlîtu (cf. Delitzsch, A. H., p. 411). That unqu, "ring," is fem., is shown by the suffix sha (in sha tamlu-sha).-Bar-ra-aq-tum, ba-ar-ra-aq-tum (l. 5), ba-ar-raq-tum (1.6), "emerald," known only from this passage, cf. He. ברקת (Ezek. 23:13; Ex. 28:17; 39:10), Sskr.

## 2.

No. 57, Artax. I., year 37th, Adar 17th.
Contents: A Babylonian citizen becomes surety for the release of his nephew from prison under the condition that the latter shall not leave Nippur without especial permission.

## Transliteration :

 nâdin-shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m} M u-$ ra-shí- $\quad$ 3. ki-a-am iq-bi um-ma ${ }^{m} N i-d i n-t u m-{ }^{d} B e ̂{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Eshê-êtir 4. ahi-ia sha ina bût ki-lu sab-ti ina pâni-ia 5. mush-shtrr b(p)u-ut-su lu-ush 6. sha ultu Nippur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ a-na a-shar sha-nam-ma la il-la-ku. 7. Ar-ki ${ }^{m}{ }^{a} B e ̂{ }^{1}{ }^{1}-n a ̂ d i n-$ shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shu-и́u ish-me-shu-ma 8. ${ }^{m}$ Ni-din-tum- ${ }^{d}$ Bêl ${ }^{1}$ aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Eshê-êtir ahi-shu sha ina bût ki-lu ṣab-ti 9. ina pâni-shu un-dash-shír. Ina umu(-mu) ${ }^{m}$ Ni-din-tum- ${ }^{d} B e ̂ \not l^{1} \quad$ 10. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Eshê-êtir ultu Nippur ${ }^{k i}$ a-na a-shar sha-nam-ma 11. it-ta-al-kiu sha la dìnu (written DI-TAR) u ra-ga-mu 12. ${ }^{m a} B e{ }^{1} l^{1}$-ah-iddina $X$ ma-na kaspu $\alpha-n a^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l^{1}-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u ~ i n u-a n-d i n$.

## Translation:

Bêl-ah-iddina, son of Bêl-nầid, of his own free will spoke to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashâ, thus: Deliver unto me Nidintum-Bêl, son of my brother Eshêeettir, who is held in prison. I shall become bail for him that he does not go from Nippur to any other place. Whereupon Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashâ, granted his request (literally "listened to him") and delivered unto him Nidintum-Bêl, son of his brother Eshê-êtir, who was held in prison. If Nidintum-Bêl, son of Eshê-êtir, should go from Nippur to any other place without legal process (i.e., without having obtained legal permission), Bél-ah-iddina shall pay to Bêl-nâdin-shumu ten mana of silver.

Names of five witnesses and the scribe. Seal of the first witness.
markata, Targ $a d \hat{b} l \hat{a}$, "before the end of " ("vor Ablauf von"); passages like 19:11; 40:5 ( $a d i-i$ ) prove against Delitzsch, $A$. H., p. $23^{\text {a }}$, that the Assyr. preposition and conjugation $a d \hat{\imath}$ is not "durchgängig zu ad̆̈ abgeschliffen"-e- $t i r-t i$, subst. fem. (notwithstanding the three perpend. wedges, the first sign is apparently intended for " $e$ ") ="Schadloshaltung, Entschädigung," "indemnity," a word known to me only from this passage and Const. Ni. $500: 10$ (e-tir-tum).

Annotations: No. 2, L. 3. ki-a-ain, cf. also ka-a-ma (43;2) and ka amu (3;5, cf. also sha-nam-mu "another," $36: 10$, instead of the common sha-nam-ma).-L. 5. $\bar{b}(p) u$-ut-su lu-ush, cf. the similar text, Strassmaier, Cyrus 281. For the abbreviated form $l u-u s h(三 l \hat{u}+a s h s h i)$, cf. $l u$-us, "I will go out," and Delitzsch, Assyr. Gram., §39. L. 10. sha lâ, "without," very frequent in the Contract literature (cf. Z. A., IV, 70), but misunderstood by Pinches (in The Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, July, 1897, p. 601, No. 5, 1.11) and wanting in Delitzsch, $A$. H. That DL-TAR, "judge," must also have had the value dinu, "judgment," becomes evident from its connection with ragâmu, and from passages like $32: 2$ (mim-ma di-i-ni u ra-ga-mu). The phrase dinu uragâmu, so far as I can see, confined to the language of the time of the Persian kings, means literally "entering complaint and judgment," or rather "judgment and entering complaint" (the more important word of the phrase being placed first), describing the "legal process" ("Gerichtsverfahren") according to its two principal features. Cf. the phrase

## 3.

No. 69, Artax. I., year 39th, Adar 4th.
Contents: Agreement to abandon legal proceedings. An inhabitant of Nippur complains to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, that the latter's servants, in collusion with his own brother and nephew, have robbed his house. On the property being restored, he agrees for himself and his children to take no legal proceedings against the servants or their master.

## Transliteration :

1. ${ }^{m} \dot{U}$-da-ar-na-' aplu sha ${ }^{m} R a-$ hi-mi-ili sha ina puhri Nippur ${ }^{k[i]}$ 2. a-na ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l^{1}-$ nâdin-shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-ú iq-bu-й um-ma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ mârê-bîtâti-lk $[a]$ 3. ${ }^{h} \alpha$-like na-ash-par-ti-ka $u^{h} a r d \hat{a ̂ n i-k a ~ i t-t i ~}{ }^{m} Z a b-d i-i a$ ahi-ia $u^{m}{ }^{m}$ Bêl-it-tan-nu mâri-[shu ?] 4. a-na biti-ia [ki-i] i-ru-bu-' nikasi-ia u ú-di-e bîti-ià it-ta-shá[-’]. 5. Ár-ki ${ }^{m a}$ Bêt1-nâdin-



 shu ma-hi-ir. Dînu (written DI-TAR) u ra-ga-[mu] 10. sha ${ }^{m} \dot{U}$-da-ar-na-' u mârê-shu
 na-ash-par-ti-s[hu u] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ardâni-shu a-na ûmu (-mu) sa-a-tíu [ia-nu] 12. ul i-tur-ru-ma
 ${ }^{h} m a ̂ r e ̂-b i ̂ t a ̂ t i-s h u ~ u ~{ }^{h} \alpha-l i k k ~ n a-a s h-p a r-t i-s h u ~ u^{h} a r d[a n i-s h u]$ 14. a-na ûmu(-mu) sa-a-túu ul $i-r a g=g u-[m u]$.

## Translation:

Udarna' (= Hydarnes), son of Rahîm-ili, of Nippur, spoke to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashâ, thus: Thy bondslaves, thy messenger and thy servants, in collusion with Zabdiia, my brother, and Bêl-ittannu, his son, have entered my house and carried off my property and my household goods. Whereupon Bêl-nâdin-shumu examined his bondslaves, his messenger, his servants, Zabdiia and Bêl-ittannu, took that property away from them and returned it to Udarna'. That property Udarna' has received from Bêl-nâdinshumu, his bondslaves and his messenger and his servants. There shall be no legal proceedings of Udarna' and his children on account of that property against Bêl-nâdin'shumu, his bondslaves, his messenger and his servants in perpetuo; Udarna' and his children shall not bring suit again on account of that property against Bêl-nâdin-shumu, his bondslaves and his messenger and his servants in perpetuo.

[^10]Names of twenty-two witnesses and the scribe. Seals of Udarna' and his son, Hananiiama, who acts as a witness, and of five other witnesses.

## 4.

No. 4, Artax. I., year 22d, Tishri 28th.
Contents: Obligation for the repayment of dates in kind to be delivered at a certain place and time, with penalty of doubling the amount due in case of default.

## Transliteration :

 ${ }^{m}$ Itti- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bêl-ab-nu ${ }^{\text {" gal-la }}$ 3. sha ${ }^{m}$ Ar-ta-ah-sha-ar. Ina ${ }^{\text {arbw }}$ Kislimu sha shattu XXII ${ }^{\text {kann }}$ 4. ${ }^{m} A r$-tah-sha-as-su sharru suluppu $m \hat{a}(A-A N)$ 5. LX gur ina ${ }^{\text {seu }} m a-$ shi-ha sha I pi I qa 6. ina ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Shu-sha-nu i-nam-din. A-na a-dan-ni-shu 7 . suluppu mâ LX gur la id-dannu ina ${ }^{\text {arthu }}$ Shabâtu 8. sha shattu $X X I I^{\text {kan }} C X X$ gur suluppu ${ }^{\text {[eli ndjiru }} K a$-ba-ru $i$-nam-din.

## Translation:

Sixty gur of dates, due from Itti-Bêl-abnu, slave of Artahshar, to Bêl-nâdin-shumu. son of Murashu. In the month of Kislev of the twenty-second year of King Artaxerxes he shall deliver (give) the dates, that is to say sixty gur, in Susa, according to the measure of I $p i \mathrm{I} q a(=37 q a)$. If at that time he shall not have delivered the dates, i.e., sixty gur, he shall give 120 gur of dates at the canal Kabaru in the month of Shebat of the 22 d year.

## Names of five witnesses and the scribe. Seal of Itti-Bêl-abnu.

Annotations: No.3, L. 1. sha ina puhur Nippur, i.e., "who (lives) in the aggregation of houses (puhru, 'totality') of Nippur." From a comparison of our passage with 87: 5 (a-di m Errba- dBêl a-napuhri i-ka-ash-shi$d u$, "until E. arrives there") and 7-8 (ina umu (-mu) mE. a-na-puhri $i$-ka-ash-shi-du (observe the $i$ after the second radical in the two passages!) nikasu shu'átu m Ha-nun u-tari-ma a-na m E. i-nam-din ("when E. arrives there, he shall restore that property to E.'") it becomes evident that ina(ana)puhri is here used as a synonym of ina(ana)libbi. L. 2. Iqbut instead of $i q-b i$. Cf. the similar forms ish-mu-shth-ma, $48: 10$ (instead of ish-me-e-shu-ma, 52: 7) or ish$m u-u-s h \hat{u}-n u-t i-m u$ (instead of ishmêshuntiti ma) and the abnormal form ish-shú-me-shu, 87: 6 (=ishmêshu) and [i-sh]e-im-me-'a, 3:11 (工ishéme-ma, praes. instead of praeter. ishmêma). Cf also ish-me-shi-ma, 26:9, and id-da-
 bîti, to judge from the way in which its plural is written in our text (TURpl. Epl., cf. Epl. ANpl. =bîtêti ilàni, "temples," alongside of b̂tâti ili, Delitzsch, A. H., p. 1\%1). Outside of the inscriptions of Artaxerxes I., where TUR-E occurs frequently (mostly preceded by the determinat. amêlu, cf. $14: 6,13 ; 15: 3,11 ; 45: 30 ; 50: 7,[13] ; 59: 7,8$, $15: 68: 2 ; 83: 9,15 ; 84: 4$, but also without it, cf. $1: 6,20,29 \mathrm{f}$.), I have not met with this expression except in a proper name (Strassmaier, Oyrus $146: 10$ ), which apparently is not to be transliterated iluTUR-EV-iddina ("the god $T U R$ - $E$ has given,'" Demuth in B. A.. III, p. 416, No. 24), but Ilu-mâr.b̂̂ti-iddina ("God has given a mâr bîti). amelumîr bît;, "one born in the house," I regard as the Assyrian equivalent for the Latin verna, "a bondslave," in distinction from slaves captured in war, purchased or acquired in another way. L. 3. m. a. Bèl-it-tan-nu for it-tan-nu instead of $i d$-dan-nu, cf. Introduction, p. 24. L. 4. nikasi-ia u ú-di-e bìti-ià, cf. 87 : 7 (mimma nikasu ú-di-e hnangaru $u$ ú-di-e hpa-ha-ruu). L. 9. For dînu u ragâmu cf. the previous text. L. 11. ia nu supplemented according to a similar passage in Peiser, Babylonische Verträge, CXIII, 20 f . The more common phrase is rugummut ul $i \cdot \varepsilon h i$.

No. 4, L. 7. $m \hat{a}(A-A N)$ or ' $a$, generally translated "im Betrage von," corresponds in its usage rather to "namely" (i.e.) or to our parenthesis cf. $29: 17 \mathrm{f} . ; 35: 18 ; 36: 9 \mathrm{f} ; \mathbf{;} 66: 4 \mathrm{f}$.). L. 8. For the river Ka-ba-ru, if' here a river, cf. Introduction, p. 28.

## 5.

No. 6, Artax. I., year 26th, Iyyar 7th.
Contents: Obligation for the repayment of half a mine of silver with penalty of 40 per cent. interest (double the amount of the usual rate) in case of failure in the payment of the debt at the specified time.

## Transliteration:


 $a-n a a^{m} A t-t a-r a-p a-t a{ }^{n} d a-s h i-i a{ }^{\circ} 5$. sha ${ }^{m} A r-t a-a$ a-na muh-hi ${ }^{m}$ Zêr-ukîn iddan (-an) 6. Ina ki-ti sha ${ }^{\text {arbu }}$ Airu sha shattu XXVI ${ }^{\text {nan }}$ 7. kaspu mâ $\frac{1}{2}$ ma-na i-nam-din. A-na a-dan-ni-shu 8. la id-dan-nu arhi ina muh-hi I ma-ni-e 9. II shiqlu hu-but-lum i-nam-din.

## Translation:

Half a mine of fine (?) silver, due from Zer-ukin, son of Daian-ah-iddina, slave of Artâ, to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashû-silver which has been paid to Attarapāta, the dashiia (officer of unknown functions) of Artâ, on the account of Zêr-ukikn. At the end of the month of Iyyar of the 26 th year he shall pay the silver, $i$. e., half a mine. If at that time he shall not have paid, he shall pay interest at the rate of two sheqels pro mine per month ( $=40$ per cent.).

Namés of five witnesses and the scribe.

## 6.

No. 51, Artax. I., year 36th, Adar 26th.
Contents: Obligation for the delivery of 25,240 sun-dried bricks due from four persons at a specified time and place.

## Transliteration :

 ${ }^{m}$ Itti- ${ }^{a}$ Bél-pa-shar ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ardu sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{\text {a }}$ Béll'-nâdin-shumu ina muh-hi 3. ${ }^{m}$ Eriba-a aplu sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{m}$ Ninib-nâdin ${ }^{m d}$ Bél'-nâdin aplu sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl'-muballit $(-i t){ }^{m d}$ Ninib-alb-iddina 4. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ardi-ekallu-rabte u ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Ninib-ana-bîti-shu aplu sha ${ }^{n}$ Lu-í-i-di-ia. 5. Ina ${ }^{\text {argu }}$ Dûzu sha shattu XXXVII ${ }^{\text {tan }}$ libitttu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$ mâ 25240 ina ${ }^{\text {atu d Ninib-a-pa(?)-ri(?)-shu(?). }}$ 6. i-lab-bi-nu-ma i-gam-mar-u' ina ki-si i-man-nu-u' i-na-an-din-u'. 7. Ina libittu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$ mó

[^11]25240 ina lib-bi 11000 libittu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$ ina muih-hi ${ }^{m}$ Eriba-a, 8. 5700 ina muh-hi $i^{m d}$ Bêll$-n a ̂ d i n$, 4280 ina muh-hi ${ }^{\text {mad }}$ Ninib-ah-iddina 9. 4260 ina muh-hi ${ }^{m}{ }^{\text {d }}$ Ninib-ana-biti-shu.

## Translation:

Twenty-five thousand two hundred and forty adobes (sun-dried bricks) to be paid by Eribâ, son of Ninib-nddin, Bêl-nâdin-aplu, son of Bél-muballit, Ninib-ah-iddina, son of Ardi-ekallu-rabû, and Ninib-ana-bêtishu, son of Lû-idiia, to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasht̂, through his slave, Itti-Bél-pashar. In the month of Tammuz of the 37th year in the town of Ninib-aparishu(?) they shall make the adobes, i. e., 25240, accurately count and deliver them at the brickshed. From the 25240 adobes 11000 adobes are due from Eribâ, 5700 from Bêl-nâdin, 4280 from Ninib-alh-iddina, 4260 from Ninib-anabitishu.

Names of ten witnesses and the scribe.

## 7.

No. 17, Artax. I., year 29th, Ab 19th.
Contents: Mortgage. An orchard is pledged by two brothers as security for the payment of their debt.

## Transliteration :

1. CXXXIX gur suluppu sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bêt²-nâdin-shumu aplu sha 2. ${ }^{m} M u-r a-s h u ́-u$ ina
 sha shattu XXIX ${ }^{\text {kan }}$ 4. suluppu mâ CXXXIX gur. 5. ina ${ }^{\text {isu }}$ ma-shi-hi sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bél${ }^{2}-n a ̂-$ din-shumu ina ha-sa-ri inamdin(-in)-u'. 6. Eqil-shu-nu zaq-pu bit- ${ }^{\text {h }}$ BAN-shu-nu sha kishâdi naru Har-ri-pi-qu-du $\quad$ 7. mash-ka-nu suluppu mâ CXXXIX gur 8. ina pân

[^12]${ }^{m}{ }^{n}$ Bêl ${ }^{2}-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u{ }^{h} R a ̂ s h \hat{u}(-\hat{u})$ sha-nam-ma ina muh-hi 9. ul i-shal-lat $a-d i{ }^{m}{ }^{n} B e ̂ l^{2}-$ nâdin-shumu 10. ${ }^{h}$ râshuti-su in-ni-ti-ir. Ishtên(-en) b( $p$ )ut shan̂t(-i) na-shû-u sha ki-rib it-tir.

## Translation :

One hundred and thirty-nine gur of dates, due from Shamash-shum-iqîsha, and Bêlâni, sons of Kidin, to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashut. In the month of Tishri of the 29th year they shall deliver the dates, i.e., 139 gur , in the storehouse according to the measure of Bêl-nâdin-shumu. Their orchard, their bitt-qushtu, situated on the bank of the canal Harripiqudu, is held by Bêl-nâdin-shumu as pledge for the dates, i.e., 139 gur. No other creditor has power over it until the claim of Bêl-nâdin-shumu has been satisfied. One is security (responsible) for the other that the debt shall be paid (literally "that he will repay the loan").

## 8.

No. 48 (cf. Pl. iv, No. 5), Artax. I., year 36th, Tishri 2 d.
Contents: Sixty-year lease of two kinds of lands and buildings, the rent being paid in advance and the tenạnt guaranteed against all claims.

## Transliteration:




Annotations: No. 7, L. 5. Haşâru has been translated differently, "pflücken(?)" by Peiser, "viell. der Ort der Palmenpflanzung, wo die frischgepflückten Datteln gesammelt werden" by Delitzsch. The latter explanation is nearly correct. From 19:7 we learn, however, that the hhasâru is not confined to the "Palmenpflanzung'" (Delitzsch), but that it may be situated in a gate (ina haṣari sha ina bâbi Hanbara). In all probability, therefore, it denotes the storehouse of the dates in general. L. 6. Eqilshunu zaqpu, i.e., eqilshunu gishimmarê zaqpu (cf. Strassmaier, Nabonidus, 116:2;178:1;964:1 f.). Bît ametu $B A N(81: 2$, cf. also 13:2, and bêl $B A N, 10: 4 ; 36: 4)$, bît $B A N(10: 2 ; 36: 8)$, b̂̂t iṣu $B A N(19: 8 ; 31: 8 ; 37: 7$ and often) and, most frequently of all, $\mathrm{isu}^{\prime} B A N(8: 2 \mathrm{ff} ; 12: 2 \mathrm{ff}$; 74:2 ff., etc.), expressions wanting in the earlier language, occasionally found in the contracts of the sixth century, and very common at the time of Artaxerxes I. and Darius II., seem to have been introduced into Babylonia with the Persian conquest. They are synonymous terms, in all probability applying to a piece of land subject to a certain royal tax and military requisition generalized under the technical term of (bît) $q c s h t u$ "a property (subject to the requisition) of the bow." For bît isuqashtu as a synon. of bît eshrut cf. $30: 3$ with $45: 9 f$. In what this royal tax consisted we learn from texts like $5: 1 ; 13: 1 ; 44: 1 \mathrm{f}$; $82: 1 \mathrm{f}$; $83: 1 \mathrm{f} . ; 95$ : 11 f . (cf. p. 28, where these passages were transliterated, except $44: 1 \mathrm{f}$., which reads: TV. ma-na kaspu il-ki gam$r u$-tu [qime] ba-a-ri u mim-ma ni-din-tum sha bît sharri). According to these passages it consisted of men (soldiers), flour, bâri and different other gifts, varying according to the size and value of the taxed property. As in the case of lands held in fief, perhaps originally the term (bit)qashtu applied to certain property allotted by the crown (Persian kings) to certain persons under the condition of furnishing a fixed number of bowmen (şâbê qashti) and of rendering other military service. Cf. the frequent expression hbèl qashti (8:2, 11; 10:4; 12:4;38:4; 60:10, 18, etc.). L. ". mash-ka-nu, "pledge, security," plural mashkanâti (cf. mash-ka-mupl--ti (25:9), mash-Fa-na-ti ( $60: 6$ ), mash-ka-na-a-tú ( $82: 11$ ) ) ; the length of the second vowel, mashkânu, inferred from the Aram. אָּנְ proved by the writing mash-kan-nu (19: 10). L. 10. hRâshî-su (9:10; 22:11; 95:10) =ra-shu-us-su (100:9) $=r a ̂ s h \hat{u} t-s u$, alongside of ri-shí-ut-su (19:12 and Strassmaier, Nabonidus 17:16, cf. II R. 27: 43, e. f.).
shul-pu u sheqêru zaq-pu u p̂̀ shut-pu 3. sha ${ }^{m} R u-s h i t-u n-d a-t i$ ahh abi-ia mi-ta-nu-íu sha
 USII-SA-DU ${ }^{\text {she }}$ zéru sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Nabnt-ahêêiddina aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ninib-nâdin 5. u USH-SA-DU
 da-na 6. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bu-la-tu, shadû kishâd narud Sin, amurru kishâd ${ }^{\text {naru }}$ Shi-li-ih-li u USH-SA-DU ${ }^{\text {she }}$ چêru $\quad 7$. sha ${ }^{m} R u$-shu-un-pa-a-ti ${ }^{n}$ si-pir sha ${ }^{m}$ Ar-ta-ri-e-mu kul-lu a-na ${ }^{\text {isu }} B A R$ uza-ki-pu-tíu 8. $a-d i \quad L X$ shanâti lu-ud-dan-kám-ma shezêru ziq-pu $\alpha-n a{ }^{i s u} B A R$ ana shatti
 shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-й 10. ish-mu-shú-ma shezêru zaq-pu u p $\hat{\imath}$ shul-pu zitta-shu $\breve{u}$ zitta sha ${ }^{m} R u-s h u ́-u n-d a-a-t u$ aha abi-shu 11. mi-ta-nu-亿 shezêrru zaq-pu sha ina lib-bi a-na ${ }^{\text {isu }} B A R$ a-na shatti $X X$ gur suluppu u ${ }^{\text {she }}$ zêrru $p \hat{\imath}$ shul-pu 12. sha ina lib-bi a-na za-qip-

 14. sha shanâtti' $a L X^{m} B a-g a-$ '-mi-i-ri apla sha ${ }^{m} M i t-r a-d a-a-t u ́ a ~ i n a ~ q a t t^{2 m a} B e ̂ l^{1}-n a ̂ d i n-$ shumu mấru sha 15. ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shúú ma-hir e-tir. Ina и̂mu(-mu) a-di la shanâti' a LX i-shal-lim-u' 16. u ${ }^{\text {she }}$ zêru shu'átu ${ }^{m} B a-g a-'-m i-i-r i \quad a-n a{ }^{m}{ }^{\text {d }}$ Bêll${ }^{1}-n a ̂ d i n$-shumu ik-ki-mu ku-um dul-lu 17. sha ina lib-bi i-pu-shu u ziq-pu sha ina lib-bi iz-qu-pu Ibillu kaspu
 muh-ki shezêru shu'atu it-tab-shit-u 19. ${ }^{m}$ Ba-ga-'-mi-i-ri she êerru shu'atu ú-mar-raq-am-ma $a$-na ${ }^{m a}$ Bél-nâdin-shumu i-nam-din. 20. Ultu ${ }^{\text {arpu }}$ Nīsannu shatti $X X X V I I^{k a n}{ }^{m} A r$-tah-sha-as-su sharru shexêru shu'âtu $a-d i \quad L X$ shanâti 21. ana ${ }^{i s u} B A R$ ù za-qip-u-tu ina pân ${ }^{m}$ ª $B e ̂{ }^{1}-n a ̂ d i n$-shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shá-u.
34. Supur ${ }^{m} B a-g a-$ '-mi-i-ri aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mit-ra-da-a-ti ku-um kunukki-shu shú-um-da- $\alpha$-tim.
37. Ina a-sha-bi sha ${ }^{\dagger} E$-kùr-be-lit mârtu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-balâtu-it-tan-nu ummu sha ${ }^{m} B a-g a-{ }^{-}-m i-i-r i \quad$ sha-tar sha-lit.

## Translation:

Baga'mîri, son of Mitradâtu, spoke of his own free will to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashû, thus: My orchard and uncultivated land and the orchard and uncultivated land of Rushundâtu, my deceased uncle (literally "brother of my father"), situated on the bank of the canal Sin and (on the bank) of the canal Shilihtu, together with the dwelling houses in the town of Galiia, on the North adjoining the property of Nabî-ahê-iddina, son of Ninib-nâdin, and (adjoining) the property of Banani-êrish of Nippur, on the South adjoining the property of Minû-Bêl-dâna, son of Balâtu, on the East the bank of the canal Sin, on the West the bank of the canal Shilihtu and (adjoining) the field of Rushunpâti, secretary (?) of Artarêmu-all I will give to thee for sixty years for rent
and for planting it with trees, hold thou the orchard for a rent of twenty gur of dates per annum and the uncultivated land for planting it with trees. Whereupon Bêl-nddinshumu, son of Murashî, accepted his offer (literally "hearkened unto him"), and for sixty years he took over (literally "held") the orchard and the uncultivated land, his (i.e., Baga'mîri's) portion and the portion of his deceased uncle Rushundätu, the orchard part for a rent of twenty gur of dates per annum, and the uncultivated part for planting it with trees. Each year in the month of Tishri, Bêl-nâdin-shumu shall pay the twenty gur of dates to Baga'mîri, as rent of that property.

The entire rent of his property for sixty years Baga'mîri, son of Mitradâtu, has received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasht̂, he has been paid. If before the end of the sixty years Baga'mîri should take away that property from Bêl-nâdin-shumu, Baga'mîri shall pay one talent of silver to Bêl-nâdin-shumu as compensation for his work expended thereon, and for the trees which he has planted thereon. In case any claim should arise concerning that property, Baga'mîri shall settle the claim brought against that property (redeem it) and pay for Bêl-nâdin-shumu.

From the month of Nisan of the 37th year of King Artaxerxes that property is held for sixty years for rent and for planting it with trees by Bêl-naddin-shumu, son of Murashû.
L. 34: The (print of the) thumb-nail of Baga'mîri, son of Mitradâtu, was placed [upon the tablet] instead of his seal.
L. 37: In the presence of Ekur-bélit, daughter of Bêl-balâtu-itlannu, mother of Baga'mîri, the writing has been written.

Names of thirty witnesses (lines 22-33) and the scribe (1.35). Eleven of these witnesses left their seal impressions, accompanied by their names, on the four edges of the tablet.

Annotations: No.8, L. 2. The orig. meaning of the phrase (eqhu or sheqêru) pî shulpu, plur. shezêrupl. pî shulpu ( $86^{\mathrm{a}}: 2 \mathrm{f}$., 16), is not quite clear (cf. also Peiser, Keilschriftliche Actenstücke, 101), although it often occurs in our texts, either alone (e. g., $3: 5 ; 40: 3 ; 86^{a}: 2 \mathrm{ff} ; 88: 2 ; 102: 1 \mathrm{ff}$.) or more frequently in connection with eque or shezêru zaqpu (e.g., $9: 6 ; 10: 1 ; 28: 1 ; 31: 8 ; 32: 2 ; 72: 1$ ). That it is to be transliterated pit shulpu (Peiser) not $s h a h-p u$ (Ziemer in B. A., III, p. 456, No. 8) is settled by Strassmaier, Nobonidus $4: 12, p i-i s h u$-ul-pu. As to its general meaning there can be no doubt that it denotes a piece of land which at the time of the transaction is not under cultivation ("unbebaut, brach liegend '"). This may be inferred from the manner in which it is used in connection with shezêru zaqpu (and ziqpu, above 1. 8), from which it is carefully distinguished, and from different references made to (shezêru) p̂̂ shulpu in a number of texts. In our text the shezêru pî shulpu is given to a man ana zaqîpûtu (lines 7, 9, 12; cf. also zaqîpânûtu, Strassmaier, Nabuchodonosor 115:12), i. e., "to be planted with trees" (cf. above, p. 36, annot., L. 6). In 10:4 ff. we read shezêru zaqpu ana hamêl-urqûtu pî shulpu ana irrisht̂tu . . . . $i d d i n \hat{u}$, " they gave the orchard to be taken care of" ("zu gärtnerischer Pflege," Delitzsch, B. A., III, p. 386), "and the uncultivated land to be cultivated." In 101:5 (sherêru shu'átu ana hamêl-urqûtu . . . . iddin "that piece of land he gave to be taken care of") hamêl-urqûtu was likewise originally written with reference to shezêru zaqpu (1.1) only (cf. $99: 1,4$ ), for $p^{\hat{\imath}}$ shulpu was added above l. 1 after the contract had been written. We have, therefore,

## 9.

No. 49, Artax. I., year 36th, Tishri 5th.
Contents: Three years' hire of two trained oxen with their implements (of irrigation) and a certain quantity of barley for sowing by three brothers, who are each and severally responsible for the repayment of the debt.

## Transliteration :

1. ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l-i t-t a n-n u{ }^{m}{ }^{a} B e ̂ l-n a-s ̧ i r{ }^{m} M a r-d u k$ mârê sha ${ }^{m} A b u-u l-\hat{\imath} d i ~ i n a ~ h r u-u d ~ l i b-b i-$

 shim ina shatti $L X X V$ gur ${ }^{\text {she }} B A R \quad$ 4. ${ }^{i s u} B A R$ a-di $I I I^{t a}$ shanâti ina ${ }^{\text {ahu }}$ Hu-uş-si-e-túu sha ${ }^{m}$ Kal-ba-a sha ina-muth-hii 5. ${ }^{n i r i}{ }^{H}$ Har-ri-pi-qu-du nid-dan-ka. Ár-ki ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Bêli-nâdinshumu ish-me-e-shu-nu-ti-ma 6. II alpu um-man-ni u u-nu-tи $\breve{u}$ VII gur she $B A R$ a-na ${ }^{\text {she }}$ zêru $u$ a-na ${ }^{i s u} B A R a-d i I I^{a t}$ shanati 7. a-na shatti $L X X V$ gur ${ }^{\text {she }} B A R$ id-dash-shu-nu-ti. Ina shatti ina ${ }^{\text {arbu }}$ Aimi 8. ${ }^{\text {she }}$ BAR mâ $L X X V$ gur ina ${ }^{\text {isu }}$ ma-shi-hu sha ú-si-ishtum sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl ${ }^{\text {nâru }}$ Har-ri-pi-qu-du 10. i-nam-din-u'. Ishtên $(-e n) b$ (p)u-ut shan̂̂(-i) na-shú-úu sha ki-
 a-di III' ${ }^{a}$ shanâti inct pâni-shu-[nu].
to supplement "u ana irrishûtu" at the end of' 1. 5. Cf. also $3: 10 \mathrm{f}$.: shezêru shu'átu (which is sheqêrru pĥ shulpu, 1 . 6) . . . . alpu anu erêshu . . . . [iddin] "that piece of land (an uncultivated field) [and a number of] oxen for cultivating it [he gave]. No. $86^{a}$ is especially instructive: Several picces of land, designated as shezêru pî shutpu, are leased with seventy-two trained oxen for eighteen works of irrigation (four for each) and with several kinds of sceds to be sown upon these fields, in addition to 150 gur of she $B A R$ given extra for digging the necessary canals carrying the water over those fields (cf. lines 11, 19 : ana hivintu narate). Of. also Nos. 88, 89 and other texts of the present collection. L. 3, mîtânûu (cf. also 1. 11), "deceased, dead," instead of the common mìtu, so far as I remember, known only from this inscription. L. 4. bûtatti shubat alli "houses, dwelling places of the town," $i$. e., "dwelling houses situated in the town." The apposition (shubat alli), as a rule, stands in the singular, cf. Delitzsch, Assyr Gram., §124. L. 7. kullu . . . . Vaddankamma, "all (that is specified in the previous lines) I will give unto thee." Kul-lu $=$ Hebrew $7 \ni$, instead of the common fem. Rullatu, is confined to the later Babylonian, cf. also $60: 8 . \quad$ L, 9. kit-lu, "hold," imper. $\mathrm{II}_{1}=k \hat{\imath} l+u$, the vowel $u$ being added to avoid a monosyllabic word in the pause. In 1. 12 we read the preterite $\mathrm{II}_{1}(u-k i l=u k i l)$ of the same root $כ$, for which cf. Delitzscli, A. $H$. , p. 319b. Cf. also $30: 11$, $l i k-k i-i t=l u k i t=l u+u k \hat{\imath} l$, "I will hold." In view of the fact that a form likkìl would be withont parallel in the Assyr. grammar ( $l u$ in connection with the $u$ of the first person $I_{1}$, etc., never appearing as $i$, cf. Delitzsch, Assyr. Gram., \$93, p. 257 ), I regard the first sign of this verbal form to be rather $l u$, than $l i k(u r)$, reading therefore $l u$-ki-il. L. 13-19 contain the statement that Bêl-nâdin-shumu paid to Baga'mîni the unusually small rent for sixty years in advance, the latter guaranteeing against any loss of the former, Our document is therefore a lease and a receipt combined, differing in this regard from other documents of the same kind in the present collection, cf. Nos. (2, 88, 107, also 65, 67, 89, etc. L. 19. For the meaning of maraqu, genetally found in $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ (cf. ú-mar-raq-qa am-ma, 83 : 18), but also in IV (im-me-ri-ik-ku-u, 64;9; cf. Strassmaier, Nabuchodonosor 64 : 22) cf. Peiser, Babyl. Vertr., p. xlii, §11, end.

## Translation:

Bêl-ittannu, Bêl-nâsiv (and) Marduk, children of Abu-ul-îdi, spoke of their own free will to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashû, thus: Two trained oxen with their implements (of irrigation) and seven gur of barley for seed give us, and every year we will give thee seventy-five gur of barley as rent for three years in the town of Husṣétu-sha-Kalbâ, situated on the canal Harripiqudu. Whereupon Bêl-nâdin-shumu accepted their offer and gave to them two trained oxen with (their) implements and seven gur of barley as seed for three years, for a rent of seventy-five gur of barley yearly. Every year in the month of Iyyar they shall give the barley, $i$. e., seventy-five gur, according to the standard measure of Bêl-nâdin-shumu in Husṣêtu-sha-Kalbâ on the canal Hurripiqudu. They are each and severally responsible for the repayment of the loan of barley, oxen and implements.

Names of thirteen witnesses and the scribe. Prints of the thumb-nails of Bêl-ittannu and Marduだ, accompanied by the seal impression of Bél-ittanu.

Annotations: L. 2. II alpu ummànu "two oxen, ummânu," (apposition), i. e., "two trained oxen," $i . e .$, "oxen trained for irrigation," cf. $35: 8 \mathrm{f} ., 17$ (IV alpê um(-man)-nu sha IIta narṭabu, "four trained oxen for two works of irrigation ''), $86^{a}$ : 9 f., 17 (LXXII alpucoll. um-man-nu sha XVIII nartabu ir-bit-tu a-di ui-nu-ti-shu-nu gamip'$t i m$, "seventy-two trained oxen for eighteen works of irrigation, four for each, with all their implements"), $88: 4 \mathrm{f}$., 10 (IV alpu um-man-ni a-na ishtênit(-it, one perpendicular wedge omitted by the scribe) nartabu a-di ú-nu-tú-shu-nu gamir-tim (1. $11: g a m r i$ ), "four trained oxen for one work of irrigation with all their implements"). These works of irrigation ("Bewässerungsanlagen," called nartabu, cf. Delitzsch, A. H., p. 618, and ar-ta-bu, cf. Strassmaier, Cambyses $316: 1,6$ ) varying in size, were worked by two ( $49: 2 ; 35: 8 \mathrm{f} ., 17$ ), four ( $86^{a}: 9 \mathrm{f} ., 17 ; 88: 4 \mathrm{f} ., 10$ ), six or eight oxen respectively. Cf. K. 2014 and Delitzsch, Assyriol. Miscellen (Abhandl. der Kön. Süchs. Gesell. der Wissensch., 1893, pp. 193 ff .). Probably they resembled the present $n \hat{a}$ 'urah of Western Asia, being worked by means of wheels turned by oxen. Where there was enough current to turn the wheel, as along the banks of the upper Euphrates to-day, no oxen were needed. While in Babylonia I noticed two other simple methods of irrigation, doubtless also employed in ancient times. 1. An ox walks up and down, lifting and lowering a large skin (or several sewed together), fastened at one end to a beam and at the other to a long rope passing over a pulley and drawn by the ox. I's soon as the ox approaches the canal, the rope being slackened, the skin dips into the water. By turning away from the elevated embankment, the ox draws up the other end of the skin filled with water, emptying it into a wooden box, from which it flows out in wooden troughs to the irrigation channels. 2 . The other kind of irrigation is practiced by the poorest class. Two men standing close at the edge of a canal, and each holding the end of a skin with both hands dip it into the water, bring it up filled and empty it into a trough, from which again it is conducted into the irrigation channels. L. 3. ana shezêru, not "for a field," but "for seed." That shezêru is to be understood literally in this connection becomes evident from passages like $86^{a}: 10,17$, where notwithstanding the fact that several fields are leased, she $B A R$, shamashshammu, etc., are asked ana shezerru (sing.), i.e., "for seed" (not "for the fields" $=a n a$ shezèrupl. shu'átu).—ibi-in-na-nish-shim= $\hat{\imath}$ bînannâashi-ma, "O, give us!". The particle $\hat{\imath}$, on which cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, pp. 48-53, is almost always found in connection with b̂̂n in our inscriptions, cf. $40: 6$ ( $\hat{\imath}$ bi-in$n a m-m a), 65: 5,10 ; 89: 3(\hat{\imath} b i-i n-n a-a m-m a), 43: 6$ ( $\hat{\imath}$ bi-nam-ma), $16: 5 ; 86^{a}: 12 ; 109: 4$ ( $\left.\hat{\imath} b i-i n-n a-a n-n a-s h i m-m a\right)$,
 $4 ; 65: 6,11,18 ; 67: 5 ; 89: 4,7$, etc.), or úshesh-tum (29:21;30:24) is an expression like mashîhu sha mitti (Strassmaier, Nabonidus 162:2; Nabuchodonosor 436:10), mashîhu sha sattuk (Strassmaier, Cambyses 61: 1; 62:1), mashîhu sha tartsi ( $58: 7$ ) or mashîhu tar-su (32a : 6). Cf. on imitti and sattuk Delitzsch in B. A., III, pp. 385 ft ., and on tarsi above; p. 20, note 3. Useshtum, dissimilated from usheshtum, is derived from ashâshu, "to fix, to establish, to found ;" mashithu sha useshtum sha $B$. is "the measure fixed by $B$, ," $i$. e, "his standard measure."

## $10^{a}$.

No. 46 (cf. Pl. III, No. 4), Artax. I., year 36th, Elul 15th.
Contents: Receipt for the advance payment of one year's rent (four sheqels of silver) for a field.

## Transliteration:

1. Ina ${ }^{\text {isu }} B A R$ eqli sha shattu $X X X V I I^{\text {kan }}$ sha eqlu sha ${ }^{m} A \underline{1} u-s h u-n u$ 2. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ni-din-ti sha ina pân ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib aplu sha 3. ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shúáa ina lib-bi IV shiqlu kaspu 4. ${ }^{m}$ Ahbu-shu-nu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ni-din-ti ina qât ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib 5. aplu sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l^{1}-$ ha-tin ma-hi-ir e-tir.

## Translation :

From the rent of a field for the thirty-seventh year, which belongs to Ahushunu, son of Nidinti, and is leased to Rimût-Ninib, son of Murashû-from it Ahushunu, son of Nidinti, has received four sheqels of silver from Rîmût-Ninib, son of Bél-hâtin, he has been paid.

Names of eight witnesses and the scribe. Print of the thumb-nail of Ahushunu.

## $10^{\mathrm{b}}$.

No. 71 (cf. Pl. VIII, No. 9), Artax. I., year 40th, Ab 3d.
Contents: Receipt for two years' arrears of rent (twenty-six gur of dates) for a field.

## Transliteration:

1. XXVI gur suluppu SHAG-EN eqli sha ina ${ }^{a l u}$ Bit- ${ }^{m}$ Za-bi-in 2. $\breve{u}$ ina ${ }^{a l u}$ Ga-di-ba-tum sha shattu $X X X V I I I^{\text {kan }}$ shattu, $X X X I X^{\text {kan }}$ 3. sha ${ }^{m a}$ Nab̂̂-it-tan-nu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Shi-ish-leu sha ina pân ${ }^{m}{ }^{a}$ Bêl${ }^{2}$-nâdin-shumu 4. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-ú ${ }^{m}$ d Nabû-il-tan-nu ina qât ${ }^{2 m a} B \hat{B} t^{2}-n \hat{a} d i n-s h u m u \quad$ 5. ma-hir e-tiir.

## Translation:

Twenty-six gur of dates, rent of a field lying (partly) in the town of Bit-Zabin and (partly) in the town of Gadibatum, for the thirty-eighth and thirty-ninth years, (a field) which belongs to Nabut-ittannu, son of Shishku, and is leased to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashâ, Nab̂̂-ittannu has received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu, he has been paid.

Names of six witnesses and the scribe. Impressions of the seal of Nabit-ittannu and of the seal ring of Kinco-aplu, a witness.

For the Aramaic docket cf. p. 24, note 1, and p. 29. I translate it "Document concerning a sea of land ( $i$. e., a common Babylonian sea) of Nabu-ittannu charged to the account of (Aramaic translation of the common Assyrian ana muhhi or ana eli) ?-barakku (to whom Bêl-nâdin-shumu apparently had sublet the field of Nabû-ittannu).

[^13]
## 11.

No. $66^{\circ}$ (cf. Pl. VIII, No. 11), Artax. I., year 39th, Tishri 19 th.
Contents : Receipt from a slave for one year's rent for half a field and a stable for horses, embodying the obligation to deliver the same to his master and to the son of the latter.

## Transliteration :

1. XXV gur suluppu u ishtên $(-e n)^{\text {karpatu }} d a n[-n u]$ shikaru reshtû 2 . ishtên immeru I pi XXIV qa ki-me ${ }^{i s u} B A R$ sha mi-shil [e]qlu 3 . bît sist sha ina ${ }^{\text {ahu }}$ Bit- ${ }^{m}$ Balatt-su 4. sha shattu $X X X I X^{k a n}$ sha ${ }^{m} A r$-tah-sha-as-su shar mâtâti sha ${ }^{m} M u$-she-zib- ${ }^{d}$ Bêl aplu sha ${ }^{n}$ Eriba-a 5. sha ina pân ${ }^{m}$ Bêt¹-nâdin-shumu aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-u 6. ${ }^{m}$ Ardi-ia ${ }^{\text {h gal-la }}$ sha ${ }^{m}$ Eriba-a a-ki-i 7. shi-pir-tum u kunukleum sha ${ }^{m}$ Eriba-a abi sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-she-zib- ${ }^{d}$ Bêl
 e-tiv 10. Suluppu' a XXV gur, karpatu dan-nu ishtên(-en) [Erasure of BI=shikaru], 11. immer̆u ishtên (-en), ki-me I pi XXIV qa, ${ }^{i s u}$ BAR sha mi-shil [eq] lu, 12. ${ }^{m}$ Ardi-ia
 zib- ${ }^{d}$ Bêl $\mathfrak{u}-s h a-a z-z a-a z-m a ~ 14 . ~ a-n a{ }^{m d} B e ̂ l^{1}-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u ~ i-n a m-d[i n]$.

## Translation:

Twenty-five gur of dates and one jar of the best date wine, one lamb, sixty $q a$ of flour, rent for half a field and a stable for horses, lying in the town of Bit-Baldtsu, for fields, canals, different kinds of seeds, machines of irrigation and oxen to work them. All the objects, etc., desired are specified by the person or persons who apply for them. Whereupon the owner "ish-me-shu-nu-ti-man ndru dBèl" sha ina alu Ku-hुur-du, shezêru shu'átu sha ina muh-hi-shu, alpê' a IV um-nu for ummaunu = ummênu through syncope, (cf. above p. 25, note5) sha IIta isunartabu, SHAG-EN' $a$ a-na sherêrï̈d-dash-shu-nu-ti-ma," $i . e$. , "accepted their offer and gave them the canal of Bêl in the town of Kuhurdu, that field which lies on it, the oxen, $i$. e., four, trained for two works of irrigation, (and) the $S H A G-E N$, i. e., for sowing' ' ( $35: 15 \mathrm{ff}$.; cf. also $26: 9 \mathrm{f}$; $29: 16 \mathrm{ff}$.). $S H A G-E N$ represents here all the different kinds of seeds asked for by the applicant for sowing, and including cereals (preceded by the determ. she, "grain") and vegetables like shumu, "onion," etc. It is evident that in this connection $S H A G-E N$ must be regarded as a general expression for seeds, corresponding to the German "Sämereien," or, as we shall presently see, more closely to the Greek $\sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho o s$ (Plut., Symp. 4, 5, 2). 2. More frequently it is found in the same class of contracts after gur in passages where the total number of gur of the different kinds of cereals and vegetables offered and to be paid as rent to the landlord, is quoted. The different names of cereals and vegetables instead of being repeated are designated by one word as SHAG-EN. Cf. naphar IIOLVgur SHAG-EN. . . . nid-dan-ka ( $35: 14 \mathrm{f}$ ) ) or $i$-nam-din-nu-' (35:22ff.), "totally 255 gur $S H A G-E N . .$. we will pay unto thee," or "they shall pay." Cf. also $26: 8,10^{\text {bff. }}$; $29: 15 \mathrm{f}$., 21 f ; $30: 16 \mathrm{f}$., 23 f .; $52: 11 \mathrm{f} . ; 65: 6 \mathrm{f} ., 11 \mathrm{f} ., 14 \mathrm{ff}$; $67: 5 \mathrm{f} ., 9 \mathrm{f} . ; 86^{\mathrm{a}}: 14 \mathrm{ff}$, 21 ff ; 80:8ff., 14ff. Here it corresponds to the Assyrian balâtu, "produce," the German "Ertrag," and to the Greek $\sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho \sigma_{s}$ in the sense in which it is used Soph., Phil. 700. 3. In the passages quoted under No. 2, and particularly in No. 71 above, the meaning of "produce" is about to go over into that of "Pacht," "rent." It denotes not "produce" in general, but "a fixed portion of the produce." "Twenty-six gur of dates of the produce of a field," stands for "twenty-six gur of dates, rent of a field," $S H A G-E N$ being used as a synonym of $i s u B A R$ (for which cf. Peiser, Babyl. Verträge, pp. XXfr.). There is no English word exactly corresponding to $S H A G-E N$ with its different meanings, "produce," or "revenue," being perhaps nearest to it.
the thirty-ninth year of Artaxerxes, king of the countries, belonging to Mushêzib-Bêl, son of Eribâ, and leased to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasht̂, Ardïa, slave of Eribâ, in accordance with an order bearing the seal of Eribâ, father of Mushêzib-Bêl, and MushêzibBêl, has received from Bêt-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasht̂, he has been paid. The dates, i. e., twenty-five gur, one jar, one lamb, and the flour, $i$. e., sixty $q \dot{a}$, the rent for half a field, which Ardiua, slave of Eribâ, has received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu, he shall leave with Eribâ and Mushêzib-Bêl delivering the same for Bêl-nâdin-shumu.

Names of seven witnesses and the scribe. Print of the thumb-nail of Ardiaia and impressions of the seals of three witnesses.

For the Aramaic docket cf. p. 24, note 1, and p. 29, and an article by Prof. Nöldeke in one of the next numbers of $Z . A$.

## 12.

No. 83, Artax. I., year 40th (month and day broken off).
Contents: An official's receipt for one year's entire taxes on three fields, embodying the obligation to account to his superior for the payment made to him.

## Transliteration:

1. [I] ma-na kaspu ${ }^{k}$ sâb sharri ki-me sha sharri bar-ra $\mathfrak{u}$ mim-ma na-d $[a-n a-a-t$ tú $] 2$.


Annotations: No. 11, L. 2. Instead of ishtên immeru, "one lamb," we read ishten(-en) ni-qu-u, "one sacrificial lamb," in the same connection 28": 2. Cf. also $45: 16 \mathrm{f}$. (24f.), II alpucoll. sha rê'i-i-tum й XX LU-ARADUSH rê'i-i-tum with $86^{n}: 15$ (23), ishtên(-en) alpu, $X V a i-k a r$, from a comparison of these two passages we learn that ailearu alone may be used in the sense of $L U-A R A D U S H$ (i. e., immeruzikaru) "ram." L. 4. Observe the three different ways in which the cuneiform sign for sha is written in this line (with two wedges, three wedges and one wedge), cf. p. 17. In Strassmaier, Cyrus 281: 15f. (sha eli isu $B A R$ shad dShamash) and in other passages of his editions of contracts $s h a$ is written with one wedge as frequently in our own inscriptions. Demuth's conjecture ( $B$. A., III, p. 433, No. 35, note 2) is therefore out of place. L. 6f. aktî shipirtum u lumukki (cf. 75: 6f.), "in accordance with the message and seal," $i$. e., a message or order (in other words, a cuneiform tablet) which bore the seals of Rribê and Mushêzib-Bêt. Shipru, shipirtu and nashpartu are synonyms, nashpartu being most commonly used in the contract literature. As nashpashtum is used alongside of nashpartum (cf. $73: 5$ and Strassmaier, Oambyses 338 : 19) we find shipishtum (32:1) alongside of sthipirtum ( $47: 11$ ). The peculiar writing ina-na-ash-shi-par (pir) tum (Strassmaier, Nabonidus $55: 8$ ), which Tallquist apparently regarded as a proof of the correctness of his reading na-ash-shi-ut-tum (Die Sprache der Contracte Nab̂̂na'ids, p. 108), is evidently due to a little mistake of Strassmaier, who wrote shi instead of the similar sign for $p i$. I read, therefore, na-ash-(pi-)pir-tum, cf. na-ash-(pa-)par-tum (Strassmaier, Cambyses 127:5). The sign $p a(p i)$ is not written erroneously (Ziemer, in $B . A .$, III, p, 467, notes, 1.4), but is the phonetic complement of par (pir) placed before the polyphonic sign $U D, P A R, P I R, L A H$, etc., to secure its correct pronunciation. For the correct definition of the Assyrian phonetic complement cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 70, note 4. Delitzsch's definition (Assyr. Gram., $\$ 28$, end) will have to be abandoned, if examined in the light of the large material at our disposal. More on this subject in Assyriaca, Part 2. L. 10f. supplement ' $a$, "namely," "i. e.," after dannu, immeru and qime. L. 12. ma-hi-ir instead of sha mah-ru. L. 13. The phrase itti $m X$ ushazzaz-ma ana $m$ inamdin is found in a number of our inscriptions where a slave or officer receives rent or taxes for his master. We can translate the two verbs best by one "he shall deliver to $X$ for $Y$ " ("abliefern für jemanden an einen andern"'). Qf. $12: 10 \mathrm{f} . ; 44: 19 \mathrm{ff}$; $50: 9 \mathrm{ff}$.; $59: 9 \mathrm{ff}$., etc.
sharru sha ${ }^{i s n} q u s h t u ~ s h a{ }^{m}\left[\dot{U}\right.$ ?] -mu-mush-sha ardu(?) 4. $u^{k} k i-n a-a t-t i-s h u$ gab-bi sha ina
 aplu sha 6. ${ }^{m}{ }^{n} S h a m a s h-e r b a, ~{ }^{m} R e ̂ a-a-n u$ aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Kalbi- ${ }^{a}$ Bau, 7. ${ }^{m}$ Hash-da-ai aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ dabû-rê' $\hat{1}-\mathrm{sh} u-n u$ sha ina ${ }^{\text {anu }} \operatorname{Lavakk}^{k i}$, 8. kaspu 'a $I$ ma-na ${ }^{m}$ Un-na-túu ${ }^{{ }^{\text {s }} \text { Shak-nu, sha }}{ }^{k}$ shu-sha-ni-e 9. sha bît nak-kan-du ${ }^{h} a r d u$ sha ${ }^{m} M a-n u-u s h-t a-n u{ }^{{ }^{\prime}}$ mấr bîti 10. aplu sha ${ }^{m} A r-t a-r i-m e ~ i n a ~ q a ̀ t t^{2 m a} B e ̂ l^{1}-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u ~ a p l u ~ s h a ~ 11 . ~ " ~ M u-r a-s h u ́-u ́ u ~ m a-~$
 sha sharri 13. bar-ra u mim-ma na-da-na-a-tú sha bûl sharri il-ki gam-ru-tu. 14. sha a-di ki-it arlua $A d d a r u$ sha shattu $X L^{\text {kan }}$ itti ${ }^{m} M a-m u-u s h-t a-n u \quad 15$. ${ }^{h}$ mâr bîti aplu sha ${ }^{m} A r-t a-$ ri-me $a-n a{ }^{m}{ }^{a}$ Bél'-nâdin-shumu 16. aplu sha ${ }^{m} M u-r a-s h u ́-u ́ i$ i-nam-din.

## Translation:

One mana of silver, a soldier for the king, flour for the king, barra and all kinds of gifts for the royal palace, the entire taxes until the end of the month of Adar of the fortieth year of King Artaxerxes from the qushtu of the slave(?) Umumushsha and all his household in the town of $B i t-H a \ldots$. . tum, from the qushtu of $E a-d \hat{a} n u$, from the qashtu of Nabî-rể̀shunu, son of Shamash-erba, Rê'annu, son of Kalbi-Bau and Hashdai, son of Nabnt-rề̂shunu, in Larak-the silver, $i$. e., one mana, Unnatu, overseer of the shushannê (a certain class of slaves) of the treasure house, servant of Mānūshtānu, mârbiti (of the king), son of Artarêmu, has received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasĥt,

Annotations: No. 12, L. 1. On the different ways in which bar-ra (meaning unknown) is written, cf. p. 28, note 2. L. 2. illu in our inscriptions seems to be used exclusively for royal taxes resting upon property designated as (bît) isuqushtu, in distinction from isu $B A R$, the rent which may be paid to any person leasing or letting a field or something else to another. For passages cf. p. 28, note 2. L. 4. hki-na-at-ti (cf. also 31: 14) and ki-na-at-ti (without det. amêlu, 77: 2, 6), "Gesinde" (cf. Delitzsch, A.H., p. 388b) adds the plural ending $\hat{a} t i=$ to the femin. tof the singular (cf. Delitzscl, Assyr. Gram., §69 note), hki-na-ta-ti (5:3;22:7) and hki-na-tt-ta-ti (45:6;106:5)=linâtâti L. 8. The meaning of $h_{s} h u-s h a-n i-e$ is unknown. $h$ Shu-sha-nu (81: 11; 94: 3) or hshu-sha-an-ni (12: 5, 8), i. e.,
 sha-nu-e, 83: R. E., and once $h_{s} h i t-s h a-a n-n i-i a, 44: 17$ ) occurs frequently in our inscriptions in the genitive plur.
 17; 75:5. It denotes, therefore, apparently a class of persons occupying a low position in life. Frequently we find another genitive or an opposition or a coördinate substantive (connected by u) after hshushannê. Cf. hshalnu sha $h_{s} h u s h a n n \hat{e ̂} . s h a(h) k i-\gamma i-k i-e-t \bar{t}(12: 5,8), h_{s} h a[k n u]$ sha hshushannêsha hma-sha-a-ka (107:9), hshaknuu (hatri) sha hshu shannê mârêe hirrishêe (81: 11; 82: 10 ff.), hhatri sha hshushunnê mârêe hiv-sa-a-nu (94:3 f.), hshaknu sha shushannè u $h_{m a}\left(b \alpha^{?}\right)-\alpha k(q ?)-t u-t u(23: 14, \mathrm{R}$., cf. also 1.9 and $5: 4, \mathrm{R}$. for the latter word). From all these passages in connection with our own above we learn that the hshushannê were employed for various kinds of menial service; were therefore, in all probability a certain class of slaves or persons who had formerly been slaves. This assertion is corroborated by the fact that in Strassmaier, Darius 212:9 (a document referring to the sale of a slave) we meet with the abstract noun hsushannutu in connection with $b(p) \hat{u} t h s i t h \hat{\imath} h$ paqirrânu arad-sharrîtu u mâr-bânutu. The abstract noun $h_{s} h u s h a n n \hat{u} t u$ is also found in the interesting text Const. Ni. 560, which is dated in the third year of Darius II. It refers to the sale of four slaves closing as follows (lines 12-19) : 12. b(p)u-ut la hsi-hi-i la hpa-ki-ra-nu
he has been paid. Unnatu, the overseer, shall leave the silver, i. e., one mana, the soldier for the king, the flour for the king, the barra and all kinds of gifts for the royal palace, the entire taxes until the end of the month of Adar of the fortieth year, with Mānūshtānu mâr bîti (of the king), son of Artarêmu, delivering them for Bél-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashû.

Names of eleven witnesses and the scribe. Impressions of the seals of Unnatu and six witnesses.
 bît isunarkabti sha ina muḩ-hi 15. amèlu tú shu'átu (MUpl.) il-la-' maBêl-it-tan-nu na-[shi-']. Umu (mu) 16. pa-qa-ri a-na muhh-hi amêlu-tú shu'átu it-tab-shú-úu Rev. 17. m dBêt-it-tan-nu hamèlu-thú shu'âtu it-tab-shui-úu mBèl-it-tan-[nu] 18. hamêlu-tú shu'átu ina pân hdaianê (DI-TARpl.) ú-már-raq-am-ma 19. a-namRi-mut-dNinib i-nam-din (the last three words of li. 16 and the first of li. 17 were erroneously repeated by the scribe in li. 17). The word hshushannu being so far found only in contracts dated in the time of the Persian kings we would infer that it was not used in the Babylonian language before the Persian conquest.


## Concordance of Proper Names.


#### Abstract

Abbreviations. b., brother; cf., confer; d., daughter; f., father; f. e., from the end; f., following page; ff., following pages; gf., grandfather; gs., grandson; l. c., loco citato; m., master (employer) ; mo., mother; p., page; pp., pages; q. v., quod vide; s., son ; sc., scribe; si., sister; w., witness; wi., wife.

Ar., Aramean; Bi., Biblical; He., Hebrew ; Na., Nabatean; Pa., Palmyrene; Pe., Persian; Ph., Phenician; Sa., Sabean. B. A., Beiträge aur Assyriologie; N., Nöldeke (communication by letter); P. S. B. A., Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archæology ; Z. A., Zeitschrift für Assyriologie; Z. D. M. G., Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft.

Determinatives: d., deus, dea; f., femina; h., homo (amêlu); m., mas; pl., plural. []$=$ text restored. * before a name indicates foreign origin of the same. The numbers refer to the cuneiform texts of the autograph plates.


## I. Names of Persons.

## 1. Male Names.

* $\mathbf{A} b-d a-\dagger$ (Cf. Ar., Na. עַבְדָה)

1. s. of Anum-ètir, $104: 2$.
2. s. of $A p l a ̂, 45: 5$.
3. $70: 5$.
*Ab-di-ia (Cf. He., Pa. יעבִדִי)
4. f. of $B i s i c h, 32^{\mathrm{a}}: 10$.
5. in dubitt-Abdiia , $79: 1$.

Abu-ul t̂di ("I do not know the (my) father"), f. of Bêl. ittannu, Bêl-nâṣir and Marduk, $49: 1$.
*A-da-ba-ga-' (Pe.), s. of Iddina-Nab̂̀, w., 39: 10. Of. also ( $H$ ) adb ( $m$ ) aga'.

* $A(?)-d a--m a-m i-a s(z ?)-t a$ (Pe.), f. of Bèt-bulliti-su, 102 : 8, O., Lo. E. \& R. E.
 61 $\ddagger$ )

1. s. of Anum-zêr-lishir, w., $82: 26$.
2. s. of $A p l a ̂$, , hUD-SAR-SHE-GA, w., $75: 10,0.1$ $79: 10,12$, L. E. | $107: 16$.
3. s. of Bânûnu, b. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, w., '70: 15.
4. s. of Bêl-nâ'idu, w., $73: 14$.
5. s. of Bêlshunu, w., $46: 8|47: 15| 86^{a}: 33$.
6. s. of Dâditia, w., $46: 10$.
7. s. of Iqîsha-aplu, $56: 2 \mid 86^{\mathrm{a}}: 33$ (w.).
8. s. of Nidintum, w., $75: 14$.
9. s. of $Q u d d \hat{\alpha}$, w., $32^{a}: 11$.
10. s. of Ubâr, sc., $100: 16 \mid 103: 16$.
11. hshium, f. of Balâtu, 32:2|60:20, R.—f. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $59: 22$, R. E. $|70: 10| 74: 15$ U. E. | 76:9, L. E. | 77:9| $80: 12$ U. E. | $86^{a}: 31$, L. E. | $106: 13$, L. E. | $10 \%: 18$, U. E. —f. of Shum-iddina, $40: 19|45: 34| 48: 29 \mid 50$ 17|53:16 ¡ $55:[23]$, R. | $69: 23 \mid 89: 11$, U. E. —m. of Bêl-êrish, $60: 1 —$ of Bêlshunu, $39: 10 \mid$ [64:6]—of Bêl-uşurshu, 39a : 4—of Marduk-shum-iddina, $59: 7,8,15 —$ of Shamstî̀nu, $64: 6$.

[^14]12. f. of Bêl-Addarnu-bulliṭsu, Bêl-êtir, Bêl-bulliţsu, 79: 18, R., U. E.
13. f. of Bêl-êrish, $8: 20$.
14. f. of Liblut, 73: 16.
15. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $89: 15$.
*Ad-di-ia (without det. m.)

1. in áu Hussẹti sha Additia, $40: 4$.
2. in aluAddia-ai (adject. relat.), $36: 16|37: 6,18|$ $38: 6,18$.
*Addut-na-tan-na (Ar.), 70:3.
 should be pointed (ה) (i) (i) , s. of Nabundu, $65: 24$ (w.) | $67: 1,11,12, R$.
$A d-d u \mathrm{~S}-r \hat{e} \hat{e} u-u s h-s h u$, f. of Bêl-êrish, $75:$ R.
$A-g \dot{a r} r-\alpha$ (cf. the similar (?) name $A-g i-r u \|$ )
3. s. of Iddina-aplu, w., $52^{a}: 13 \mid 67: 17$.
4. s. of Nâdin, sc., $8: 22 \mid 28: 16$.

* $A h-\bar{d} \alpha-t u(?)-s h e(?)$, f. of $E \alpha-z i t t i s h u, 86^{2}: 1$.

Ah-e-ri-ish, Ah-êrish

1. f. of Bêl-muballit, $28^{a}: 13$.
2. f. of fBêlitsunu, 58:5.

Ah-iddina

1. s. of Bêl-muballit, w., $19: 17$.
2. s. of Iddina-aplu, $43: 1$.
3. s. of 1ddina-Bêl, w., 11: $15|53: 17| 94: 16 \mid$ 95: 17 .
4. s. of Ninib-muballit, b. of Ardi-Ninib, w., $48: 23$.
5. f. of Aqqabbi-ilî, $10: 29$.
6. f. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i$ and $B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n, 10: 3,24$.
7. f. of Shamash-ah-iddina, 31:22.
8. f. of Shamash-ibni, $43: 19$.

Ah-iddina-Marduk ( $d A M A R-U D$ ), f. of $A h u s h u n u, 1: 29$.

* $\grave{h}$-ra-tu-ush (Pe., cf. ' $A \chi p a \vartheta a \tilde{\imath} o s ?$ ), f. of Bayâ, $74: 3$.

Alêerba

1. f. of Bêl-dannu, $109: 11$.
2. $39^{\mathrm{a}}: 2$.

## $A h \hat{e}$ - $i d d i n a$

1. s. of $A p l \hat{\alpha}, \mathrm{w} ., 39^{\mathrm{a}}: 13$.
2. s. of Bullut $\hat{e}$, w., $14: 16 \mid 17^{\mathrm{a}}: 16$.
3. s. of Ninib-[nâdin?], $8: 3$.
4. f. of $A p l \hat{x}, 31: 16$.
5. f. of Bêl-sulumlum, $2: 15$.
6. f. of Hariṣânu, $35: 2$ (cf. 1i. 18)| $101: 17$.
7. f. of Mushêzib Ninib, 23:4.
8. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 85: 8$.
9. f. of Ninib-apal可-iddina, 96 : 2.

Aḩ̂e-l̂̀mur (written $S H I$ ), f. of Rîmanni-Bêl, $39^{a}: 11$.
Ahê-lu-kin ("May he [a god] establish brothers ;" but it is also possible to read lâmurtt $=l \hat{u}+\hat{a} m u r$, "May I [the child] see brothers"), 79:6.
*Ahitia-a-ma (He. یֲחִיָה), s. of Iadîhiâma, b. of Iâhûunatanu, Shamathûnu (and Padâma), 45:2.


1. s. of Bêl-muballit, w., 54:12.
2. hardu sha Hamarta', $81: 3,5,7, \mathrm{~L}$. E.

Ahbu-li-ti-ia, Ahbu-li-ti-' (cf. also Hi-li-ti-').

1. s. of $N$ an $\hat{\alpha}-n \hat{a} d i n, 63: 5$.
2. hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumú, 55: 8, 11, 21.

## Ahu-shu-nu§§

1. s. of $A h-i d d i n a-M a r d u t, 1: 28, \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$.
2. s. of $A p l \hat{\alpha}$, w., $73: 14|87: 15| 97: 13$.
3. s. of $\operatorname{Ardi-Ninib}, \mathrm{w} ., 62: 14$.
4. s. of Nidinti, $46: 1,4 \mid 47: 2,5,10,25$.
5. s. of Ninib-nàdin, w., 2:14.
6. s. of Şillu-Ninib, sc., 57 : 17 .
7. f. of Bêl-bullitsu, $98: 13$.
8. f. of Bêl-muballite, $64: 14|73: 12| 87: 14|92: 15|$ $93: 16$.
9. f. of Bêlshunu, $92: 14|93: 15| 97: 13 \mid 100: 13$.
10. f. of $\ln a-b i t t \hat{\alpha}$, w., $66: 8$.
$\dagger$ Written dIM. Cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, pp. 76 ff., especially p. 78; Winckler, Alttestamentliche Untersuchungen, pp. 68 ff .
$\ddagger$ Against Baethgen, Beiträge zur Semitischen Religionsgeschichte, p. 66, who proposes to read
§ Without det. $d$. The god $A d d u$ had been received into the Babylonian pantheon, cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 78, note 2.
$\|$ Evetts, Heft VI B of Strassmaier's Babylon. Texte, Nerigi. 46: 8. A-gar-a is found in early texts, cf. Pinches, Inscribed Babylonian Tablets in the Possession of Sir Henry Peek, p. 4, 16.
$T$ Status absolutus, the final vowel having been rejected and auxiliary $\breve{a}$ inserted between the second and third radicals. Cf. Lehmann, Shamashshumukîn, p. 19.
$\dagger$ Found alongside of lâmur. Cf. $C^{a} 136,153$ (Pân-Ashur-la-mur, "May I see the face of A.").
$\ddagger \ddagger=A \hbar i-i a-u$, cf. Strassmaier, Cyrus $338: 2$ with 114 : 11. For my interpretation of this name and of Abi-ia-u-tu (entirely misunderstood by Dr. Jastrow, Amenican Journat of Biblical Literature, XIV, p. 108), cf. Dr. Clay's paper in The Lutheran Church Review, Vol. XIV, p. 200, and the instructive name Nannaru-u-tu (Strassm, Nabon., 9: 16).
$\$ \S$ Not infrequently written $A-\hbar \bar{u}-s h u-n u$.
11. f. of Ninib-aĥê-bullite, $41: 14$.
12. f. of Shum-iddina, 7: 21.
13. 2:2, $8 \mid 44: 8$.

Ahu-ú-la, f. of Shamash-êtir, $3^{\text {a }}: 11$.
*Ai-na-a (cf. He. $\prod_{\Gamma}^{\mu}{ }_{\Gamma}$ ), s. of Bêl-kîna, $75: 4$.
$A k-k i-e$, in $a l u B \hat{i} t-A k k \hat{e}, 86^{a}: 8$.
Am-bu-ru, f. of Bêl-abu-uşur, $16: 16$.
$A m e ̂ l-B e ̀ l$ ( $d E N-L I L$ and dL)

1. s. of Galalânu, $23: 5$.
2. s. of Silim-Bêl, sc.; $81: 13$.
3. f. of $A r d i-A d e ̂ s \hbar u, 17^{a}: 3$.
4. f. of $\sin -n \hat{a} d i n-a h \hat{e}, 66: 12$.

Amêl-a $N a \cdot n a-a$, f. of Bâniia, $45: 4$.
*A-mur-Fi-ki, and contracted into Ur-ki-ki (Pe.?), s. of Tihुutarta'is, hshaknu sha hsłiushannê mârô irrishê, w., $81: 11 \mid 82: 20,21$, R. E.
$d_{A-n u-e ̂ t i r, ~ s . ~ o f ~ M u s h a b s h i ~(?), ~}^{85: 4 .}$
dA-num-erba, s. of Anum-mukî̀n-aplu, w., $65: 27$.
dA-num-êtir, f. of $A b d a^{\prime}, 104: 3$.
[dA-num-ibni, f. of Nûhi-Milhi, 47: 20.
dA-num-mukîn-aplu, f. of Anum-erba, 65: 27.
${ }^{d} A-n u m-r \hat{e} \hat{\prime} \dagger-s h u-n u$, f. of Anum-zêr-iddina, $67: 14$.
dA-num-zer-iddina, s. of Anum-rề $\hat{\text { s }}$ なunu, w., $67: 14$.
dA-num-zêr-lı̂shir, f. of Addannu, $82: 26$, and of Sha-mash-êrish, $82: 27$.
Ap-la-a, Apla-a

1. s. of Ahê-iddina, w., $31: 16$.
2. s. of Ardi-Ninib, $96: 2$, L. E.
3. s. of Bau-iqìsha, w., $40: 17|48: 28| 57: 16 \mid$ 72:13.
4. s. of Bêl-abu-uṣur, w., $82: 25$.
5. s. of Bêl-balâtsu-iqbi, w., $32: 18|34: 21| 35: 27 \mid$ $44: 23|45: 32| 48: 26$, R. E. $|49: 14| 51: 11 \mid$ 59: [19], L. E. | $65: 25|67: 16| 69: 16$, L. E. $\mid$ 72:10, U. E. $|73: 11| 86^{a}:$ R. $|88: 22| 96: 15 \mid$ $99: 14 \mid 102$ : R.
6. s. of Bêlshunu, w., 68:6 f. e.
7. s. of Bitta', 76: 3, 7.
8. s. of Etellu, w., $9: 14 \mid 31: 16$.
9. s. of $\hat{E t t e r u, ~ w ., ~} 42: 11$.
10. s. of Iddina-Bêl, w., $19: 17$.
11. s. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 44: 12$.
12. s. of Nabr̂-êtir-napshâti, hshałnu sha maktûtu, $5: 4, \mathrm{R}$.
13. s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $4: 13 \mid 68: 7$ f.e. $|69: 23|$ $70: 13 \mid 94:$ R. $\mid 94^{\mathrm{a}}: 14$, R. | $95: 15$, R. $\mid 96:$ 15, R. | $101: 16 \mid 107: 19$.
14. s. of $N \hat{u} r$-tazkur-Bêl, w., $47: 18$.
15. s. of $\sin -i k s u r$, w., $1^{17}: 16$.
16. s. of Sin-iqbi, sc., $61: 13$.
17. s. of . . . s sc. and w., $3: 24 \mid 91: 10$ (possibly identical with No. 16).
18. f. of $A b d a, 45: 5$.
19. f. of Addannu, $75: 10$, О. | $79: 9$, L. E. | $107: 16$.
20. f. of Ahê-iddina, $39^{a}: 13$.
21. f. of Ahushunnu, $73: 14|87: 15| 97: 13$.
22. f. of Bêl-bullitsu and Nabi-ittannu, 7:1.
23. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $17: 17\left|28^{a}: 11\right| 42: 9 \mid 87: 13$, 16.
24. f. of $I l \hat{\imath}-2 a b a d u, 45: 32 \mid 75: 13$.
25. f. of Lâbâshi, 7\% : 10.
26. f. of Marduka, 82 : 7.

27. f. of Ninib-ahê-bullit, $16: 14|20: 15| 21: 9$.
28. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $85: 19 \mid[86: 17]$.
29. f. of Ninib-muballit, $96: 3$.
30. f. of Ninib-nâdin, 64 : 11.
31. f. of Rammân-rîmanni, 35 : 2.
32. in $a l u B \hat{\imath} t-A p l \hat{a}, 23: 4$.
*Ap-pu-us-sa-a (Ar., ident. with Happassua'?, q. v.), f. of

*A-qa-bi-itî, $A q-q a b-b i-i t i ̂(A r . *$ עקבאר) Cf. Pa. בלעקב)
33. s. of Alh-iddina, w., $10: 29$.
34. f. of Hallilì, 109: 1.
*A-qu-bu (cf. He. $2 \boldsymbol{Z p}$, cf. also $I q \hat{u} b u$ )
35. s. of Hadanna, $82: 8$.
36. s. of Zabdiza, $24: 1,3,9$, L. E. $\mid 79: 13$, L. E. \& O. (w.).
${ }^{*} A-r a-b a k$ (Ре., ' $A_{\rho} \beta \dot{\alpha} x \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ), f of Marduka, $81: 2$.
Ap-bi-la-ai ("Man of Arbela'"), s. of Nôdin, w., 79: 13.
Ardi-d A-di-e-shu, s. of Amêl-Bèl, $17^{a}: 3$.
Ardi-Bau' (d Bâbu)
37. f. of Bèl-êtir, 77: 1, 5.
38. f. of Sin-nâdin-ahêe $(u), 22: 18 \mid 68: 4$ f. e. |73:17. Ardi-Bèl ( $d E N-L I L$ and $d L$ )
39. s. of Bêl-iqisha, w., $56: 15$ | $84: 13$.
40. s. of Bêt-nûriza, $56: 5$.
41. s. of Didê, b. of Shiriqtim, $88: 1$.
42. s. of Idaina-Bêl, w., $18: 10|21: 9| 26: 18$.
43. s. of $\operatorname{Ninib}(?)-\hat{e} t i r, 12: 4$.
44. s. of Nushu-ushabslui, 48:35 (identical with No. 9).
45. s. of Puhhturu, $19: 3$, U. E.
46. s. of Shiriqti-Ninib, w., $4: 11|19: 14| 27: 10 \mid$ $28: 11|34: 21| 35: 27|40: 16| 44: 22|45: 31|$ $48: 23$, L. E. $|52: 15| 52^{\mathrm{a}}: 9 \mid 53: 15$, L. E. $\mid$
$55:$ R. $\mid 57: 14$, L. E. $\mid 59:[17$, L. E. $] \mid 70: 10$, R.E. $172: 10$ L. E. $\mid 74: 14$, L. E | $80: 11$, L.E. $\mid$ $89: 10$, L. E. $|95: 14| 96: 14 \mid$ [99:14].
47. f. of Bêl-kishir, $43: 16|55: 24| 73: 12|88: 21|$ $104: 12 \mid[108: 11]$, and of his brother ( $55: 25$ ) Ninib-nâṣir, 33:11|37:18|38:18|41:17|48: $35|49: 19| 50: 19|51: 15| 52: 18\left|52^{\mathrm{a}}: 14\right| 55:$ $25|60: 24| 65: 29|67: 18| 72: 16$. Cf. No. 6.
48. f. of Nergat-nâdin-ah̆u, 68:6 f. e. | $98: 12$.

## Ardi-Elallu-rabu

1. s. of Ninib-êtir, w., 22:14|28:12|33:7|34:22| $35: 28 \mid 40: 17$.
2. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $48: 32|51: 4| 69: 19 \mid 84:$ 14.

Ardi-Gula ( ${ }^{\text {Gu-la or }}$ dME-ME)

1. s. of Ninib-nàdin, $17^{17}: 18 \mid 91: 11$ [94: 16 ?].
2. f. of Hanāna', $3: 7$, [18].
3. f. of Kidin and Bêl-shum-ibni, 2:5,16|8:7| 94:2.
4. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, 3:3.
5. f. of Nûr-mâti-Bêl, $6: 12$.
6. f. of Nusku-nâdin, $6: 14|9: 18| 11: 17|12: 16|$ $14: 18|15: 21| 17^{\mathrm{a}}: 19|20: 17| 23: 23|24: 15|$ $25: 21|29: 29| 30: 31|34: 26| 45: 35 \mid$ 55:26.
7. 107: 4.

Ardi-ia and Ardi-ià

1. s. of Bullutcta, w., $9: 15|46: 12| 47: 13$.
2. s. of Erba-aplu, w., $43: 17$.
3. s. of Iddina-Bêl, w, $78: 8$.
4. s. of Mushallim-Bêl, $37: 3,17$.
5. s. of Ninib-ah-iddina, w., $88: 23 \mid 106: 14$, Lo. E.
6. s. of Sin-êtir, w., $47: 18$.
7. s. of Tâaiza, w., $48: 27|52: 17| 52^{n}: 12|65: 26|$ 67 : $17 \mid 89: 13$.
8. s. of Ubâr, b. of Lábâshi, w., $19: 18\left|26^{a}: 15\right|$. 58:12 | $69: 25$.
9. f. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{c} s h i, 54: 12$.
10. f. of Nusku-nâdin, 13: 11.
11. hgal-la sha Erb $\hat{a}, 66^{a}: 6,12,15$.
12. in alubîl-Ardita, 63:2| $94^{a}: 3,6 \mid 107: 7$.

Ardi-d Mul-la-e-shu ( $d$ Mul-li-e-shu and Mul-li-shu), f. of Balâtâ, 36 : $14|37: 13| 38: 13$.

Ardi-Ninib ( $d B A R$ )

1. s. of Ninib-muballit, b. of Ah-iddina, w., 13: 10 | $41: 11 \mid 48: 23$, R. E. $\mid$ [55: 23] | $66^{\text {a }}: 17 \mid 88: 19$.
2. s. of Ritti-(or Upahhir?) Bèt, sc., 78: 12.
3. s. of Silim-iläni, w., 2:13|9:17.
4. s. of Shiriqtim, w., 17 : $16|62: 13| 63: 16$.
5. f. of Ahुushuinu, $62: 15$.
6.. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 96: 3$.
6. f. of Attar-a ...., 108: 14.
7. f. of Erba-Bêl, Bêl-ab-iddina and Nà'id-Ninib, 21: $11 \mid 53: 3,12$.
8. f. of Kîna-aplu and Shum-ukîn, $7^{\text {a² }}: 10 \mid 8: 21$.
9. f. of Ninibai, $49: 18 \mid 53: 18$.
10. f. of Ninib-muballit, $63: 7 \mid 94^{\mathrm{a}}: 2$.
11. f. of Sin-muballit, 96:2.
12. 44 : 5.
*Ar-sha-am and Ar-sha-am-mu (Old Pe, Arshäma,
 Bêl-supê-muhur, 1:2,6,19. Cf. also the Babylonian patron. noun $h A r-s h a m-m a-a i, 74: 8$.
*Ar-ta-a (Pe., "cf. Arta and A $\rho$ raños (Herod.), m. of Zêr-ulkin, 6:3, and of Attarapata, 6:5.
*Ar-ta-bar-ri, Ar-ta-am-ma-ra, Ar-ta-am-ma-ru (Pe. $=$

13. s. of Bêl-ibni, $82: 16$.
14. m. of Tadith-ith, $14: 7 \mid 15: 3,11$.
15. m. of $I s q \hat{u}, 13: 4$. (Apparently Nos. 1-3 the same person.)
 m. of Itti-Bêl-abnu, 4:3.

* $\Delta r$-tálu-sha-as-su $=$ Artaxerxes I., King of Persia (Pe. Artaq ${ }^{s h a \theta r \bar{\alpha}, ' A \rho \tau \alpha(0) \xi \varepsilon \rho \xi \eta \mathrm{S}), 1.26,33|2: 19|}$ $3^{n}: 15|4: 4,16| 5: 2,15|6: 15| 7: 27|8: 23|$ $9: 3,19|10: 28| 11: 18|12: 2,17| 13: 3,14 \mid$ $14: 3,19|15: 22| 16: 20|17: 21| 17^{4}: 20 \mid 18$ $16|19: 22| 20: 18|21: 14| 22: 19|23: 2,24|$ $24: 17|25: 23| 26: 20\left|26^{2}: 19\right| 27: 14|28: 17|$ $28^{3}: 3,18|29: 31| 30: 33|32: 22| 33: 2,12 \mid$ $34: 27|35: 32| 36: 18|37: 19| 38: 19 \mid 39: 1$, $12|40: 22| 41: 18|43: 23| 44: 3,[26]|45: 36|$ $46: 14|47: 22| 48: 20,36|49: 20| 50: 6,20 \mid$ $51: 16|52: 12,19| 52^{\mathrm{n}}: 8,15|53: 22| 54: 16 \mid$ $55: 28|56: 20| 57: 18|58: 20| 60: 19,25 \mid 61:$
$\dagger$ According to Ktesias, Pers. 38, A $\quad$ tapáotos was the name of the half brother of Artaxerxes I., satrap of Babylon.
$\ddagger$ "Kosevort" (from Arta- $\overline{\text { sh}}$, abbreviated from Arta $\left.\chi^{s h a \theta r a \bar{a}=}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \tau \alpha \xi \rho \xi \eta s\right)$, according to Justi, Iranisches Namenbuch, p. 34, b. N. regards this explanation as doubtful.
$15|62: 18| 64: 18|65: 29| 66: 16\left|66^{a}: 4,24\right|$
$67: 12,19 \mid 68: 2$ f.e. $|69: 27| 71: 11 \mid 72: 7$,
$17|73: 19| 74: 2,19|75: 2,18| 76: 6,15 \mid 77:$
$3,13|79: 16| 80: 18|81: 1,14| 82: 29|84: 16|$
$85: 27|86: 25,26| 86^{\text {a }}: 35|87: 17| 88: 25 \mid$
$89: 18|90: 10| 91: 14|92: 17| 93: 19|94: 18|$
$94^{\text {a }}: 17|95: 19| 96: 19|97: 16| 99: 21 \mid 100:$
$17|101: 19| 102: 20|103: 17| 104: 15 \mid 105:$
$15|106: 17| 107: 22|108: 17| 109: 14$.
Also written
＊Ar－táhl－shá－as－su，3：27，or
＊Ar－táh－sha－as， $42: 14|63: 19| 83: 3$ ，［25］，or
＊Ar－táh－sha－as－sish，60：16，or
＊Ar－täh－sha－as－si－ish，39a ： $16 \mid 70: 2,17$ ，or
＊Ar－táh－sha－as－is－su， 31 ：25，or
＊Ar－tak－sha－as－su，32a $: 16|78: 14| 98: 15$ ，or
＊Ar－tak－shat－su，59：4， 23.
＊Ar－ta－am－ma－ra（ru）（Pe．），cf．Artabarri．
＊A ${ }^{r}-t a-r i-(e)-m u(m e)$（Pe．）．
1．f．of $M \bar{a} n \bar{u} s h t a ̈ n u, ~ 83: 10,15 \mid 84: 4$.
2．m．of Dalatani， $72: 11$ R．；of Ludalcu， $39: 3$ ；of Rushunpâti， $48: 7$ ；and of Zittu－Nabû， 82 ：L．E． $\mid$ 83：18｜107：16．（Ident．with No．1．）
 f．of Baga＇a－mirri，106：4．Spāda，＂army＂or aspa＂horse．＂Dasta－zasta，＂hand＂？ N ．
＊As－tu－she－bar－ma－＇，s．of Habarda＇，w．， 18 ： 8.
 îbukash，w．，1：30，R．E．
Ashur（dHl）－n̂̀din，f．of Bêl－れêr－ibni， $82: 3$.
 s．of Baqamqam，hdaianu sha mat Tâmdim，75：16， R．Perhaps＝ ＊ $4 t$－ma－ga－＇（12：12，R．），cf．Hुadbaga＇and Adabaga＇．
＊At－ru－ma－nu－＇，A－tu［ra（or ru）－ma－nu－＇］，Tu－ra－ma－na．＇ （Pe．，＇A $\delta o \rho \mu \alpha \alpha ́ \nu \eta s, ~ ' A \delta \alpha \alpha \rho \mu \alpha ́ \nu \eta s$ and Justi，l．c．， p． 502 ），f．of Napēnna＇， $18: 11\left|28^{\mathrm{a}}: 15\right| 74: 3$.
＊At－ta－mar－ga－＇（Pe．For the second element（marga $=$ ＂meadow，＂？）cf．＇A $\mu$ $\rho \gamma \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ）， $32: 3$.
＊At－ta－ra－pa－ta（Pe．Atarepāta，＇A $\rho о \pi \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma), ~ h d a-s h i-i a$ sha Artâ，6： 4.
 s．of Ardi－Ninib，w．，108：14．
＊dAt－tar－nu－ri－＂（＂A．is my light＂），hardu slua Bêl－ nâdin－shumu，101： 6.
＊Ba－ga－a（Pe，abbreviated，cf．Baraios），s．of Ahra－ tus7，74：3．
＊Ba－ga－a－na－＇，Ba－ga－＇－ina－＇（Pe．）
1．s．of Zimaka＇，76：3，7，O．
2．f．of Nidintum－Bèl，76：2．
＊Ba－ga－＇－da－ca－ta（ti）††（Ре．B（M）аү $\alpha \dot{\partial \alpha} \tau \eta \varsigma)$
1．s．of $K a[m a] n a$ ，b．of Tiridâta，74：7，12．
2． $18: 2,3$ ．
3．in Bìt－Baga＇dâti， $65: 3$.

＊Ba－ga－＇－mi－hi（ha）－＇（For the second elementcf．＇ $0 \rho \sigma t o ́ \mu s-$ $\left.\chi^{o s}\right)$, hus－tar－ba－ri， $50: 1,8,10, \mathrm{R}$.
＊Ba－ga－＇－mi－i－ri，Ba－ga－＇－a－mir－ri（Pe．＊Baga－wīra？N．）
1．s．of Aspa＇dasta＇， $106: 4,9, R$ ．
2．s．of Mitradâtu and of his wife $f$ Ekur－bêlit， $48: 1$ ， $13,14,16,17,19,34,37$.
＊Ba－ga－pa－nu（ Pe ＊Bagapäna，Meránavos，㧊f．of Tīra－ kām， $54: 2$.
＊Ba－ga－zu－ush－tum（Median＝＂Gottlieb．＂Pe．＊Baga－ dushta，Meүaסóotクs），s．of Parurè，hshaknu sha hindûbai，76：11．
＊Ba－gi－e－shu（Pe．），s．of Kè̀nrada＇，106：2，8，R．
＊Ba－gi－ia－a－nu（Pe．，cf．Ba－gi－ia－a－zu）．
＊Ba－gi－ica－a－zu and Ba－gi－ia－a－nu（11：10，mistake of sc．， Pe．），s．of PâpaEu，m．of Barnahte＇， $11: 1,3,6$, 7， 10.
＊Ba－gu－shusss（Pe．），in Tुussêtu sha hBagushu， $88: 4$
Ba－la－ṭa－a，Batâta－a（＝Batâṭa－ia＂O my life，＂ef．He． מלַטְָּּ，if a Hebraized Bab．name），s，of Ardi－ Mulla＇eshu，w．， $36: 14|37: 13| 38: 13$（cf．also Ba－lct－tu－a）．
Ba－laţ－su，Balât－su，in aluBît－Balâtsu， $36: 6 \mid 66^{a}: 3$.
Ba－la－tu，Balâtu
1．s．of Addannu， $32: 1,9\left|32^{\mathrm{a}}: 8\right| 60: 20$ ，R．（w．）．
2．s．of Bêl－bâna，w．， $3^{a}: 10$.
$\dagger$ Cf．Euting，Epigraphische Miscellen（Sitaungsberichte der Königl．Preuss．Akad．，1885），No．18，No． 52.
\＄Cf．Vogïé，Inscriptions Sémitiques， 30.
§ Cf．Sachau，Palmyrenische Inschriften（Z．D．M．G．，Vol．35），p．739．
\｜Levy，Phoen．Studien，II， 38.
T Epon． 673.
† Cf．Sargon，Fast． 49 （Bagdatti）．
呻Cf．Ma－ga－a－pa－na，Strassmaier，Cambysés $316: 3,7,11$.
$\S \S$ Preceded by the det．$h$ ，which is probably a mistake for $m$ ．Concerning such mistakes，cf．Demuth in $B$ ．A．， III，pp．394，f．

3. s. of Bêtshunu, w., $17^{17}: 15|48: 27| 49: 16 \mid 50:$ $17|52: 17| 52^{\mathrm{a}}: 12|55: 24| 65: 26|67: 17|$ $86^{a}: 30|88: 23| 89: 13|[91: 11]|[99: 15] \mid$ 102:18|106:14, Lo. E.
4. s. of $I n$ - $i l a n n i$, w., $67: 15$.
5. s. of Marduk-êtir, 92:3.
6. s. of Ninib-gâmil, w., $86^{a}: 29$.
7. s. of Shamash-nâdin, $17^{\text {an }}: 4$.
8. s. of Tiriiàma, w., 64:12|75:11.
9. f. of Iddina-Bêl, $89: 14\left|94^{a}: 15\right| 95: 16|96: 17|$ 99: 17.
10. f. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{\alpha} s h i, 64: 17$.
11. f. of Minर्u-Bèl-dana, $48: 6$.

Ba-la-tu-a, Balâtu-a, s. of Ninib-aḩê-bullit, w., $22: 15$ | 31:18.
 $25: 1 \mid 45: 1$, L. E.
Ban-an-êrish, aBan-a-ni-êrish, dBan-nu-êrish

1. f. of Bêl-êpush, $10: 30$.
2. f. of Ribât, $56: 17$.
3. hmàr Nippur, $48: 5$.

Ba-ni-ia (26:15), Ba-ni-e $\dagger$ ( $48: 22$ ), Bâni-ia (or $i \grave{a}$ ),


1. s. of $A n \hat{e} l-N_{a n a}^{a}, 45: 3$.
2. s. of Barik-ilî, w., 7:20|26:15|27:10|29:26| $30: 28 \mid 48: 22, \mathrm{U}$. E.
3. s. of Dummuq, w., $87: 14$.
4. s. of Lâbâshi, w., $11: 11$, Lo. E. | $23:$ [17], R. E.| $27: 8 \mid 39: 8$, L. E.
5. s. of Ninib-ah-iddin $\alpha$, w., $4: 12$.
6. f. of Miniamê, $45: 34$.
7. hshaľnu sha Bêl-apal-uṣur u Ahushunu, 47:11.

Ba-nu-nu

1. s. of Iddina-Bêl, w., $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 7$.
2. f. of Bèl-ah-iddina, $23: 19$.
3. f. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu and Addannu, $70: 15$.
*Ba-qa-am-qa-am (Ar.), f. of Atêiana', $75: \mathrm{R}$.

*Ba-ri-ki, Ba-rik-ki (cf. Pa. בריכו, Bapsí $\varepsilon$ \&
4. s. of. Iqûsha-aplu, w., $82: 24$, U. E. | $83: 20$.
5. s. of Sha-i $\begin{gathered}\text { di-aldu, w., } 31: 20 .\end{gathered}$

6. s. of hlkkaru, f. of Nadiru, 73: 4.
7. f. of Bânïa, 7:20|26:15|27:10|29:26|30; $28 \mid 48: 22, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.
8. f. of Bêl-êtir and Zabdiia, $95: 2$ :
9. f. of $1 l i-n a n i$, $82: 16$.
10. $23: 11$.
11. in aluĤupu sha Barikki-ilî, 102:6.
*Ba-rik(Ba-ri-ki)-Shamshî (d UDpl)
12. s. of Bêlit-nâdin, b. of Ninib-êtir, 7: 24 .
13. f. of $I \bar{a} d a h \underline{h} u-N a b \hat{u}, 82: 5$.
14. f. of Ninib-ettir, $8: 11 \mid 7^{7 a}: 2,11$.
15. hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-stumu, $21: 3$.
16. 44 : 20 .
*B(P)ar-ina-ah-tī-' (Ar. or Pe.?), hardu sha Bagiîâz(n)u, 11: 3, 7, 19.
*Ba-si-shu-a-na-ki, s. of Gamillu, 31:2, 27.
Bau (written $d B \hat{a} b u$ ) $-i q \hat{s} s h a(-s h a)$, f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 40 \div 18$ | 48:28|57:16|72:13.
d Ba-u-nâdin, d Bâbu-nàdin
17. f. of Bêlshunu, $7^{\text {a }}$ : 7.
18. f. of Shadt̂-rabì-nâdin, $108: 15$.
$B \dot{a}-2 u-2 u$
19. f. of Hâtin, $41: 2$.
20. 21. of Ninib-êtir, $28^{a}: 10$.

Bêl-abu-uṣur

1. s. of Amburu, w., $16: 15$.
2. s. of Kiribti, $89: 1,9$, R. E.
3. s. of Nidintum-Bêl, b. of $1 l i$-Bît-ili-nûrí, $75: 5$.
4. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 82: 25$.
5. f. of Iddina-Bêl, $49: 15$.
6. f. of Miniamini, $14: 11$.
7. f. of $Z a b \hat{\imath} d \hat{a}, 32^{a}: 13$.
8. $h_{a r d u}$ sha Ribât, $90: 2,4$, R. E.
9. in nârBêl-abu-usur, $65: 2,14$, [20], 22.

Bèll-Ad-dan-nu-bullit-suণ, s. of Addannu, b. of Bêl-ètior and of Bêl-bullitsu, w., 79:12 Lo. E.

[^15]$B \hat{e} l^{1,2}-a h-i d d i n a$

1. s. of $A r d i$-Ninib, b. of $E r b a-B e ̂ l$ and $N a \hat{o} ' i d-N i n i b$, 53: 12.
2. s. of Bânûnu, w., $23: 19$.
3. s. of Bêl-muballit, w., $33: 10|43: 19| 69: 25 \mid$ 82: 27. (Ident. with No. 5, cf. Vol. X.)
4. s. of $B \hat{e} l-n \hat{a} ' i d, ~ b$. of $E s h \hat{e}-\hat{e} t i v$, uncle of Nidin-tum-Bèl, $57: 1,12|64: 15| 71: 7 \mid 76: 10$ (w.).
5. s. of Bêl-[muballit], b. of Bêlshunu, $41: 1,8,15$.
6. s. of Gahla', $33: 2,4,0$.
7. s. of Ninib-ibni, w., 70:14.
8. s. of Shamash-muballit, w., 11:14.
9. s. of Zamama-êrish, b. of Zamama-nâdin, $95: 3$, L. E.
10. f. of Ludaku, $39: 3$.
11. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, 71: 9.
12. f. of Rîkat (?)-il̂ and Shamsht̂-lindar, $109: 2$.
13. hshakinu sha hshushannê u hmaktûtu, 23: [14], R.

Bêl (Bêll)-ah-it-tan-nu, s. of Bèlslumu, w., 64:12 | 74 : 17 | [108: 12].
Bềl, nahêe-iddina

1. s. of Bètshunu, w., 66:10.
2. s. of Nanâ-nâdin, w., $56: 15$.

Bêl-a-ni (without det. d), s. of Kidin, b. of Shamash-shum-iqîsha, 17 : 3.
Bêl1-apal-iddina

1. s. of Kâsir, w., $44: 23$.
2. hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, 65: 1, 22, R.

Bêl(Bêll)-apal-(A and TUR-USH)-uṣur

1. s. of Bêl-builitsu, $46: 9$ (w.) | $47: 1,3,5,24$.
2. s. of Bêl-êrish, hshaknu sha hshushannê: sha hmashâka, 107 : 9, L. E.
3. f. of Ninib-nâ'id, $44: 4$.

## Bêl-a-su-úi-a

1. s. of $N a b \hat{u}$-aḩ-ittannu, w., $36: 13|37: 12| 38: 12$.
2. f. of Bêt-ibni, 67 : 13.

Bêl-âtir $(-i r), \dagger$ s. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 82: 7$.
Bèr¹-ba-a-ku-pi-tin (cf. Itti-bàku-itu, Strassm., Oyruss 313 : 15) $\ddagger$, hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $30: 1,35$.

Bêll, 2-balât-su-iqbi, f. of Aplâ, 32: 18|34:22|35:27| $44: 23|45: 32| 48: 26$, R. E. $|49: 14| 51: 11 \mid$ $59: 20$, L. E. $|65: 25| 67: 16 \mid 69: 16$, Lo. E. $\mid$ 72: 10, U. E. $|73: 11| 86^{\mathrm{a}}:$ R. $|88: 22| 96: 15 \mid$ 99: 14|102:R.
Bêl-balâtu-it̀-tan-nu, f. of fEkur-bêlit, 48: 37.
*Bêll-ba-na (Ar. בלבנی)

1. f. of Balâtu, $3^{a}: 10$.
2. f. of ETb $\hat{a}, 13$ : 2.
3. f. of Erba-Bêl, 22:13|28:12|35:28|48:27, U. E. $|49: 15| 50: 16|52: 16| 52^{\mathrm{a}}: 12|59: 20|$ [60:22]|66a: 19|69:17, U.E.|70:11|72:12, Lo. E. | 75 : $13|77: 10| 80: 13$, Lo. E. \&R.| $81: 10|83: 22| 86^{a}: 29|88: 21| 89: 11|97: 12|$ $100: 12|102: 17| 103: 13|105: 11| 106: 11$, U. E.
 of Marduk-êtir, 32a: 3, 7.
Bêl (Bêl ${ }^{l}$-búll-liṭ(bullit)-su
4. s. of $A(\%) d a$ 'mamiazta, hustarbari, hshaknu sha hba-na-i-ka-nu, 102: 7, 9, 12, O., Lo. E. \& R.
5. s. of Addannu, b. of Bèl-Addannu-bullitsu and Bêl-êtir, w., $79: 12$, U. E.
6. s. of Ahlushumu, w., $98: 13$.
7. s. of $A p l \hat{a}$, b. of $N a b \hat{s}$-[it-tan-]nu, 7:1, L. E.
8. s. of Bêl-it-tan-nu, W., $75: 14$.
9. s. of $K \hat{v} r i b t i$, b. of $S h a-N a b \hat{u}-s h \hat{u}, 36: 2$, L. E.
$\dagger \operatorname{DIR}(-i r) . \quad$ Cf. Nabî-at-vi-ilâni, II $R$., $64: 53 \mathrm{a}$.
$\ddagger$ Cf. Ba-ku-ú-a, Strassmaier, Cambyses, $84: 16$, and such names as Bêl-pat-ta-nu, "B. is protector;" Bêl-e-dipitin (or with the nominative ending $u$ attached to the name, which is regarded as a compornd substantive = Bêl-êdi-pitnu), "B. protect the only (child)." That the meaning of the root ${ }^{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { D }}$, left untranslated by Delitzsch (Assyrisches Hundwörterbuch, p. 553 b ) is "to strengthen, support, protect," becomes certain from the parallelism
 old as "the place of protection," according to the widely prevailing idea of the sacred character of the threshold in the ancient world. The protecting power of the snake ( are equally well known. Cf., e. g., the snake surrounding the opening of the marble vase from Sidon preserved in the Royal Museum of Berlin (cf. Pietschmann, Geschichte der Phönizier, p. 225) ; the large snake usually carved upon the boundary stones of Babylonia; the enormous snakes of bronze (sirrushsh $\hat{u}$ ) set up by Nebuchadrezzar II ina sippê abulle, "at the thresholds of the gates" of Babylon, etc., etc. According to Hommel's doubtless correct theory (in Trumbull's book, quoted below, p. 314), the Assyrian word supp $\hat{u}$, "to pray," itself is a verb. denomin. from sippu, "threshold." Cf. on the whole question the work of H. Clay Trumbull, The Threshold Covenant.
$\S$ Cf. Vogüé, l. c., 35. The Babyl. ideogr. is perhaps to be read Bôl, cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 78, note 2.
10. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-i t[-\tan -n u]$, b. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 3: 1$.
11. f. of Bèl-apal-usur, $46: 9 \mid 47: 2,5$.
12. f. of Bêl-dànu, $1: 28|92: 13| 98: 10$.
13. f. of Bêl-êrish, 107 : 17 .
14. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $48: 33|50: 18| 51: 14|60: 23|$
$86^{\mathrm{a}}:$ R. $|88: 23| 90: 6|92: 12| 98: 11$. Probably ident. with No. 9.
15. f. of Ribât, $91: 2$.
16. f. of $S 7 a d \hat{u}-r a b \hat{u}-s h e ̂ z i b, ~ 84: 6$.

Bêt $\bar{l}\left(B \hat{e}^{l} l^{l}\right)-d a-n u$ (cf. also Minû-Bèl-dâna)

1. s. of Bêl-bulliţtsu, probably b. of Bêl-ittannu (cf. $92: 12,13$ ), w., $1: 28$, L. E. | $92: 12 \mid 98: 10$.
2. s. of Iddina Ashutr, w., $85: 25$.

Bèt-dan-nu, s. of Ahêerba, w., $109: 11$.
Bêllèepush(-ush)

1. s. of Bannu-êrish, w., $10: 30$.
2. s. of Ninib-ètir, w., 61:9.

Bêl-erba

1. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-r \hat{e} \hat{\prime} \hat{s} h u m u, 79: 14$.
2. f. of Ribât, 43 : 2.

Bêl-êrish

1. s. of Addannu, w., $8: 19$.
2. s. of $\bar{A} d d u-r \hat{e} ’ \hat{\imath} s h i u, h d a i a n u ~ s h a m a t u ~ T a ̂ m d i n, ~ 75 ~: ~$ 16, R.
3. s. of Bêl-bullitsu, w., $107: 17$.
4. s. of Nidintum-Bêl, hardu sha Addannu, $60: 1,12$, 16, L. E.
5. s. of Tabnêa, f. of Hashdai, hshaknu sha hshushannê sha hkirikêti, 12:5, 8, 10, R.
6. s. of Zumb $\hat{a}$, w., $75: 11, \mathrm{~L}$. E.
7. f. of Bêl-apal-uṣur, 107 : 9.
8. f. of Hamarhía', 81 : 3.

Bêl-e-ti-ir (102: 16, Lo. E.), Bêl-êtir (SHUR)

1. s. of Addannu, b. of Bêl-Addannu-bullit-su and Bêl-bullitsu, w., $79: 12, \mathrm{R}$.
2. s. of Ardi-Bau, hŞurai, $77:[1], 5$.
3. s. of Barîki-il̂t, b. of Zabdiia, $95: 2$, L. E.
4. s. of Tadarni-ili, w., $36: 12|37: 11| 38: 11$.
5. s. of Kulla'laĥu(?), $12: 3$.
6. s. of Lâdiia, b. of Galalân, w., 7: 22.
7. s. of Ninib-nâdin, $19: 2$, U. E.
8. s of Qarha', w., $15: 18$.
9. s. of Shara'a-ilî hustarbarri, w., 102:16, Lo. E,
10. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-a h h_{-i}$ - $A l i n a, 85: 18 \mid 86: 17$.
11. f. of Shamshi-nadari, $93: 4$.
12. f. of Zimmà , $50: 14$.
13. 79 : 2.

## Bêl-e-te-ru

1. f. of Shamash-nâdin, $73: 6$.
2. $70: 3$.
$\operatorname{Bêl}\left(B e ̂ l^{1,}, 2^{2}\right)$-ha-tin (abbr. Ha-tin; Const. Ni. $\left.525: 2\right)$
3. s. of Murashî, 2:6, $9 \mid 3: 4,10$, [14] |5:6|12: 6, and f. of Rîmût-Ninib, $46: 5|47: 7| 48: 24$, U. E.
4. f. of Shad $\hat{u}-r a b \hat{u}-s h e ̂ z i t h, 86: 8$.

Bèli-[ià?], f. of Zabdiia, $92: 3$.
Bèl-ibni $\dagger$

1. s. of $\operatorname{Bê} l-\hat{a} s \hat{u} u$, , w., $67: 13$.
2. s. of Nâdin, 42 : 7.
3. s. of . . . ., w., 31: 17 .
4. f. of Artammara, $82: 16$, and of Shatabarzana, $83: 19$, L. E. \& R.
5. f. of Ellita-Nanâ, $85: 9$.
6. f. of Marduk-nâdin-shumu, 72: 3, R.

Bêl-i-bu-ka-ash, hustarbari, m. of Nab̂̂-nàdin, 1:29, and of Ashur-ah-iddina, 1:30.
Bêl-iq-bi, f. of Iqisha-aplu, $8: 5 \mid 9: 2$.
Bêll, ${ }^{2}-i q \hat{q} s h a(-s h a)$

1. s. of Bultâ, 37 : 4.
2. s. of Shamash-balâtsu-iqbi, w., $19: 18$.
3. s. of Ubâr, w., $46: 11 \mid 47: 16$.
4. f. of $A r d i$-Bề, $56: 15 \mid 84: 13$.
5. f. of Kidin, $7^{\prime a}: 10 \mid 8: 20$.
6. f. of Ninib-nâṣir, $17^{\mathfrak{a}}: 4$.

Bêl (Bềl,2)-it-tan-nu'

1. s. of Abu-ul- $\hat{\imath} d i$, b. of Bèl-nâsir and Mardult, $49:$ $1, R$.
2. s. of $A p l \hat{a}$, w., $17: 17\left|28^{n}: 11\right| 42: 8 \mid 87: 13,16$ (sc.).
3. s. of Bêl-bullitsu, w., $48: 33|50: 18| 51: 14 \mid 60$ : $23 \mid 86^{\mathrm{a}}:$ R. $|88: 22| 90: 6|92: 12| 98: 11$. Possibly b. of Bêl-d̂̂nu (98:10).
4. s. of Bêt-ittannu, b. of Bibâ, $100: 3$.
5. s. of Bêl-tazkurshu, hpaqudu sha Nippur, w., 5: $9 \mid 9: 13$.
6. s. of $I s \hbar d u b u$ hatu'(?), w., $18: 13$.
7. s. of Lamassu(?)-nàdin, w., $68: 5$ f. e. $69: 24 \mid$ $86^{a}: 32 \mid 106: 13$.
8. s. of Liblut, $56: 4 \mid 66: 9$.
9. s. of Lâ-idita, w., $82: 25$.
10. s. of Nabî-târis, $12: 15$.
11. s. of Ninib-nâdin w., $13: 10$.
12. s. of Ribât, $60: 23$.
13. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $2: 11$.
14. s. of Ushtabunna', 74:5.

[^16]15. s. of (?) Zabdiia, $69: 3,6$.
16. f. of Bêl-barakki and Marduk-etin, 32a : 4, Lo. E.
17. f. of Bêl-bullitsu, 75: 14.
18. f. of Bêl-shunu, $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 11$.
19. f. of $B i b \hat{a}$ and Bet-ittannu, $100: 2$.
20. f. of Hanani, $8: 17$.
21. f. of Shadû-rabî-ètir, 68:3.
22. hdaianu sha narusin, 1:27, 工. E.

Bêl-itti-nu, cf. Bêl-da-nu.
Bêll ${ }^{\text {râàsir }}$

1. s. of Rìmût, w., $61: 12$.
2. f. of Dannâ, 78:7.

Bèl-kì-na, f. of Ainâ, 75: 4.
Bè $b^{1,2}-k i-s h i r$

1. s. of Ardi-Bêl, b. of Ninib-nâsir, w., $43: 16 \mid 55:$ $24|73: 12| 88: 20|104: 11| 108: 11$.
2. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $31: 23\left|\left[39^{a}: 15\right]\right| 42: 10 \mid$ 70:16.
Bê ${ }^{1,2} 2_{-m u b a l l i t t}(-i t) \dagger$
3. s. of $A \npreceq-\hat{e} r i s h$, w., $28^{a}: 12$.
4. s.' of Ahushunu, w., $64: 14|73: 12| 87: 14 \mid 92$ : $15 \mid 93: 16$.
5. s. of Bêlshunu, ${ }^{h} U D-S A R-S H E-G A$, w., $83: 17$, R. $184: 10$, L. E.
6. s. of Lâbuc̣shi, 37:2, 15.
7. s. of Zêr-kitti-l̂̀shir, sc., $7: 26|17: 19| 26: 19 \mid$ $27: 13$.
8. f. of $A h$-iddina, $19: 17$.
9. f. of $A h i$ ' $a u, 54: 13$.
10. f. of Bèt-ah-iddina and Bêlstunu $33: 10|[41: 1]|$ $43: 20|60: 25| 82: 2 \%$
11. f. of Bêt-nâdin and Shullummâ, 32:20|48:31| $51: 3|53: 19| 84: 14$.
12. f. of Bulluttic, $22: 16$.
13. f. of Marduka, $82: 8$.

## $B e ̂ b^{1,2}-m u k i ̂ n-a p h u$

1. s. of Kâṣir, w., $74: 16|80: 13| 82: 26|[83: 23]|$ 87:12|88:22|96:16|90:16|107:19, R. E.
2. s. of Netsir, w, $57: 15$.
3. s. of Ninib-nâsir, w:, $96: 17 \mid[99: 16]$.
4. f. of Ubâr, $42: 12|66: 14| 69: 19$.
$B e ̂ c^{2}-m u-s h e-z i b-a h u$, s. of $B u l[7 u t \hat{a}]$, w., $44: 24$.
Bêt²-mu-tak-kill-[lu], f. of Gula-shum-lîshir, 53’: 17.
Bề $l^{1,2}-n \hat{a}{ }^{\prime} i d$
5. f. of Addannu, $73: 14$.
6. f. of Bêl-ahb-iddina, $57: 1|64: 15| 71: 7 \mid 76: 10$.
7. f. of Kidin, $32: 18$
8. f. of Kîna-aplu, $4: 13|22: 15|[23: 19]|28: 13|$ $33: 8 \mid 35: 30$.
$B e ̀ l\left(B e ̂{ }^{1}\right)-n a-d i n(n a ̂ d i n=M U)$
9. s.. of $A h$-iddina, b. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 10: 3,31$.
10. s. of Bêl-muballit, b. of Shullumî, hpaqud bâbi Sharru-GUD-SI-DI, 51:3,8, and w. in 32: $11|48: 30| 53: 19 \mid 84: 13$.
11. s. of Iddina-aplu, $20: 14$.
12. s. of Kâdinu, w., 28a : 13.
13. s. of Kusur-aplu, w., $46: 10$.
14. s. of Murashî, $19: 12$, abbreviated from Bèt-nâdinshumu, q. $v$.
15. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-\mathrm{i} d a n n i$, hmâr bîti.sha Baya'-milha', 50 : 7, R.
16. s. of Nabî-kusurshu, w., $10: 23$.
17. s. of Rîmût, $31: 2,12,15,26$.
18. s. of Sharki' (?), w., $14: 16$.
19. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $99: 18$.
20. s. of Ubâr, w., $61: 11$.
21. s. of . ..., $27: 2$.
22. f. of Bêl-n $\hat{\alpha} s i n, 16: 14$.
23. f. of Bèlshunu, $31: 19$.
24. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $16: 18$.
25. f. of Ninib-muballit, $10: \mathfrak{2} \mid 54: 11$.
26. f. of Sha-Nabit-sh $\hat{u}$, $12: 15$.
27. f. of Shum-iddina, $10: 25$.
28. 10\%: 2.

Bèl ${ }^{1,}{ }^{2}-n \hat{a} d i n-s h u m u \neq$

1. s. of $A d d a n n u$, w., $59: 22$, R. E. $|70: 10| 74: 15$, U. E. $\mid 76: 9$, L. E. $|77: 8| 80: 11$, U. E. $\mid 86^{\mathrm{a}}$ : 31, L. E. | $106: 13$, L. E. $\mid 107: 18$, U. E.
2. s. of Bânînu, b. of Addannu, w., 70:14.
3. s. of Murash $\hat{u}, 3^{a}: 3,4|4: 1| 6: 1|7: 4,13| \mathbf{7}^{n}$ : $3,5|8: 14,16| 9: 1,5,8,10|10: 6| 11: 4,8$, $10|12: 9,11| 13: 5|14: 3,8| 15: 6,12,16 \mid 16:$ $2,8,11\left|1^{17}: 1,5,9,10\right| 17^{7}: 2,7,12,14|18: 5|$ $19: 1,7,12$ (without shumu) | $20: 2,3,8 \mid 21: 2$, $4|22: 1,5,9,10| 23: 13,15|24: 1,9| 25: 2,7$. $13|26: 1,2,9,11,12,14| 26^{\mathrm{a}}: 2$ (id. with No. 7), 5, 9, 10|27: [1], $4|28: 6,9| 28^{a}: 6,8 \mid 29: 1,2$, $16,22|30: 1,2,17,24| 31: 1,5,9,11 \mid 32: 5,7$, 11, $13\left|32^{a}: 1,5,9\right| 33: 3,5|34: 2,10,18| 35:$ 4, 15, $23|36: 1,6,9| 37: 1,5,8|38: 1,5,8| 39:$ $4\left|39^{a}: 3,5\right| 40: 2,8,11,12|41: 2,9| 44: 15$, $18,21|45: 7,15,18| 48: 1,9,13,14,16,18,19$,

[^17]$21|49: 2,5,8| 50: 6,8,12|51: 1,2| 52: 1,7$, $12\left|52^{a}: 1,5\right| 53: 2|55: 1,15| 56: 1,7 \mid 57: 2$, $7,13|58: 1,7| 59: 7,9,16|60: 2,11| 62: 4,8 \mid$ $63: 3|64: 1,5| 65: 1,7,12, R .|66: 2| 66^{a}: 5$, $8,12,14|67: 1,6| 68: 2|69: 2,5,8,10,13| 70:$ $8 \mid 71: 3,4(L=B \hat{e} l$ omitted $)|72: 4,8| 74: 9$, $13|75: 7,9| 76: 4,8|77: 4,6| 79: 9,10 \mid$ $80: 4,9|81: 5,8| 82: 11,14,20|83: 10,15|$ $84: 3|85: 2| 86: 2\left|86^{\mathrm{a}}: 1,16\right| 88: 2,10,16 \mid$ $89: 1,5,8|91: 1,4,7,8| 93: 2,6,10,12 \mid 94: 1$, $6,9,10\left|94^{a}: 1,5,7,8\right| 95: 1,6,8,10 \mid 96: 1,6$, $9|97: 1,4,7,8| 98: 1,4,6,7|99: 3,4,13|$ $100: 1,4,7,9|102: 10,13| 103: 1,4,7,9 \mid 104: 1$, 5, $7|105: 1,5,7,9| 106: 6,9 \mid 107: 11,12,14$.
4. s. of Ninib-erba, b. of Ninib-nâdin (45:30), w., 13 : $9|45: 31| 48: 22$, Lo. E. $|49: 13|[50: 14] \mid 70:$ 12|72:15, U. E. | $74: 14$, R. | 82 : 23, Lo. E. | 83 : Lo. E.
5. s. of Taddannu, sc., $13: 12$.
6. s. of . . . . Bêt, b. of Shumiddina and Ribàt, 7: 2, L. E.
7. f. of Murash $\hat{u},\left[26^{a}: 2\right] \mid 101: 5,7$. Iden. with No. 3.
8. f. of Ninib-abu-usur, $74: 18|76: 14| 77: 12 \mid 80$ : $17|83: 24| 84: 15|102: 19| 106: 16$.
Bêl-na-sior $(n \hat{a} \operatorname{ṣir}=P A P)$

1. s. of $A b u-u l-i \hat{d} i$, b. of Bêl-ittannu and Marcluk, $49: 1$.
2. s. of Bêl-[nâdin], w., $16: 14$.
3. s. of Bêt-ushêzib, 7: 4, 13|24:13 (w.).
4. f. of Nabt̂-usurshu, $80: 15$.

Bêll${ }^{1}-n u \hat{u} r i-i a$, f. of $A r d i-B e ̂ l, 56: 5$.
Bêl-su-pi-e (SIGISHE ${ }^{2}$ )-mu-hur

1. hpaqdu sha Arsham, $1: 1,15,23$.
2. hardu u hpaqdu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $99: 5,13$ (apparently identical with No. 1).
Bêt ${ }^{1,}{ }^{2}$-shum-ibni
3. s. of Ardi-Gula, b. of Kidin, w., 2:4, 15 |94:2, L. E.
4. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $7: 21\left|7^{a}: 9\right| 9: 15|11: 14|$ $17^{a}: 16|41: 14| 76: 10 \mid 86^{a}: 30$.
5. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $68: 7$ f. e.
6. 8: 6 .

Bèl'-shum-im-bi(=ibbi, ${ }^{1}$ ) , s. of Kidin, w., $83: 23$.
 $11|59: 19| 60: 22 \mid 69: 16$.
Bêl (dBêl, $10: 2)-s h u-n u$

1. s. of Ahushunu, w., $92: 14|93: 14| 97: 13 \mid 100:$ 13.

2 s. of Bait-nâdin, w., 7ª: 6.
3. s. of Bêl-ittannu, w., $7^{\text {a }}: 11$.
4. s. of Bêl-[mubalitit], b. of Bêl-ah-iddina, $41: 1,8,15$.
5. s. of Bèl-nâdin, w., 31:19.
6. s. of Erba-Bèl, w., $109: 11$.
7. s. of Iddina-aplu, b. of $Z a b \hat{b} d \hat{a}, 10: 2$.
8. s. of Iddina-Nabut, 79:5.
9. s. of Mannu-akit-Nani, w., $36: 15$.
10. s. of Ninib-ahê-bullit, w., $6: 12$.
11. s. of Ninib-nâsip, w. \& sc., $51: 12|65: 25| 67$ : $16|69: 26| 75: 15\left|94^{\mathrm{a}}: 13\right| 99: 15 \mid 103: 12$.
12. s. of Puhhuru, w., 6:11.
13. s. of Sha-pi-kalbi, w., $7: 23$.
14. s. of Shiriqtim, $78: 10$..
15. f. of Addannu, $46: 9|47: 16| 86^{a}: 33$.
16. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 68: 5$ f. e.
17. f. of Balâtu, $1^{7 / a}: 15|48: 27| 49: 16|50: 17| 52:$ $17\left|52^{\mathrm{a}}: 12\right| 55: 24|65: 26| 67: 17\left|86^{\mathrm{a}}: 30\right|$ $88: 23|89: 13|[91: 12 ?]|[99: 16]| 102: 18 \mid$ 106: 14.
18. f. of Bêl-ah-ittannu, $64: 13|74: 17| 108: 12$.
19. f. of Bêl-ahê-iddina, $66: 10$.
20. f. of Bêl-muballit, $83: 17 \mid 84: 10$, L. E.
21. f. of $\operatorname{Han} \hat{u} n, 87: 1$.
22. f. of Iddina-aplu, $76: 13$.
23. f. of Iddina-Bêt, $61: 2 \mid 78: 2$.
24. f. of $\operatorname{Mar} d u k-z e ̂ r-i b n i, 84: 12$, U. E.
25. f. of Ninib-ètir, 21 : 8.
26. f. of Nissahar-Bèl, $9: 16$.
27. f. of Rîmût, $58: 13$.
28. f. of Shamshî-lindar, $94: 3$.
29. f. of Slum-iddina, $94^{n}:$ R. $\mid 99: 19, R$.
30. hardu sla Addannu, 39:9|64:6.

Bêll'su-lum-lum ( $=$ sul̂̀lum).

1. s. of Ahê-idaina, w., 2:14.
2. $44: 6$.

Bêl-tash-me-e-ri-ith-tú ("O B., thou hast granted the desire"), s. of Napishtim, w., 78: 11.
Bêll, ${ }^{2}$-taz-kur-shu† ("O B., thou hast called him "), f. of Bêl-ittannu, 5: 10|9:14.
Bêl-temen-uṣur, cf. Bêl-abu-usur, No. 7.
Bêl-थ'-pah-hir, f. of Kinûnai, 37: 3.
Bêl-ú-sur-shu, hshalenu sha hki-zupl., hardu sha Addannu $39^{a}: 2,0$.
Bêl-ú-shal-lim (lìm, ushallim=GI)

1. s. of Ina-sillu-Elsagila ( $=$ Sillai), b. of Ea-bullitsu, w., $80: 12$, Lo. E. $83: 21$.
2. f. of $1 l e^{\prime}$--bullutu-Bêl-mâti, $85: 24 \mid 86: 21$.

## Bêl-ú-she-zib

1. s. of Bêlit-taslim, $85: 3 \mid 86: 20$.
2. f. of Bêl-nâsin, [7:4], $24: 14$.

Bôl-zêr-ibni

1. s. of $A s h u r-n \hat{a} d i n, 82: 3$.
2. f. of Zabdiia, $68: 8$ f. e. |'72:14| $80: 15$.
3. 23: 6 .

Bêl-zêr-iddina, s. of Ishi-Shadî-rabî, $3^{a}: 5$, L. E.
Bêl-2 . . . , s. of Silim-ilâni, w., $43: 18$.
Bêlit-êtir, s. of Ishtar-ittiia, 63: 4.
Bêlit-nâdin, f. of Ninib-êtir and Barîk-Shamshî, $7: 25$. Bêlit-ushabshi(-shi), s. of Gashûr, sc., $85: 26 \mid 86: 24$. Bêlit-tas-lim, f. of Bêl-ushèzıib, 85:4|86:20(w.). Bi-ba, Bi-ba-a. (cf. Bi. ${ }^{3}$ בֵּ)

1. s. of Bêl-ittannu, b. of Bêl-ittannu, $100: 2$.
2. s. of Ea-nàdin, b. of Nabt̂-ittannu, w., $65: 24$ | 67:14.
3. $70: 3$.

Bi-ba-nu申, f. of $N u d u b(?) s h u n u$ and Ninib-ibni, 7:2.
Bi-ba-sha, cf. Shar-iqîsha.
Bi-ik-ku-úa, f. of Linadush, hmâr bìti sha Ninib-upahhir, 14 : 12.
*Bi-ru-ut-', s. of Shara'a-ilî, w., 7: 23.


1. s. of Abdiia, w., $32^{a}: 10$.
2. s. of Hashdai, hpitipabaga, $15: 4,8,16$, L. E.

Bi-it-ta-' (cf. Pa. ' ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{\ddagger}^{+}$, cf. also Ina-bit-ta-a), f. of Aplâ, $76: 3$.
Búl-lut-a, Búl-ț-a, (37: 4)

1. s. of Bêl-muballit, w, 22:16.
2. f. of Ahुê-iddina, $14: 16 \mid 17^{12}: 17$.
3. f of Ardita, $9: 16|46: 12| 47: 14$.
4. f. of Bèl-iqîsha, $37: 4$.
5. f. of Bêl-mushêzib-ahुu(?), $44: 24$.
6. f. of Nâdin, 5:11.
7. f. of . . ., hpa[qud] sha abullu rabî, 86 $6^{a}$ : 31.
d Bu-ne-ne-ibni
8. s. of Nabù-ittannu, w., $12: 14$.
9. f. of Nidintum-Shamash, $18: 14|19: 20| 21: 12 \mid$ $26^{a}: 17 \mid 28^{a}: 16$.
10. f. of Shamash-balâtsu-iqbi, 4:14|5:13.
11. f. of Shamash-nâdin-zêru, 35:31|40:20|53: $21|55: 27| 56: 18 \mid 58: 18$.
12. f. of Ubâr, $24: 11|49: 12| 75: 12 \mid 79: 11$, U.E. | $95: 14$, R. | $103: 11|104: 11| 105: 11 \mid 107: 17$.
Bushî (NIG-GA)-Bèt², f. of Ninib-ah-iddina and $f$ AmatBêlit, $6: 10\left|26^{a}: 16\right| 53: 11,13$.
*Bu- . . . , s. of Uskuduru', $74: 4$.
D $a-d i-i a$
13. s. of Milhi-taribi, $42: 2,0$.
14. f. of Addannu, $46: 11$.

3 f. of Nidintum, $15: 19$.
d Daian-ah-iddina, f. of Zêr-ukîn, 6:3.
Da-la-ta-ni-'

1. s. of Ninib-êtict, hardu sha Artarême, w, $72: 11, \mathrm{R}$.
2. s. of $S h a-p \hat{i}-k a l b i, 38: 2,15$.

Danni $(e, a)-a \S$

1. s. of Bêt-kôsir, w., 78: 7.
2. s. of Iddina-aplu, w., 17: $15\left|17^{3}: 18\right| 21: 8 \mid 25$ :
$18|45: 34| 48: 28|49: 16| 50: 17|51: 13| 52:$ 16.|55:25|65:26|69:18|70:13|74:15, Lo. E. $|75: 12| 77: 9 \mid 80: 14$, R. $\mid 83: 22$, L. E. \& R. $|88: 23| 89: 14 \mid 92:$ R. E. $|93: 14| 98: 10$, U.E. $|100: 13| 101: 15 \mid 102: 17$, L. E. | 103: 14|106:12|107:19, Lo. E.
3. s. of $\operatorname{Liq} \hat{u}(?)-a h \underline{u} a, 82: 9$.
4. s. of $N \hat{t} d i n$, sc. $\&$ w., $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 12|41: 12| 81: 9 \mid 86^{a}$ : 27.
5. s. of Shum-ukin, w., $82: 24|89: 15| 92: 13 \mid$ 108 : 11.
6. f. of Ninib-êtir, $85: 3 \mid 86: 3$.
7. f. of Shamshì-ladinni, 56:4.

Dan-na-at-Bêlit, s. of Iddina-Bêl, 23:7.
Dan-nu-Nergal, f. of Ninib-êtir, 35 : [3] (cf. Ji. 19).
*Da-ar-ma-ak-lca-' (Pe. Darmekhān), f. of Patishta$n a^{\prime}, 74: 6$.
Di-dì-e, f. of Ardi-Bèl and Shiriqtim, $88: 1,3$.
Di-i-ki, cf. Shulum-Bàbilu.
$D i(?)-g i r(?)-d i(?)-l a-a n-n u, \quad D i(?)-g i r(?)-a n-n u$, f. of $I d-$ dina-Shad̂̂-rabû, $85: 5 \mid 86: 23$.
Di-iq-di-iq, f. of Nâdin and Rîmêt-Bêl, 22:17|26a:3.
*Di-za-kan', 47:2.
Du-um-muq

1. f. of $\operatorname{Ibni-aplu,~87:~} 15$.
2. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $91: 13|104: 14| 105: 14$.
*Du-ur-mush-du-' (Pe.), f. of Iddina-Bêl, $60: 81, ~ R . ~$

[^18]$\mathbf{E} a(d \mathrm{I})-a-n a-Z u s s i \hat{i}-s h u \dagger$, s. of Nidintum-Bèl, b. of Eanâdin, 105: 2.
${ }^{d} E-a(E a=a B E)-b u l l d t e-s u$

1. s. of Ina-ṣillu-Esagila, sha eli gishbAR sha nâu Hुar-ri-pi-qu-du ( $u$ nîruSûtu), $80: 2,7$, R. E.
2. s. of Sillai, b. of Bêl-ushallim, w., $83: 20$ (identical with No. 1).
d $E$-a-da-nu, $83: 5$.
$E a(d B E)-\hat{e} r i s h$, s. of Nidintum- . . . $86 ; 7$.
$E a(d B E$ and $d I)-n a \hat{a} d i n$
3. s. of Nitlintum-Bêl, b. of Eia-ana-kussìs7u, 105 : 2.
4. f. of Bibî and Nabit-ittannu, $67: 15$.
a Ek-a-ritti-shúb, s. of Ahdatushe(?), hardu sha-Bêt-nâdiolstumи, $86^{n}: 1$, U. E.
Ellit-ta-d Natna-a, s. of Bêl-ibni, $85: 9$.

5. s. of Bêl-bana, $13: 2$,
6. s. of Ninib-nâdin, "paqud(u) sha Nippur ( $h_{\text {paqua }}$ sha abullu rabib, or paqudu), w., $19: 15 \mid 26^{a}$ : $13|34: 23| 35: 29\left|39^{a}: 9\right| 48: 30$; no w., 51 : 3, 7.
7. f. of Ardiva, $43: 17$.
 13.
8. f. of Ninib-ibni, $26^{a}: 14 \mid 28^{a}: 11$.
9. f. of $N \hat{u} h \underline{b} \hat{a}, 4: 12$.

## Erba-Bêtl, 2

1. s. of Ardi-Ninib, b. of Bêl-ah-iddina and Nâ'idNinib, 53: 12.
2. s. of $B e ̀ t-b a n a, w, 22: 13|28: 11| 35: 28 \mid 48: 27$, U. E. $|49: 15|[50: 15]|52: 16|\left[52^{\mathrm{a}}: 11\right] \mid 59:$ $20|60: 22| 66^{2}: 19$, U. E. | $69: 17$, U. E. $\mid 70$ : $11 \mid 72: 12$, Lo. E| $75: 13|77: 9| 80: 13$, Lo. E. $\&$ R. $|81: 10| 83: 22\left|\left[86^{n}: 29\right]\right| 88: 21 \mid 89:$ $11|97: 12| 100: 12|102: 17| 103: 13|105: 11|$ 106 : 11, U. E.
3. s. of Iqisha-aplu, sc. \& w., $46: 13|47: 21| 73: 15$.
4. s. of Mushêaib, $3: 2$.
5. s. of Mu. . ., $87: 3,5,8,10$.
6. s. of Ninib-êrish, w., $56: 13 \mid 58: 13$.
7. s. of $S h a-p \hat{\imath}-7 a l b i$, w., $64: 16$.
8. f. of Bêlshunu, 109 : 11.

E-sag-il-la-rami (RA), cf. Ina-Esagila-rame.
Eshê-êtir, \& f. of Nidintum-Bêl, 57 : 3, 8, 10.
E-tel-lu, Etellu (NTR-GAL), £. of Aplâ, $9: 15 \mid 31: 16$.
E-te-ru, f. of Aplâ, 42: 11.
$\hat{E} t i v-s h u-B e \hat{e}{ }^{1}$

1. s. of $N \hat{a}^{\prime} i d$-[Bêl], w., $69: 24$.
2. s. of $N \hat{a}$ ' $i d$-Sin, sc., $2: 17$.

Gab-ba-pi (without m, cf. He.-Pa. גבר) in aluShubti-Gab bari, $86^{a}: 5,6$.
 20.

Ga-ah-la-'T, f. of Bêt-ah-iddina, 33: 3, O.
Ga-la-la-an(-nu), (cf. He. , לְ

1. s. of Lûdiia, b. of Bêl-êtir, w., '7: 22.
2. In AluBît-Galalanu, $99: 3$.

Ga-mil-lu, f. of Basishu-anaki, 31: 3.

* Gan-sak-ka-', cf. Karsakka'.

Gct-shur ("Strong"), f. of Bêlit-ushabshi, 85 : $26 \mid 86: 24$.
*Gi-ra." (without det. m, cf. Ph. ふרָ, abbrev., ef. גרמלקרת, גרמלך, etc., N.), in aluBit-Gira', $45: 6$.
a Gu-la-shum-lishior

1. s. of Bêt-mutakkillu, w., $53: 17$.
2. s. of $U\left[b \hat{a} r^{r}\right]$, w., $91: 12$.

Gu-sa-ai, $92: 2$.
H $a-a(z a ?)-d i-n i-\ldots$. . s. of Itti-Shamash-balâtu, $5: 2$.
*Heb-bar-da-', f. of Astushebarma', $18: 8$.
*Ha-bi-i-si, 79: 4.

* (Ha-) add-ba-ga-', Ad-b(m?) a-ga-' (Pe., ef. Adabaga' and Ma-ga-a-pa-na††) s. of Mizdaeshu, hdaianu sha närSin, $9: 12$, L.E. $12: 12$, R.
* 


*Ua-da-an-na (cf. Bi. (ה)אנִ


* Ha-ma-da-" (cf. He. חְְֶּ ), s. of Sin-nâdin, $82: 4$.
"Ha-ma-ar-ha-', s. of Bêl-êrish, m. of Ahi'au, $81: 3$.
* Ha-am-ba-ri, Ha-am-ma-ri, Ha-an-ba-ra

1. in $\operatorname{du(m)}$ Hamm (b) ari, $7^{\text {a }}: 3|8: 12| 44: 11$.
2. in $b \hat{a} b u$ H $\alpha-a n-b a-r a, 19: 7$.
$\dagger$ Abbreviated, supplement something like likrub, cf. Ninib-ana-bitishu.
$\ddagger A Z A G$. Cf. Nâ'itta-Nanâ.
\%. B. of Bêl-ah-iddina (li. 4). Abbreviated from $d X-\hat{i n a-e s h e ̂ e ̂ t i t i r, ~ c f . ~ D e l i t z s c h ~ i n ~ B . ~ A . ~ I I I, ~ p . ~} 388$.
$\|$ Cf. also Igdaliâma.

- Cf. names like Ga-hुal, Ga-hुul, Gi-hुi-lu, Ga-hुul-Marduk, etc.


\&\& Cf. Vogüe, l. c. 74.

Hु $a-n a b, H_{6}(a-a n-b u$ ，f．of Ninib－nâṣir， $9: 17 \mid 17: 18$ ．
＊
1．s．of $A r d i-G u l a, 3: 7$.
2．s．of Mintihimmu，w．， $20: 16$ ．
＊Ha－na－ni－＇（cf．Не．＇＇חִנִ，
1．s．of Bêl－ittannu，w．， $8: 1 \%$ ．
2．s．of Ninib－muballit，gs．of Ardi－Ninib，63：7．
3．f．of $\operatorname{Ta} d \hat{\imath} h$－$i \mathrm{l} \hat{\imath}, 14: 6 \mid 15: 2,11$.
＊ w．， 69 ：20，L．E．
＊Hanbara，cf．Hammari．
 newed me＂），s．of ．．．， $23: 10$.
＊Ha－an－na－ta－ni－＇（different from the He．name of a place ן ashkârishi， $90: 7$.
 L．E．
＊Ha－ap＝pa－as－su－a－＇（identical with Appussâ？，q．v．），f，of Nabû－giriza， $32^{a}: 12$.
Har－bat－a－mu（Har－bata－nu（Const．Ni．580：12），Har bat－ta－nu（ibid：L．E．），Har－bat－a－an（Const．Ni． 589 ：L．E．））s．of Zumbu，w．， $86^{\mathrm{a}}: 32$.
Ha－pi－ba－nu，s．of Zumbu，w．，87： 14.
Ha－ri－sa－nu，s．of Ahê－iddina， 35 ：［2］， $18 \mid 101: 17$（w．）．
Ha－ash－da－ai，Hash－da－ai\｜
1．s．of Bêl－êrish，gs．of Tabnêc， $12: 8,10$, R．
2．s．of Iddina－aplu， $3: 2$ ．
3．s．of $N a b \hat{u}-r \hat{e} \hat{e} \hat{u} s 7 u n u, 83: 7$ ．
4．f．of Biṣ̂$, 15: 4,8$ ．
5．f．of Itti－Nabरे－balâtu，11：2， 6.
6．f．of Itti－Shamash－balâtu， $37: 14 \mid 38: 14$.
7．f．of Ninib－gâmil， $6: 13$ ．
8．f．of Sha－idi－ahu，70：8，R．
9． $70: 5$（perhaps identical with No．8）．
Ha－tin（＂［A deity is］protecting＂）
1．s．of $\mathcal{B} a z \hat{u} z u, 41: 2,8,16$.
2．s．of $I b \hat{a}, \mathrm{w} ., 56: 12 \mid 90: 8$ ．

3．s．of Murashû－aplu（？）， $86: 6$.
4．s．of Ninib－nâdin，b．of Nâdin，w．， $15: 18 \mid 18: 9$.
5．s．of Ubâr，w．， 57 ： 16.
Hi－li－ti－＇，s，of Inna－Nabû， 34 ：1．Ident．with Ahu－li－ti－＇．
Hi－in－ni－ia，Hi－in－nu－．．．．，s．of Kîna－aplu，w． $85: 20 \mid$ 86：19．
Hu－un－ş－ra－ru，hhatri sha hshushannê，hardu sha Puh－ hुurâ，75：6，0．
Hुu－ur－ru，hshan̂̀ sha Zamama－nâdin，28：5，8，O．
＊I $a-a-d a-b u-N a b \hat{u}$（二⿻丷木ן
1．s．of Barik－Shamshî，82： 4.
2．s．of Nabî－hुaqabi， $82: 6$ ．

1．s．of Hanāni，hmâr bîti sha Artammaru，sha ina muhhigish BA．R nâr Sin， $14: 5$ ，Lo．E．｜ $15: 1,10,15$.
2．107：3（probably identical with No．1）．
 of Shilimimu，28：15．
 hardu sha Bèl－nâdin－shumu， $55: 1,14$.
 ＊
1．f．of Bêt－êtir， $36: 12|37: 11| 38: 11$.
2．f．of $\ldots d i-\ldots-u$ ， $23: 21$.

1．s．of Bana＇－itî， $25: 1$ ，L．E． $145: 1$ ，L．E．，f．of Padâma，Iaĥu－natanu，Shanahûnuu，Ahiiàma， $25: 20 \mid 45: 1,10,20$.
2． $29: 3$（probably identical with No．1）．
＊Ia－di－ih－ilì，cf．Ia－a－di－bu－ili ．
＊dIa－hu－ú－na－ta－nu（Не．יְוֹנָתָן），s．of Tadîhiâma，b．of Padâma，Shamaĥnnu，Ahiiâma，W．， $25: 19$｜ $45: 1$.
$I-b a-a$, f．of $H \hat{d} t \bar{t} n, 56: 12 \mid 90: 8$ ．
Ibni－aplu，s．of Dummuq，w．，87： 14.
Ibni－Nergal，in aluIbni－Nergal， $101: 4$.
＊I－da－ri－nu－il̂̀，cf．Tadarni＂－il̂̀．
Iddina（MU，SE－na（17：15））－aplu
1．s．of Bêlshunu，w．， $76: 13$.
† The name is not Assyrian（against Delitzsch，Assyrisches Handwörterbuch，p．284a）．The corresponding． Assyr．verb is enênu（ $\boldsymbol{j}_{3}$ ）．
$\ddagger N$ ．，disinclined to quote the He．name in connection with our own，proposes to translate the latter＂The merciful（Grace？）gave＂．（נתן）or better＂gave me＂（נתנ）．The He．Hannātôn is apparently an adject．ending

｜｜Not Quddai，Demuth in B．A．ITI，p．421，etc．Cf，already Peiser，Babyl．Verträge 96：15．
2. f. of Agara, $52^{\mathrm{a}}: 13 \mid 67: 17$.
3. f. of Ah-iddina, $43: 1$.
4. f. of [Bèl-nâdin?], $20: 14$.
5. f. of Bêl-shunu and $\operatorname{Zab\hat {\imath }d\hat {\alpha },10:2.}$
6. f. of Dannâ, $17: 15\left|17^{\mathrm{ra}}: 18\right| 21: 8|25: 18| 45$ : $34|48: 28| 49: 16|50: 17| 51: 13|52: 16| 55:$ $25|65: 26| 69: 18|70: 13| 74: 15$, Lo. E. $\mid 75:$ 12|77:9|80:14, R.| $83: 22$, L. E. \&R.| 88 : $23|89: 14| 92:$ R. E. $|93: 14| 98: 10$, U. E. $\mid$ 100:13| 101:15 | 102:17, L. E. | 103:14| 106:12 | 107 : 19, Lo. E.
7. f. of Hashdai, $3: 2$.
8. f. of Marduk-n $\hat{a}^{\prime} i d, 87: 12$.
9. f. of Ninib-n $\hat{\alpha}$ ' $i d, 73: 15$.

Iddina-Ashur ( $\quad H I$ ), f. of Bêl-dônu, 85 : 25.
Iddina-Bêl (Bêll, ${ }^{\text {l }}$ )

1. s. of Balôtu, w., $89: 14\left|94^{a}: 14\right| 95: 16|96: 17|$ $99: 17$.
2. s. of Bêl-abu-uşur, w., $49: 15$.
3. s. of Bêl-shunu, $61: 2,7 \mid 78: 2,5$.
4. s. of Durmushdu', w., $60: 21$, R.
5. s. of Idissu, w., 58 : 14 .
6. s. of $N \hat{o}$ ' $i d$-Bêl, w., 17 : 18.
7. s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $32: 16$.
8. s. of $\ldots, 7: 5,13$.
9. f. of $A$ - - -iddina, $11: 15|53: 18| 94: 16 \mid 95: 17$.
10. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 19: 17$.
11. f. of $\operatorname{Ardi}$-Bêl, $18: 20|21: 10| 26: 18$.
12. f. of Ardiia, 78: 8.
13. f. of Bânûnu, $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 8$
14. f. of Dannat-Bêtit, $23: 7$.
15. f. of Kidin-Bêl, $103: 2$.
16. f. of Kisiria, $19: 5$.
17. 18. of Mûrânu, 42:4|66:3.
1. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $31: 21$ (cf. No. 9).
2. f. of Ninib-nâṣir, 42:10|69:22.
3. f. of Taqûsh-Gula, $75: 1^{17}|77: 11| 87: 11|88: 24|$ $90: 9|92: 16| 93: 15$ (abbrev. Taqîsh) | 100: 14|106:15, R. E.| 108:16|109:13.
4. hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $26: 1,11$.

Iddina-MarduF ( ${ }^{\text {A }} A M A R$-UD)

1. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk,w, $17^{\text {ª }}: 17\left|39^{\text {a }}: 7\right| 41$ : $13|48: 26| 49: 17|52: 17| 86^{\mathrm{a}}: 27|88: 21|$ 105:12.
2. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-m u d a m m i q, 41: 11$.

Iddina-Nab̂

1. s. of Ninib-êtir, w., $18: 12$.
2. s. of Shadî-rabê-nâadin, w., $16: 15$.
3. f. of Adabaga', $39: 10$.
4. f. of Bêlshunu, 79:5.
5. f. of Liblut, $29: 25$ |30: $27 \mid 65: 24$.
6. f. of Ninib-êtir, $10: 25$.
7. f. of Shum-iddina, $85: 25 \mid 86: 22$.

Iddina-dShadì-rabî, s. of Digirdilannu(?), 85:5|86: 23.
 Zabdiia, 85:19186:4.
$I$-dis-su, $I$-dits-su (二EAdish-su, " His only one")

1. s. of Nidintum-Bêl, $85: 24$ (w.) | $86: 5$.
2. f of Iddina-Bèl, $58: 15$.
*Id-ra-ni-' $i t \hat{\imath}, ~ c f . ~ I a d a r n i ’-i l \hat{\imath}$.
*Ig-da-al-ia-a-ma (He. יִגבּלְיָּירי), s. of Nan̂̂-nâdin, $45: 4$.
Ilkaru (with det. h), f. of Barik-ith, gf. of Nadiru, $73: 4$.
$1 k-l a-^{\prime}$, in $a l u B \hat{i} t-I k l a$, , 79: 6.
Ile'i-bullutu-Bêtl-mâtit, s. of Bèl-ushallion, w., $85: 23 \mid$ 86:21.
 Bêl, b. of Bêl-abu-uṣur, 75 : 5.
*Il̂̀-gab-ri (cf. Edom. Qaus-gabri), s. of Natan-ilî, w., 67 : 15.
 21.
*ll̂̂-li-in-dar (Ar.§), s. of Marduka, w., $67: 13$.
*Ili-na-ni-' (Ar.§), s. of BarikEi-ilth, w., $32: 16$.
"Ilî-qa-ta-vi (Ar. "My god is (my ?) rock") \|, f. of Hag$g \hat{a}, 28: 14$.
*Il̂̀-za-ba-du, $I l \hat{\imath}-z a-b a d-d u$ (He. 45:32|75: 13.
Ilu-nâdin-shumu (71: 4), cf. Bêl-nâdìn-şıumu.
$I m$-bi-icu (ià)
3. s. of Kidin, w., $40: 18|48: 29| 72: 13|89: 12|$ 107: 20.
4. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $23: 20 \mid 32: 19$.

In-ilâni (Abbreviated), f. of Balâtu, 67 : 15.
Ina-bit-tct-a (cf. also Bi-it-ta-'), s. of Abushunu, $66: 8$.
Ina-E-sag-ila-rami, E-sag-ìl-la-rami (RA, cf. fIna-E-sagila-ra-mat), s. of Kinna-ciplu, 16:13|20:14.
Ina-sillu-E-sag-ila, f. of Bêl-ushallim, $80: 12$, Lo. E., and of Ea-bullit-su, $80:$ R. E.

[^19]Ina-sillu-Ninib ( ${ }^{(B A R) \text {, and abbreviated Sil-lu-Ninib }}$ ( $d B A R$ )

1. f. of Ahushunu, 57:17.
2. f. of Nôdin, $32: 21|93: 17| 97: 14|98: 12| 100:$ $15 \mid 103$ : 15.
3.' f. of Shum-iddina, $58: 3 \mid 70: 14$.

In-na-Nab̂̂ (abbreviated), f. of Hiliti', $34: 1$.
In-nu-sa-bi-'-tu-" (= ̂̀nu-şabîtu", "Having the eye of a gazel"), hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, 101 : 7.
I-qish (=Iq̂̂sh, "He (a deity) presented "), s. of Kâsir, 10. of Ninib-ah-iddina, w., $47: 17$.

Iqisha(-sha)-aplu

1. s. of Bêt-iqbi, 8:4|9:2.
2. s. of Karsaklea', w., '76:12.
3. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $90: 8|108: 12| 109: 12$.
4. s. of Zanganu, $8: 8$.
5. s. of $\ldots$, w., $3: 20$.
6. f. of Addannu, $56: 3 \mid 86^{a}: 33$.
7. f. of Barikki, 82: 24, U. E. | $83: 20$.
8. f. of Erba-Bèl, $46: 13|47: 21| 73: 16$.
9. f. of Kina-aplu, $35: 3$.
10. f. of Nàdin, 5: 10|17:13| $26^{a}: 12|32: 17| 62:$ $12|63: 14| 72: 12$, Lo. E. $|89: 11| 91: 10 \mid 94:$ $14|95: 14| 96: 14|104: 13| 105: 13 \mid 107: 18$.
11. f. of Tukkullum, 51: 12.
12. f. of $\ldots \ldots, 3: 21$.
13. $8: 7 \mid 44: 8$.
14. in Nôru sha Iq̂̂sha-aplu, $3: 8$.
*I-qu-bu (cf. Aqûbu and He. בַק). $\dagger$
15. s. of $K \hat{\alpha} \sin ^{r}$, w., $5: 12$.
16. f. of Lâbâshi, 23:3.
*Is (z)-gu-u, hgal-la sha Artabarri, 13:4, O.
1-sin-na-ai ("Man of Isin"), f. of Kîna-aplu, 66 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : 20.
*I-si:pa-ta-ra(ru)-'u, s. of Padiduru'u, 28ª ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 4,7$, Lo. E.

* $I s h(?)-d u-b(p) u-h a-t u e^{-}$, f. of Bèl-ittannu, $18: 13$.
$* d I_{s} h-\hbar i-t a-r i-b i$, cf. Milhi-ta-ri-bi.
I-shi.d Shcudû-pal̂úu ("O. Sh. lift up"), f. of Bêl-zêr-iddina, $3^{\mathrm{a}}: 5$.
Ishtar (a DIL-BAT)-itti-ia, f. of Bêlit-êtir, $63: 5$.
dI-shum-mar-du-', m. of Mushallim-Bêl, 1:31.
Itti-Bêl-ab-nu ("With B. I shone"), $\ddagger$ hgat-la sha Artahhshar, 4:3, R.
Itti-Bêll ${ }^{1}$ balâtu

1. s. of Ninib-nîdin-shumu, sc., $39: 11$.
2. s. of Ninib-nôsir, sc., $43: 21$.
3. f. of Kutallâ- Nabû, 50: 16.

Itti-Bêl-pa-shar ("With B. is redemption" =pashâru), hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $51: 2$.
Itti-Nabê-balâtu, s. of Hashdai, 11: 2, 6, 20.
Itti-Shamash-batâtu

1. s. of Hashdai, w., 37 : 14 | $38: 14$.
2. f. of H $\mathrm{a} d \mathrm{ini}$. . . ., 5: 3.
3. f. of Ninib ah-iddina, $79: 3$.

Iz-gu-ú, cf. Tsgû.
$\mathbf{K} a$-di-nu, f. of Bêl-nàdin, 28 $8^{a} 14$.
Kal-ba-a (cf. also Kal-bi-ia), in aluHuş̣êtu sha Kalbâ, $49: 4,9$.
Kalbi-Bau (dBâbu)

1. f. of $R a^{\prime} a b i-i l i, 44: 17$.
2. f. of Rê'âiu, $8: 3: 6$.

Kal-bi-ia (cf. also Kal-ba-a), f. of Nidintà, 61: 11.
*Ka[ma' $]$-na-' (Pe.), f. of Baga'dâta and Tiridâta, 74:7.
Kar-ha-', cf. Qarha'.
$\operatorname{Kar}(?)-\operatorname{mud}(?)$-e-. . ... , f. of . . . $\therefore$, $39: 7$.
*Kar (Gan? )-sak-ka-' (Pe., cf. names like Baranázخs

Kâşir ("[A deity is] preserving "')

1. s. of Ninib-êtir, w., $17: 15$.
2. f. of Bêl-apal-iddina, $44: 24$.
3. f. of Bêl-mukîn-aplu, $74: 16|80: 13| 82: 26 \mid$ [83: $23]|87: 12| 88: 22|96: 16| 99: 16 \mid 107: 19$, R. E.
"4. f. of $I_{q} \hat{\imath} s h$ and Ninib-ah-iddina, $47: 17$.
4. f. of $I q \hat{\imath} b u, 5: 12$.
5. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $42: 7 \mid 56: 13$.
6. f. of Shum-iddina, $5: 11|11: 16| 18: 10|19: 19|$ $26: 17 \mid 27: 12$.
7. f. of . . ., $3: 22$.
$K i$-din (abbreviated)
8. s. of Ardi-Gula, b. of Bêl-shum-ibni, w., 2:4, 15 | 8:7|94:2, L. E.
9. s. of Bêt-iqîsha, w., ra: $10 \mid 8: 20$.
10. s. of $B \hat{e} l-n \hat{a} \hat{l}^{\prime} i d$, w., $32: 17$.
11. s. of Ninib-apal-iddina, w., $39^{a}: 12$.
12. s. of Tuktê, 8:5.
13. s. of . . ., b. of . . . $\not \hat{e} \mathrm{e}$ (?)-ibni, w., $3: 23$.
14. f. of Bêlâni and Shamash-shum-iqishá, $17: 3$.
15. f. of Bêl-shum-imbi, $83: 23$.
16. f. of $\operatorname{Tmbia} a, 40: 18|48: 29| 72: 13|80: 12| 107:$ 20.
[^20]Ki－din－Bêl，s．of Iddina－Bêl， 103 ： 2.
Ki－din－Sin，s．of Lakipi，w．， $73: 13$ ．
＊Ki－e－ìn†－ra－da－＇（Pe．），f．of Bagêshu，106：3．
＊Ki－ki－e，in aubît－Kikè， $86^{a}: 8$.
Kim－ti－iddina，f．of Shiriqtim， $17^{\mathrm{n}}: 5$ ．
Ki－na－aplu，Kîna－aplu（or Ki－na－a，Kîna－a？）
1．s．of Ardi－Ninib，b．of Shum－ukîn，w．，7an：10｜ $8: 21$ ．
2．s．of Bêl－nâ＇id，w．， $4: 13|22: 15|[23: 18] \mid 28:$ 13｜33：7｜30̆：29．
3．s．of Iqîs $h a-a p l u, 35: 3$ ．
4．s．of Isinnai，w．， $66^{n}: 20$.
5．s．of $N u b \hat{u}$－mushêtiq－urrru，w．， $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 9$ ．
6．s．of Ninib－gatmil，w．，71：8，T．E．
7．f．of Hinniia， $85: 20 \mid 86: 19$ ．
8．f．of Ina－Esagila－rami，16：13｜20：15．
9．f．of Ninib－nâdin， $92: 14$ ．
Ki－nu－na－ai，s．of Bèt－upahhir，37：2， 16.
Ki－rib－ti（＂Blessing＂＇）
1．f．of Bêt－abu－usur， $89: 1$, R．E．
2．1．of Bêl－bulliṭsu and Sha－Nabî－shh̀， $36: 3$.
Ki－sir－ia（＂My strength＂），s．of Iddina－Bêl， $19: 4$ ，L．E． $K u-d u r$（？），f．of Zabinnâ，31： 19.
＊Ku－la－＇la－hu－＇，f．of Bêl（？）－êtir， $12: 3$.
＊Ku－us－da－na－＇（？＊ ＊$^{*}$ ）， 32 ： 4.
Ku－sur－aplu，f．of Bèl－nâdin， $46: 10$.
Ku－tal－la－a－Nabû，s．of Itti－Bèl－［balattu］，w．，50： 16.
$\mathbf{L} a-b a-87 i, L a-a-b a-s h i(32: 19)$ ，La－ba－a－shi（44：12）
1．s．of Ahु－iddina，b．of Bêt－nìdin， $10: 3,24$（Wo）。
2．s．of $A p l \hat{x}$, w．， $77: 10$ ．
3．s．of Ardiia，w．， $54: 11$.
4．s．of Balâtu，sc．， $64: 17 .{ }^{*}$
5．s．of Iqûbu， $23: 3$ ．
6．s．of $N \hat{o ̂ d i n}$ ，w．， $39^{a}: 10|46: 7| 47: 13|48: 29|$ $51: 13 \mid 70: 13$.
7．s．of Nidintum－Bêl，w．， $32: 19$.
8．s．of Ubâr，b．of Ardiia，w．， $26^{\mathrm{a}}: \mathbf{1 5}|33: 9| 43:$ 16.

9．f．of $A p l \hat{\alpha}, 44: 12$.
10．f．of Bânita， $11: 11$ ，Lo．E．｜ $23: 17$ ，R．E．｜ 27 ： 8｜39： 8.
11．f．of Bêl－muballit， 37 ： 2 ．
12．f．of Ninib－êtir， $38: 3$ ．

13．f．of Qaddushu， 75 ： 2.
14．f．of Silim－ilâni， $43: 18|56: 11| 108: 14$.
15．f．of Shum－iddina， $3^{a}: 9$.
16．f．of Ubâr，64：13．
17． $8: 10 \mid 32^{\mathrm{a}}: 3,8$.
La－kip，La－ki－pi
1．f．of Kidin－Sin， $73: 13$.
2． $70: 4$.
＊La－ma－ni－ià，La－ma－ni－＇（cf．He．לִבְנִ $\ddagger$
1．s．of Rahîm－il̂̀，w．， $36: 11|37: 10| 38: 10$ ．
2．f．of $N \hat{a}$＇id－Bêl， 108 ： 1 ．
Lamassu（？${ }^{\text {d }} K A L-K A L$ ）－nâdin，f．of Bêl－ittannu， 68 ： 5 f．e．$|69: 24| 86^{\mathrm{n}}: 32 \mid 106: 13$.
La（Lam）－me－e（no m，possibl．no person），in âlu sha $L a($ Lam $) m e ̂, 28^{\mathrm{a}}: 4|74: 8| 96: 4,7 \mid 99: 2$.

## Lib－lut

1．s．of Addannu，w．， $73: 16$.
2．s．of Iddina－Nabûl，w．， $29: 25|30: 27| 65: 24$ ．
3．f．of Bêl－ittannu，56：4｜66：9．
Li－ṅ்－du－ush，s．of Bikkìua，w．， $14: 12$.
Liq（？）－u－ahu－u－a（＂My brother is an adopted child？＂）， f．of $\operatorname{Dann} \hat{a}, 82: 10$.
$L u-d a-k u$（Const．Ni． $508: k a$ ），s．of Bèl－ah－iddina，hpaqdu sha Artarêmu， 39 ：3，5，R．
Lu－gi－e，70： 2.
Lu－la－＇－Nabû（＂Abunclance of N．＂），§ f．of Marduk－ êtir， 75 ： 3.
Lu－$\hat{u}$－$d i-i a$（contracted from Lê－idiaia，q．v．\｜），f．of Gala－ lân and Bêl－êtir，7： 22.
$L u-\hat{u}-i-d i-i a, L u-\dot{u}-i d i(I D)-i \alpha$（and $L u-u ́-d i-i a, q . v$ ．）
1．f．of Bêl－ittannu， 82 ： 25.
2．f．of Ninib－ana－bîti－shu， $48: 32|51: 4| 69: 22$.
Man－nu－a－ki－i－dNa－na－a，f．of Bêlshunu， $36: 15$.
Man－nu－itti－ia（＂Who is with me＂），hardu sha Mānūsh－ tānu， $84: 7$.
Man－nu－ki－i－Ninib，s．of Nidintum－Bêl， $86: 5$.
Man－nu－lu－ú－s7ni－lum
1．f．of Ninib－gâmil， $12: 14 \mid 35: 1$.
2．hardu sha Shang $\hat{u}(?), 54: 5$.
＊Ma，u－ush－ta－nu（Pe．＊Mānüsh－tāna，M\＆voбт $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta s$ ），s．of Artarême，hmâr biti sharri， $75: 7,9|83: 9,14|$ 84：4；m．of $\hat{U} m a-m \hat{u} s h i$ ， $83: 20$ ，L．E．，and of Mannu－ittia，84：8．

[^21]Mar-duk (abbreviated), s. of Abu-ul-îdi, b. of Bêl-ittan$n u$ and Bêl-nâsir, $49: 1, R$.
Mar-duk-a (cf. He. מרדרְ, Mapסoұaĩos)

1. s. of $A p l \hat{a}, 82: 7$.
2. s. of $A r a b a k, 81: 2$.
3. s. of Bêl-muballit, $82: 8$.
4. f. of Minit-Bêl-dan, 60: 4.
5. f. of Shamshâ-lindar, $67: 14$.
6. hardu sha Bèt-nâddin-shumu, $29: 1$, L. E.

Mardukètir

1. s. of Bèl-ittannu, b. - of Bêl-barakki, 32a : 4, 7, Lo. E.
2. s. of Lula' $\pm$ Nabî, $75: 3$.
3. f. of Balâtu, $92: 4$.

Marduk-nà'id, s. of Iddina-aplu, w., 87 : 12.
Marduk-nâdin-shumu

1. s. of Bêl-ibni, 72:2, R.
2. f. of Mitraen, hmâr biti sha Addannu, $59: 6,8,15$ | $60: 20, \mathbf{R}$.
Marduk-n̂tsir-zêru, f. of Mugurshu, 87 : 15.
Marduk-ri-man-nu, 107:1.
Marduk-zêr-ibni, s. of Bêלshunu, w., 84:12, U. E.
*Ma-ri-e (cf. Pa. מריא $\downarrow$ ), f. of Qûsu-iahhabi, 1: 1.
Mârê-iddina, f. of Shamshî̀-baraklcu, $85: 6$.
Ma-ru-du, in $\operatorname{aluBit} t$-Marudu, $9: 5,7 \mid 44: 6$.
*dMil-hi-ta-ri-bi, f. of Dâdiia, 42:3.
*Mi-na-ah-hi-im-mu (He. קְ
*Mi-in-ia-me-e (final $n$ being dissolved, He. Bêniia, w., 45 : 33.
 $M i$-nu-ú-Bèl-da-an (or $d a-n a) \ddagger$
3. s. of Balâtu, $48: 5$.
4. s. of Marduka, 60:4, 7, 12, 15, 16.
*Mi-it(Mit)-ra-da-a-ti(tu) (Pe. *Mithradäta, Нс. מִתְרְ, $M \epsilon \tau(\vartheta) \rho a(\iota) \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{S})$, f. of $B a g a '-m i ̂ r i, 48: 1,14,34$.
*Mi-it-ra-en, Mi-it-ra-ai-ni, Mi-it-ra-'-in (Pe. Mithrāna, M८т $\left.(\vartheta) \rho \dot{\alpha}\left(i, \eta^{\prime}\right) \nu \eta s\right)$, s. of Marduk nâdin-shumu, hmutalliḩusha imni sharnâr $\operatorname{Sin}, 59: 6,8,15, ~ R . ~ \mid ~_{\text {. }}$ $60: 20$, R. (w.).
*Mi-in(s?)-da-e-shu (Pe., perhaps containing mizde "Sohn," N. ), f. of ( $(\mathbb{)}) a d b(m) a g a^{\prime}, 12: 12$.
Mu-gur-sthí ("Be favourable unto him")
5. s. of $M a r d u k-n a ̂ s ̧ i r-z \hat{e} r u$, w., $87: 15$.
6. s. of Ninib-ah-iddina, w., $58: 16$.
7. f. of Ninib-nàdin, $54: 14 \mid 63: 17$.

Mukîn-aplu, cf. Kîna-aplu.
Muk-ka-a, s. of Nabû-êtir, 62: 3, 5.
$M u-r a-n u$

1. s. of Iddina-Bêl, $42: 3 \mid 66: 3$.
2. in âlu Bêt-Mûrânu, 2:2|3:25|30:3,7|44:9, $10 \mid 94: 4$.
$M u-r u-s h u-u(u)$
3. s. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, gs. of No. 3, [26; $\left.\dot{6}^{\text {: }} 1\right] \mid$

101: 4 (mentioned also Const. Ni. 542).
2. f. of Bêl-hु̂̂tin, 2:9|3:5|5:6|12:6.
3. f. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $3^{a}: 3|4: 2| 6: 2|[7: 4]|$ $7^{7 a}: 4|8: 14| 9: 2,9|11: 4,8| 12: 9,11|13: 5|$
$14: 4|15: 6,12| 16: 2|17: 2| 17^{\mathrm{n}}: 2|18: 5|$
$19: 2|20: 3| 21: 2|22: 2| 23: 13,[16] \mid 24:$
$1|25: 2,7| 26: 2\left|26^{a}: 2\right|[27: 1]|28: 6,9|$
$28^{a}: 6|29: 2| 30: 2|31: 1| 32: 5,11,13 \mid 32^{a}:$
$2|33: 4| 34: 2|35: 5| 36: 2|37: 1| 38: 1 \mid 39:$
$4\left|39^{a}: 3,5\right| 40: 2|41: 3| 44: 15,18|45: 7|$
$48: 2,9,15,21|49: 2| 50: 7,8,12|51: 1| 52:$
$2\left|52^{2}: 2\right| 53: 3|55: 1| 56: 2|57: 2,7| 58: 2 \mid$
$59: 7,9,16|60: 2| 62: 4|63: 4| 65: 2|66: 2|$
$66^{\mathrm{a}}: 5,9|67: 2| 69: 2|70: 9| 71: 4|72: 5,9|$
$74: 9,13|75: 7,9| 76: 5|77: 4,6| 79: 9 \mid$
$80: 4,9|81: 5| 82: 11|83: 11,16| 84: 3 \mid 85:$
$2|86: 2| 86^{a}: 2|88: 2| 89: 2|91: 2| 93: 2 \mid$
$94: 1\left|94^{\mathrm{n}}: 1\right| 95: 1|96: 1| 97: 1|98: 1| 99$ : $3|100: 2| 101: 4|102: 10| 103: 2|104: 2|$ 105:2|106:6,10|107:11. (Gf. of No. 1.)
4. f. of Qudâ, $48: 23$, U. E.
 $90: 3|92: 2| 108: 2 \mid 109: 3$, abbreviated Rîmût: $61: 3 \mid 78: 1$. (In $46: 4 \mid 47: 6$ (cf. $48: 24$, U. E.) the same Rêmitt-Ninib is designated as the s. of Bêt-h̆àtin (No. 2). Properly speaking Murashîu therefore was the grandfather of Rîmut-Ninib.

Nos. 2, 3, 5 are the same person ; No. 4 is possibly a different man.
Murashîu (?TUK?)-aplu, f. of Hâtin, $86: 6$.
Mu-shab(?)-shi(?), f. of Anu-êtir, $85: 4$.
Mu-shal-lim-Bêl (Bêl ${ }^{1}$ ).

1. f. of Ardiia, $37: 3$
2. hpaqdu sha Ishum-natdu', $1: 31$, Lo. E.
3. $70: 4$.
$M u$-she-zib
4. s. of Nabû-bullitsu, $66: 3, \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$.
5. f. of Erba-Bêl, $3: 3$.
6. hgalla sha Bêl-n̂̂din-shumu, $3^{a}: 4 \mid 10: 6,13,15,17$ (19) | $21: 3$.

+ Cf. Vogüé, l. c. 22.
$\ddagger$ Cf. Minû-Bêl-da-nu, Strassmaier, Cambyses 305 : 3; Minû̀-Bề-da-a-ni, Peiser, Babyl. Vertr. 5:1; $108: 14$.


## Mu-she-zib-Bèl

1. s. of Erib $\hat{a}, 66^{a}: 4,7,8,13$.
2. f. of. Zitti-Nabut, $83: 18 \mid 84: 12$, Lo. E. | $107: 16$.

Mu-she-zib-Ninib, Mushêzib(KAR)-Ninib

1. s. of Ahê-iddina, $23: 4$.
2. in alu Mushêzib-Ninib (without det. ${ }^{m}$ ), $1^{17 \mathrm{a}}: 8,11$ | 106: 6.
Mutakkil (KA-KA)-nishi, f. of $\ldots, 18: 2$.
Mu-tir-ri-shí, abbreviated from Ninib-mu-tion-qi-shú, q.v. $\mathbf{N} \hat{\alpha} \dot{\prime} i d-B e ̂ l\left(B e ̂ \hat{l}^{1,2}\right)$
3. s. of Lamaniia, 108:1, 7, Lo. E.
4. f. of $\hat{E t i r} s h u-B \hat{e ̂ l}, 69: 24$.
5. f. of Iadina-Bèl, $17: 18$.
6. f. of Shuzubu, $94^{\mathrm{a}}: 14|95: 16|$ [99:18].

Nâ'id-Ninib

1. s. of $A r d i$-Ninib, husband of $f$ Amat-Bêlit (53: 13), b. of Erba-Bêl and Bêl-ah-iddina (53:12), w., 21:11.
2. s. of Bêl-shum-ukin, w., $48: 24|52: 15| 52^{n}: 10 \mid$ $59: 10|60: 21| 69: 16$.
3. $64: 7$.

Nâ'id-Sin, f. of $\hat{E} t i r s h u-B e ̂ l, ~ 2: 17$.
Nâ'id- ${ }^{\prime}$ Shi-i-pak, hardu s7ua Bêl-nâdin-shumu, 55: 2, 14.
$N \hat{a} ' i t t a \neq d$ Na-na-a (cf. Ellita-Nanâ), s. of Nidintum-Bèl, $85: 22$ (w.) | $86: 4$.
Nab̂t-ah-iddina, s. of Bêl-ètitr, w., $85: 18 \mid 86: 17$.
Nab̂̂-ah-it-tan-nu, f. of Bêl-âsûa, 30:13|37:12|38: 12.

Nabî-ahê-iddina

1. s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $7^{\text {a }}: 6|14: 14| 23: 18 \mid 27:$ 9; now., $48: 4$.
2. f. of Ninib-n̂̀sir and (Ninib-)mutirrishu, 48 : $26|[49: 17]| 51: 14|52: 16| 52^{a}: 11 \mid 59: 19$, Lo.E. | $60: 22\left|66^{a}: 18\right| 69: 17$, Lo.E. $|70: 11|$ $72: 15|81: 10| 82: 23\left|86^{\mathrm{a}}: 28\right| 88: 20 \mid 89:$ $12|94: 15| 94^{\mathrm{a}}: 13|97: 12| 103: 15|104: 12|$ 105 : 12.
*Nabû-ash- $\alpha \alpha-a-\uparrow i-s h i$, f. of Hannatâni', $90: 7$.
Nabû-balât-su-iqbi, 107: 4.

Nabî-bullit-su, Nabî-bullit (-lit)-su

1. f. of Mushêzib, 66:4:
2. f. of Ubâr, $37: 14 \mid 38: 14$.

Nabî-da-ai-nu (Nabî-daianu), f. of Shida', 50:18| 64: 3|93:13.

Nabû-êtir

1. f. of $M u k k \hat{a}, 62: 5$.
2. $23: 5$.

Nabû-êtir-napshâti (ZI-TIMpl), f. of Aplâ, $5: 5$.
$* N a b \hat{u}-g i-r i-i a$, s. of Happassua', w., $32^{a}: 12$.
*Nabû- $b a-q a-b i$ (Ar. $=$ נבועקב, "N. rewards," ef. Pa. ,
Nabû-i-dan-ni, f. of Bêl-nàdin, 50:7.
Nabû-it-tan-nu (Ar. docket נבואתן)

1. s. of Aplê, b. of Bêl-bullitsu, 7: 1 .
2. s. of $E a-n \hat{a} d i n$, b. of $B i b \hat{a}$, w., $67: 14$.
3. s. of Shishku, 71:3,4, U. E.
4. f. of Bêl-bullitsu and Nabî-nâdin, 3:1.
5. f. of Bunene-ibni, $12: 14$.
6. f. of Shulum-Bàbilu, $93: 4$.

Nabî-ku-sur-shu, f, of Bêl-nâdin, $10: 24$.
Nabî-muballit $(-i t)$

1. s. of Aplà, w., $79: 11$, O. \& Lo. E.
2. f. of Nippur (?), $92: 3$.

Nabû-mudammiq(-iq), s. of Iddina-Marduk, w., $41: 11$.
Nab $\hat{u}-m u-s h e-t i q \|-u r r^{*} u$

1. f. of Kîna-aplu, $7^{\mathrm{i}}: 9$.
2. f. of Nergal-nâdiin, $7^{\mathrm{a}}: 5 \mid 8: 16$.

Nabû̀-na-din, Nabû-nâdin (MU)

1. s. of $A h \hat{e}$-iddina, $85: 7$.
2. s. of Nabû-ittannu, b. of Bèl-bullitsu, 3:1.
3. s. of Ninib-êtir, $61: 4,17 \mid 78: 3$.
4. s. of Tir-da.... 18:1,5.
5. f. of Bêl-âtir, 82:7.
6. $h_{m \hat{r}}$ bîti sha Bêl-îbukash, w., $1: 29, \mathrm{R}$. E.

Nabû-nâşir, in aluHussêti sha Nabû-nâsir, $79: 15$.
*Na-bu-un-du (=Nabuntu = Nabuttu =Nab̂̂tu, Не.

*Nab̂̂-qa-ta-ri (Ar. "N. is my rock", cf. Mitqa-ta-ri), f. of . . . , $23: 8$.

[^22]$N a b \hat{u}-r e \hat{e} \hat{\imath}-\mathrm{s} h u-n u \dagger$

1. s. of Shamash-erba, 83:5.
2. f. of Bêl-erba, $79: 14$.
3. f. of $\underline{H} a s h d a i, 83: 7$.
*Nabî-sha-ra-'a (cf. Sa. Il̂̀-shara'a (Hal, 142)), s. of Sulummìtukin, $32: 5,6,8,10,12, R$.
Nabû-ta-ri-is, f. of Bêl-ittannu, 12 : 15.
Nabû-ú-şur-shu, s. of Bêl-nâsìr, w., $80: 15$.
Nabit-ü-she-zib
4. Hurdu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, 65:7,23, R.
5. hardu sha Shamash-nâdin, $73: 4,9$, Lo. E.
 Qarha', 85:22|86:18.
Nabit-zêr-iddina, f. of Rabbi-ilì, $40: 1|72: 14| 80: 16$.
*Na-ad-bi-ia (cf. He.
Na-din
6. s. of Bullut $\hat{a}$, w., $5: 11$.
7. s. of Diqdiq, b. of Rîmût-Bêl, w., 22:17|26 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 3, L. E.
8. s. of (In $\alpha-$ )sillu-Ninib, sc. \& w., $32: 21|93: 17|$ $97: 14|98: 11| 100: 15 \mid 103: 15$.
9. s. of Iqı̂sha-aplu, w., $5: 10|17: 13| 26^{a}: 12 \mid 32$ :
$17|62: 12| 63: 14 \mid 72: 12$, Lo. E. $|89: 11|$ $91: 10|94: 14| 95: 14|96: 14| 104: 13 \mid 105:$ $13 \mid 107$ : 18.
10. s. of Ninib-nàdin, b. of Hुâtin, w., 18:9.
11. f. of Agara, 8:22|28:16.
12. f. of Arbilai, 79:14.
13. f. of $B e ̂ l-i b n i, 42: 8$.
14. f. of $\operatorname{Dann} \hat{\alpha}, 7^{\mathrm{a}}: 12|41: 12| 81: 9 \mid 86^{\mathrm{a}}: 27$.
15. f. of Lâbâshi, $39^{a}: 10|46: 7| 47: 13|48: 29| 51:$ $13 \mid 70: 13$.
16. f. of Ninib-abu-usur, $78: 9$.
17. f. of Niniṫ-nâdin, 1:28|62:16.
18. f. of $\operatorname{Sin}$-iksur, $3^{\mathrm{n}}: 13 \mid 19: 16$.
19. f. of Sin-nâsir, 64:11.
20. f. of Shullum $\hat{a}, 14: 17|17: 14| 17^{\mathrm{a}}: 15|22: 14|$ $26^{a}: 14 \mid 33: 8$.
21. f. of Ubâr, $71: 10|79: 15| 82: 28|95: 17| 101:$ 18|107: 21.
*Na-di-ru (abbreviated, cf. Pa. נצֻ̧רבול), s. of Barik-ilit, gs.of hikkaru, 73:3, 9, Lo. E.

Na-dub(?)-shu-nu, s. of Bîbônu, b. of Ninib-ibni, 7: 2, L. E.

Na-ma-ri-', s. of Shamê-râmu, hdikut, 75: 6.
${ }^{a} N a-n a-a-\hat{e} r i s h$, s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $59: 21$.
*dNa-na-a-id-ri-' (cf. Ilî-idri'\|), s. of $S a h t m \hat{a}, 20: 5,7$, 10,12, L. E.
$d N a-n \alpha-a-n \hat{a} d i n$

1. s. of Sahma', w., $27: 11$.
2. f. of Ahu-liti, $63: 6$.
3. f. of Bêl-ahुê-iddina, $56: 16$.
4. f. of Igdaliâma, $45: 4$.
5. f. of Ninib-nàdin, $46: 8 \mid 47: 15$.
6. f. of Ribât, $38: 3$.
d Nannaru-mugur.
7. in naru m Nannaru-mugur, $86^{a}: 7,9,15,23$.
8. in alu Titurru sha m Nannaru-mugur, 86 ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ :7-8.
*Na-pa-i-[na-'], Na-pi-a-ni-', Na-pi-en-nâ-', (Pe.) s. of Atrumanu', w., $18: 11\left|28^{a}: 14\right| 74: 9,10$.
*Nap-sa-an (cf. Na-ap-sa-nu-um, Bu. 91-5-9, 367 : 23 ; $2463: 16$ ), s. of Nadbiia, $82: 5$. (Amorite? But cf. also V R. 6: 42.)
Napishtim (-tim), f. of Bêl-tashmê-rihtu, $78: 11$.
$N a-s i q$
9. s. of $I q \hat{i} s h a-a p l u, 62: 12$.
10. s. of Ninib-muballit, $8: 9$.
11. s. of Rîmît, 8:2.
12. f. of Bêl-mukî̀n-aplu, $57: 15$.
13. f. of Ninib-abu-usur, $31: 3$.
14. f. of Ninib-n人 $\hat{\alpha} d i n, 71: 6$.
15. in alu Hुussètu sha Nâsir, 92: 7-8|102:2.
$N a-s h i-i l u$, s. of Lâbàshi, w., 31: 17.
*Na-tan-ilit (He.
 1. s. of Shilimmu, $45: 5$. 2. in nâru sha Natímu, $65: 7,16,20,22$.

Nergal-nâdin, s. of Nabû-mushêtiq-urru, hshaknu sha $h_{s}$ hushannê, $\mathfrak{7}^{2}: 4$, R. E. | $8: \mathbf{1 5}$, L. E.
Nergal-nôdin-ahुu, s. of Ardi-Bêl, w., $68: 6$ f. e. $\mid 98: 12$.
Ni-din-ta-a ("O my gift!"), s. of Kalbiia, w., $61: 10$.
Ni-din-ti, f. of Ahushunu, $46: 2,4 \mid 47: 6$.
Ni-din-tum

1. s. of Dâdiia, w., $15: 19$.
2. f. of Addannu, $75: 14$.
$\dagger$ Cf. $A d d u-$ rê' $u-u s h-s h u$.
$\ddagger$ Cf. Vogüé, l. c., 73.
§ Cf. Sachau, Palmyrenische Inschriften (in Z. D. M. G., Vol. XXV, p.742).-Cf. Niopos, Wadd. 2457 (N.).
$\|$ Less probable $d N a n a \hat{a}$-itri' ("O: N., preserve"). Although Nanâ is no Aram. deity, an Aramean living in Babylonia might have worshiped her there.
3. f. of Uballitsu, $84: 6$.
4. sha eligish BAR shandruHurripiqudu ( $u$ ndruŜ̀ru), 80:2, 7, O.
Ni-din-tú-Bêle ${ }^{2}$ s. of Ninib-nàdìn, sc., $1: 32$,
Ni-din-tum-Bèl (Bêl ${ }^{1,2}$ )
5. s. of Baga'ina', 76:2, 7, Lo. E.
6. s. of Eshê-êtir, nephew of Bêl-ah-iddina, 57 : 3, $8,9$.
7. s. of Tazkurshu, 35: 1, 18.
8. f. of Bèl-abu-usur and Ilu-Bît-ili-nîri', $75: 5$.
9. f. of Bêl-êrish, $60: 1, ~ ' 7,15, ~ L . ~ E . ~$
10. f. of Ea-n $\hat{a} d i n$ and $E a-a n a-k u s \hat{\imath}-s h u, 105: 3$.
11. f. of Idissu, $85: 24 \mid 86: 6$.
12. f. of Lâbâshi, $32: 19$.
13. f. of Mannu-ki-Ninib, $86: 5$.
14. f. of $N \hat{a}$ 'itta-Nan $\hat{a}, 85: 23 \mid 86: 5$ (Nos. 7, 9 and 10 probably same person, father of three sons).
15. $8: 13 \mid 44: 11$.

Ni-din-tum-Shamash, s. of Bunene-ibni, sc., 18:14| 19 : $20|21: 12| 26^{a}: 17 \mid 28^{a}: 16$.
Ni-din-tum- . . ., f. of $E a-e ̂ r i s h, ~ 86: 7$.
*Ni-na-a-ku, Ni-na-ak-kat-', hmâr bîti sTue Zatamê, w., 45 : $30 \mid 50: 13$.
Ninib-abu-usur

1. s. of Bèl-nâdin-87umu, sc., $74: 18|76: 14| 77$ : $12|80: 17| 83: 24|84: 15| 102: 19 \mid 106: 16$.
2. s. of Nâdin, w., $78: 9$.
3. s. of Nâsir, $31: 3,27$.
4. s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $53: 19$.

Ninib-ah-iddinca

1. s. of Avdi-ekallu-robî, hpaqud ska abulli Shîbi-Uruki-ku, w., 48: 31|69:19|84:14; no w., $51: 3,8$.
2. s. of Ardi-Gula, $3: 3$.
3. s. of Bêl-aḩ-iddinut, w., $71: 8$.
4. s. of Bèl-shum-ibni, w., $\mathfrak{i}: 21\left|7^{\mathrm{ra}}: 9\right| 9: 15 \mid 11$ : $13\left|17^{a}: 16\right| 41: 14|76: 9| 86^{a}: 30$.
5. s. of Bushi-Bèl, b. of fAmat-Bêlit (married to Nâ' $\mathrm{i} d$-Ninib, s. of Ardi-Ninib), w., $6: 10 \mid 26^{a}$ : 16 ; no w., 53 : 11 (13).
6. s. of Iddina-Bêl, w., $31: 21$.
\%. s. of Itti-Shamash-balâtu, 79:3.
7. s. of Kàsir, b. of $I q \hat{z} s h$, w., $47: 17$.
8. f. of Ardiia, $88: 23 \mid 106: 14$, Lo. E.
9. f. of B Bâniia, $4: 12$.
10. f. of Mugurshu, $58: 17$.
11. f. of $S h \alpha-p i$-k $\alpha l l b i, 39^{a}: 14$.
12. f. of $Z a b i n i, 85: 8$.

Ninib-ahê-bul-lit, Ninib-aĥê-bullit $(-i t)$

1. s. of Ahushunu, w., $41: 14$.
2. s. of $A p l \hat{a}$, w. $16: 14|20: 15| 21: 9$.
3. f. of Balêṭue, $22: 16 \mid 31: 18$.
4. f. of Bêlshunu, $6: 12$.
5. f. of Ribatu, 70:15.

Ninib-ai (dBIL-DAR), s. of Ardi-Ninib, w., 49:18|53:18.
Ninib-ana-bêti-shu, † s. of Lê-idiia, hpaqual sha abulli Gula, w., $48: 32 \mid 69: 22$; nów., 51:4, 9.
Ninib-apal-iddina

1. s. of $A h \hat{e} \hat{e}$-iddinu, $96: 2, \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{E}$.
2. f. of Kidin, $39^{a}: 12$.

Ninib-bêl-ahôç-shu, s. of Upaゐhir-Bêl, sc., $97: 15 \mid 98: 14$.
Ninib-er-ba, Ninib-erba (SU)

1. f. of Barîk-Bêl, 21:5.
2. f. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu and of Ninib-nâdin, $13: 9 \mid$ $19: 18|22: 12| 25: 17|28: 11| 29: 27|30: 28|$ $39^{\mathrm{n}}: 7|40 \cdot 15| 41: 10|45: 31| 48: 22$, Lo. E. $\mid$ $49: 13|50: 15| 51: 10|52: 14| 52^{\mathrm{a}}: 10|59: 18|$. $60: 21\left|66^{a}: 17\right| 69: 15|70: 12| 72: 15$, U. E. 1 74:14, R. | $82: 23$, Lo. E. $\mid 83$ : Lo. E. $|84: 9|$ $88: 19|97: 11| 100: 11|103: 12| 104: 10 \mid 105:$ 10.
3. f. of Ninib-ibni, $17: 14$.

Ninib-èrish, f: of Erba-Bêl, 56:14|58:14.
Ninib-êtir ( $d B A R, d N I N-I B, 12: 13$ )

1. s. of Burik-Shamsht, '7a :2, 11 (w.)| $8: 11$.
2. s. of Bazûau, w., $28^{a}: 10$.
3. s. of Bêlshunu, w., 21:8.
4. s. of Bêlit-nâdin, b. of Barîk-Shamsht̂, w., $7: 24$.
5. s. of $\operatorname{Dannâ,~85:3|86:3.~}$
6. s. of Dannu-Nergal, 35 : [3], 19.
7. s. of Iddinct-Nabt̂, w., $10: 25$.
8. s. of Lâbâshi, $38: 3,16$.
9. s. of Ninib-muballit, $22: 2,20$.
10. s. of Samîa, w., 15 : 20.
11. s. of S゙hum-iddina, sc. \& w., $36: 16|44: 25| 62$ : $13|68: 15| 66^{a}: 21|71: 6| 94^{a}: 15|95: 15| 96:$ $16 \mid 101: 15$.
12. s. of Zabûdu, w., 21:10.
13. (?), f. of $A r d i-B \hat{e} l, 12: 4$.
14. f. of $A r d i-\epsilon k a l l u-$ rab $\hat{u}, 22: 14|28: 12| 33: 7 \mid 34:$ $22|35: 28| 40: 17$.
$\dagger$ Cf. Nergal-a-na-bîti-shu (Strassmaier, Cambyses 261:13), and Ea-a-na-kusŝ̀-shu (above). Abbreviated, supplement something like likrub.
15. f. of Bél-ahु-iddina, $70: 14$.
16. f. of Bêl-êpush, 61: 10.
17. f. of Dalatani, $72: 11$.
18. f. of Iddina-Nabut, $18: 12$.
19. f. of Kâsin, 17: 15.
20. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 61: 4 \mid 78: 3$.
21. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $7^{\mathbf{7}}: 8|9: 14| 11: 12|12: 13| 13$
: 7, and of Shamash ah-iddina, $7^{72}: 8|12: 13|$ $26: 17|27: 9| 40: 16 \mid 48: 25$, Lo. E. $|49: 14|$ $50: 15|51: 10| 52: 14\left|52^{\mathrm{a}}: 10\right|[59: 18] \mid 69:$ 15, U. E.
22. f. of $N i q \hat{u} d u, 66: 11$.
23. f. of Tiridâta, 74:5.

Ninib-ga-mil

1. s. of Addannu, w., $89: 15$.
2. s. of $A p l \hat{a}$, w., $85: 18![86: 17]$.
3. s. of Bêl-nâdin, sc., 16: 18.
4. s. of Dummuq, sc., $91: 13|104: 14| 105: 14$.
5. s. of Hashdai, w., 6:13.
6. s. of Mannu-l̂-shulum, w., $12: 13 \mid 35:[1], 18$.
7. s. of $\operatorname{Sin}-n \hat{c} s$ ir, w., $11: 13$.
8. s. of . . . ai, w., $86^{a}: 33$.
9. f. of Bulâtu, $86^{\mathrm{a}}$ : 29.
10. f. of Kîna-aplu, 71:8.

Ninib-ibni

1. s. of Bìbânu, b. of $\operatorname{Nadub}(?) \operatorname{shunu}, 7: 2$, L. E.
2. s. of $\operatorname{Erb} b \hat{a}$, w., $26^{\mathrm{a}}: 14 \mid 28^{\mathrm{a}}: 10$.
3. s. of Ninib-erba, w., 17: 14.

Ninib-ile'i, in alu Hussẹti sha Ninib-ile'i, 79: 4.
Ninib-muballit (-it)

1. s. of Aplâ, $96: 3, \mathrm{~L}$. E.
2. s. of Ardi-Ninib, f. of Hanāni', 63:6|94a:2, L. E.
3. s. of Bêl-nâdin, w., $10: 23 \mid 54: 10$.
4. s. of Zêriáa, sc., $10: 26$.
5. s. of . ..., w., $3: 22$.
6. f. of A九-iddina and Ardi-Ninib, $13: 10|41: 12|$ $48: 24|55: 24| 66^{a}: 18 \mid 88: 19$.
7. f. of $N \hat{A} s$ ìr, $8: 9$.
8. f. of Ninib-êtiv, 22 : 3.
9. f. of Shum-iddina, $69: 18$, U. E.
10. f. of Uballitsu-Gula, $66: 13$.
11. $63: 3 \mid 107: 6$.

Ninib-mu-tir-ri-shú, [Ninib-mu-ti]r-shui, [Ninib]-mutîr ( $G U R$ )-shú, and abbreviated Mu-tir-ri-shí (32: 15 | $51: 14$ )

1. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-a h \hat{c}-i d d i n a$, b. of $N i n i b-n a ̂ s ̣ i r$, w., 48 : 25| $49: 17|51: 14| 86^{2}: 27 \mid 88: 20$.
2. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk, b. of Ninib-nâdin-shumu, w., $8: 18|[23: 20]| 32: 15 \mid 39: 9$.

Ninib-nâ'id

1. s. of Bêt-apal-usur(?), $44: 4$.
2. s. of Iddina-aplu, w., 73: 15.
3. 8:12.

Ninib ( $d B A R, d N I N-I B)-n \hat{a} d i n\left(M U, S E, 39^{a}: 9\right)$

1. s. of Aplâ, w., $64: 11 \mid 73: 11$.
2. s. of Bèl-kishir, sc. \& w., $31: 23\left|39^{a}: 15\right| 42: 10 \mid$ 70: 16.
3. s. of Bêl-shum-izni, w., 68:7 f. e.
4. s. of Kâsir, w., $42: 7 \mid 56: 13$.
5. s. of Kìna-aplu, w., 92 : 14.
6. s. of Mugurshu, sc., $54: 14 \mid 63: 17$.
7. s. of Nàdin, w. \& sc., 1:28, Lo. E. | $62: 16$.
8. s. of Nanâ-nâdin, w., $46: 8 \mid 47: 15$.
9. s. of Nâşir, w., 71: 6.
10. s. of Ninib-erba, b. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, w., 19 : $13|22: 12| 25: 17|28: 11| 29: 27|30: 28|$ $39^{a}: 7|40: 15| 41: 10|45: 30| 48: 22$, Lo. E. | $49: 13|50: 14| 51: 10|52: 14| 52^{a}: 9 \mid 59:$ $18|60: 21| 66^{\mathrm{a}}: 17|69: 15| 84: 8|88: 19|$ $97: 11|100: 11| 103: 12|104: 10| 105: 10$.
11. s. of Ninib-êtir, b. of Shamash-ah-iddina, w., ra: $8|9: 14| 11: 12|12: 13| 13: 7$.
12. s. of Ninib-nâdin, w., 8: 19.
13. s. of Niqùd, w., 101: 16.
14. s. of Ribât, w., $58: 16$.
15. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $57: 15$.
16. ?, f. of Ahê-iddina, 8: 3.
17. f. of Ahushunu, $2: 14$.
18. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 4: 13 \mid 68: 7$ f. e. $|69: 23| 70: 13 \mid$ $94:$ R. $\mid 94^{a}: 14$, R. $\mid 95: 15$, R. $\mid 96: 15$, R. $\mid$ 101: 17|107: 19.

19. f. of Bêleêtir, $19: 3$.
20. f. of Bêl-ittannu, 13: 11 ,
21. f. of $\operatorname{Er} b \hat{c}, 19: 15\left|\left[26^{a}: 13\right]\right| 34: 23|35: 29| 39^{a}$ : $9|48: 30| 51: 3$.
22. f. of Hêtin and Nâdin, $15: 18 \mid 18: 9$.
23. f. of Tddina-Bêl, 32 : 17.
24. f. of Nabû-athê-iddina, ráa $6|14: 15| 23: 18 \mid$ $27: 9 \mid 48: 4$.
25. f. of $N a n \hat{a}-\hat{e} r i s h, 59: 21$.
26. f. of Nidintu-Bèl, $1: 32$.
27. f, of Ninib-abu-usur, $53: 20$.
28. f. of Ninib-n $\hat{\alpha} d i n, 8: 19$.
29. f. of $S h a r(?)-i q \hat{\imath} s h a, 85: 7$.
30. f. of Shum-iddina, $33: 9|48: 28|[59: 21]$.
31. $h_{s} h a k n u$ sha Nippur, $23: 17$.
32. 70 : 2.

Ninib-nâdin-atu, s. of Ninib-nâṣir-abu, 19:4, R. E.

## Ninib-na-din(nâdin)-shumu

1. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk, b. of Ninib-mutirrishu, w., $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{a}}: 7|8: 18| 13: 8|14: 15| 19: 14|24: 12|$ $25: 16|26: 16| 27: 8 \mid 28: 5$ (now.) $|29: 27|$ $30: 29|32: 15| 33: 6$.
2. f. of Itti-Bêl-balâtu, $39: 11$.

Ninib-na-sir, Ninib-nâṣir (PAP)

1. s. of Ardi-Bèl, gs. of Nusku-ushabshi (48: 35), b. of Bêl-kishir (55:25), sc. \& w., $33: 11|37: 18|$ $38: 18|41: 17| 48: 85|49: 19| 50: 19|51: 15|$ $[52: 18]\left|52^{a}: 14\right| 55: 25|60: 24| 65: 29 \mid 67:$ $18|72: 16| 89: 17$.
2. s. of Bêl-iqîsha, $1 \gamma^{\mathrm{a}}: 4$.
3. s. of Hanab, w., $9: 17 \mid 17: 18$.
4. s. of Iddina-Bèl, w., $42: 9 \mid 69: 22$.
5. s. of Nab $\hat{u}-a h \hat{e} \hat{e}-i d d i n a, ~ b$. of Ninib-mutirrishu, w., $48: 25|52: 15| 52^{a}: 11 \mid 59: 19$, Lo.E. $|60: 22|$ $66^{\mathrm{a}}: 18$, U. E. | $69: 17$, Lo. E. $|70: 11| 72: 15 \mid$ $81: 10|82: 23| 88: 20\left|86^{a}: 27\right| 89: 12 \mid[94:$ $15]\left|94^{\text {a }}: 13\right| 97: 11|103: 14| 104: 12 \mid 105: 12$.
6. f. of Bêl-mulkin-aplu, $96: 1^{17} \mid 99: 17$.
7. f. of Bèlshunu, $51: 12|65: 25| 67: 16|69: 26|$ $75: 15\left|94^{a}: 13\right| 99: 15 \mid 103: 13$.
8. f. of Itti-Bél-balâtu, $43: 21$.
9. f. of Shulte, $94: 17\left|94^{a}: 16\right| 95: 18|96: 18|$ 99: 20.
Ninib-nâsir-ahu (SHESH-SHESH), f. of Ninib-nâdin$\alpha h \mathrm{~b} u, 19: 4$.



10. s. of Ninib-ètir, w.. $66: 11$.
11. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $101: 16$.
12. f. of Ribât, $7: 90|24: 13| 25: 18|26: 16| 29:$ $26|30: 27| 66^{\mathrm{a}}: 16 \mid 81: 9$.
Ni-is-sa-hुar-Bèl, s. of Bêlshunu, w., $9: 16$.
*Nit-ta-bu-za-na-', cf. Us $\dot{h}-t a-b u-z a-n a-'$.

 of Ardi-Gula, w., 6:11.
Nûr-taz-kur-Bêl, § f. of $A p l \hat{x}, 47: 19$.
Nusku-nâdin
13. s. of Ardi-Gula, sc., $6: 14|9: 18| 11: 17 \mid 12:$ $16|14: 18| 15: 21\left|17^{\mathrm{a}}: 19\right| 20: 17 \mid$ [23:23]| $24: 15|25: 21| 29: 29|30: 31| 34: 26 \mid 45:$ 35|55:26(w.).
14. s. of Ardiia, w., $13: 11$.
15. \|f. of Silim-ilàni, 108:13.

Nusku-ushabshi(-shi), f. of Ardi-Bêl, gf. of Ninib-nâṣir, 48: 35.
Nu-hi-hi-dMil-hi, 1 s. of Anum-ibni, w., $47: 19$.

* $\mathbf{P}$ a-da-a-ma (פְּדָּ $25: 18$.
*Pa-di-du-qu-'-ú, f. of Isipatara'u, 28a : 5.
*Pa-ni-ia ( ${ }^{*}{ }^{(1)}$ ), f. of Shilimmu, 14:14.
 $3^{a}: 12$.
*Pa-pa-ku (Pe. Pāpak, Arab. Bābek, Maußєхós), f. of Bagiiâz(n)u, 11:2, 6.
*Pa-ru-ri-e (Median), f. of Baga'z $\bar{\sim} s \hbar t u m, 76: 12$.
*Pa-ti-ish-ta-na-' (Pe. *Pati-shtāna, "Holding the position of a lord"'), s. of Darmakka', 74:6, 12.

*Pi-li-ia-a-ma (He. ה 24 (w.) | 45 : 33 (w.).
Pu-uh-hu-ru

1. f. of Ardi-Bêl, $19: 3$.
2. f. of Bêlshunu, $6: 11$.

Pu-uh-̌u-r $a-a$, m. of Hunsaravu, 75:6, 0 .
Q $a-a d-d u-s h u$, s. of Lâbàshi, 75 : 2.
*Qa-hi-ia, 70: 7.
*Qar-ha-' (cf. He. קרחד, , Sinaitic (Arab.) and (Ar.) קרחה, "bald."-N.)

1. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-2 a b a d$, w., $85: 21 \mid 86: 18$.
2. f. of Bêl-êtir, $15: 19$.

Qu-da-a, Qud-da-a

1. s. of Murashit, w., $48: 23$, U. E.
2. f. of Addannu, $32^{a}: 11$.
3. f. of Shadर̂ı-रab̂̂-natannu, $16: 2$.

[^23]*dQu-su-ia-a-hba-bi (Edomite $=*$ (EMD), hrề $\hat{u}$, s. of $\operatorname{Mare}, 1: 1,23,25, R . E$.
*R $a$-'a-bi-ilu ( $=$ * רחַבְאֵל, Kalbi-Bau, hshan̂̀ sha Shulum-Bâbilu, hshaknu hshushannia, 44 :16, L. E.
 ios)-N ), s. of Nab̂t-zêr-iddina, $40: 1,10 \mid 72:$ 14 (w.) | $80: 16$ (w.).
*Ra- $\mathrm{h}_{i-i m-i l i(i l i)}$ Ra-hi-mi-ili="God is merciful," (Ar., cf. Не.

1. s. of Sishth . . ., $98: 2,0$
2. f. of Lamani', $36: 11|37: 10| 38: 10$.
3. f, of Zabdiia, $65: 28 \mid 69: 3,6$. Cf. the foll.
4. f. of Udarna', 59:17|69:1, and of Zabdiia, 65 : $28 \mid 69: 3,6$. (Cf. the previous No.)
*Ra-hi-mu (abbrev.) in aluStubti-Rahimu, $86^{a}: 5$.
Rammàn-ri-man-ni, s. of Aplô, 35:2, 19.
Rè' $a-a-n u$, s. of Kalbi-Bau, 83:6.
Ri-ba-a-tú (70:15), Ri-bat
5. s. of Banun-êrish, w., 56:17.
6. s. of Bêl-bulliţsu, 91 : 2.
7. s. of Bêl-erba, $43: 2,8$ (id. with 11, cf. Intr., p. 14).
8. s. of Nanâ-nâdin, $38: 3,17$.
9. s. of Ninib-ahêebullit, w., $70: 15$.
10. s. of Niqûd, w., 7:20|24:13|25:17|26:15| $29: 25|30: 27| 66^{a}: 16$, U. E. $\mid 81: 9$.
11. s. of Shamashai, w., 107 : 15, U. E.
12. s. of . . . -Bêl, b. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu and Shumiddina, 7:3, L. E.
13. f. of Bêl-ittannut, 60 : 23.
14. f. of Ninib-nûdin, $58: 16$.
15. hardu sha Bêt-nâdin-shumu, $52: 1,13, R$.
16. m. of Bêl-abu-uṣur, $90: 2$.
*Ri-i-kat (?)-il̂̀, s. of Bêl-ah-iddina, b. of Shamshî-lindar, $109: 1$.
Ri-man-ni-Bè $t^{2}$, s. of Ahê-lìmur', w,, $39^{a}: 11$.
Ri-man-nu-Bêl, s. of Silla-ai, w., 82 : 24, U. E.
Ri-mut (abbreviated) '
17. s. of Bêlshunu, w., $58: 13$.
18. s. of Murastut, 61:3|78:1 (abbreviated from Rîmût-Ninib, q.v).
19. f. of Bêl-kâsir, 61 : 12.
20. f. of Bêl-nâdin, $31: 2,15$.
21. f. of Nâsir, $8: 2$.

Ri-mut-Bê $l^{2}$, s, of Diqdiq, b. of Nâdin, 26ax 3.
Ri-mut-Ninib and abbreviated Ri-mut (61:3|78:1)

1. s. of Bêl-ĥâtin, $46: 4|47: 6| 48: 24$, U. E. (w.).
2. s. of Murashî (i. e. grandson of M., and identical with No. 1? Cf. p. 15), $46: 2|47: 3| 73: 2,7,10 \mid$ $87: 1,6|90: 3,4| 92: 1,6,8,10 \mid 108: 1$, [5], 7, $9 \mid 109: 3,6$.
Ritti (KISHIB) or Upahhirir (NTGTN)?-Bêl, § f. of ArdiNinib, 78:12.
*Ru-shúu-un-da(-a)-tai(ti) (Pe. *Raushan-dāta) (cont. raucana, "clear," or rauc̆ $a h, "$ clearness," N.) uncle (father's brother) of Baga'-miri, $48: 3,10$.
*Ru-shú-un-pa-a-ti (Pe. * Raushan-pāta), hsipir sha Artarêmu, 48:7.

* $\mathbf{S} a-a h$-ma-', Sah-ma-a

1. f. of $N a n \hat{a}-i d r i, \mathfrak{z 0}: 6$.
2. f. of Nanâ-nâdin, $27: 11$ (probably same person as No. 1).

*Se-at-tu-ru (cf. He. ©תרְ), s. of Shabbaliai, 45 : 3. (Repeatedly found in the Haurān, N.)
Si-lim-Bềlı, f. of Amệl-Bêl, $81: 13$.
Sìlim-ilâni
3. s. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i$, w., $43: 17|56: 11| 108: 13$.
4. s. of Nusku-[nàdin ?], w., $108: 13$.
5. s. of Shum-iddina, w., $86^{a}: 34$.
6. s. of Ubâtr, w., $19: 16$.
7. f. of Avdi-Ninib, 2:13|9:17.
8. f. of Bêl. . . . ., 43 : 18.
*Sin-ba-na (סנבנא) , s. of Sin-mutballit, w., 85 : 20 .
Sin-bullit-su, f. of Sin- . . ., 86: 7 .
Sin-erba, in Bût-Sin-erba, 7:8.
Sin-ètir
9. s. of Tukkullum, w., $28^{\mathrm{a}}: 12$.
10. f. of Ar $d i i a, 47$ : 18.
11. f. of Shamshî-nîri', $49: 18$.

Sin-ik-sur, Sin-iksur\|

1. s. of $N \hat{a} d i n, w . \& s c ., 3^{a}: 13 \mid 19: 16$.
2. f. of $A p l a ̀, 17: 16$.

Sin-lîshir (GISH T), in aluBît-Sin-lìshir, $93: 3,7$.
Sin-muballit(-it)

1. s. of Ardi-Ninib, $96: 2$ L. E.
2. f. of Sin-bana, $85: 21$.
$\dagger$ For other proper names containing the god Qôs, of. Kus-lana' (above), Qaush-malaka, Qaush-gabri (Schrader, K.A.T. ${ }^{2}$, p. 150), Bi. קסקנת (Euting, Nab. In. 12, 1), Kooßapazos (cf. Baethgen, l. c., p. 11).
$\ddagger$ The corresponding Babylonian name would read Rimannu(i)-ilu.
§ Cf. Rit-ti-Marduk (Hilprecht, Freibrief Nebukadnezar's I, col. I, 25, etc.
$\| \operatorname{Sin}-K A T$, which may also be read Sin-k $\hat{\alpha} s i r$.
T Cf. Tallquist, Die Sprache der Contracte Nabû-nầid's, p. 147.

## Sin-nâdin

1. f. of Hamada', $82: 4$.
2. $70: 6$.
$\operatorname{Sin}-n a-d i n-a h u$, f. of Zabdiia, 97 : 2. Cf. also the following name.
$\operatorname{Sin}-n \hat{\imath} d i n-a \hbar \hat{e}$, s. of $A r d i-B a u$, sc., $22: 18 \mid 68: 4 \mathrm{f}$. e. $\mid$ 73: 17. (In the latter two passages sHESH is not followed by $p l$.)
$\operatorname{Sin-nâsir}(=P A P)$
3. s. of Nâdin, w., $64: 11$,
4. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $11: 13$.

Sin- . . . , s. of Sinn-bullitsu, 86 : 7.

* Si-shu-ú- . . . , f. of Rahim-ilì, $98: 2$.

Su-lum-ma-ukîn, f. of Nabî-shara'a, 32: 6, 7, 8, 10, 12.
*Shab-ba-li-ai (cf. He. שְׁ?
*Shab-ba-ta-ai, Shab-bat-ai (cf. He. ${ }^{\text {* }}$,

1. f. of Gadaliâma, $69: 21$.
2. f. of . . . dashab' $a, 86^{a}: 1$.
aShad̂̂-rabû-ètir
3. s. of Bêl-ittannu, $68: 3$.
4. s. of Shadûı-rabî-nâdin, $16: 1$, U. E.
$d S h a d \hat{u}-r a b \hat{\imath}-n \hat{\alpha} d i n$
5. s. of Bau-nâdin, w., $108: 15$.
6. f. of Iddina-Nab $\hat{u}, 16: 15$.
7. f. of Shad $\hat{u}-r a b \hat{u}-\hat{e} t i r, 16: 1$.
${ }^{*} d S h a d \hat{u}-r a b \hat{u} \ddagger-n a-t a n-n u$, s. of $Q u d d \hat{a}, 16: 1$.
dShadît-rabû-she-zib
8. s. of Bêl-bulliţsu, $84: 6$.
9. s. of Bêl-hुâtin, $86: 8$.

Sha-idi-aha (Sha-ZU-SHESH)

1. s. of Hashdai, hshaknu sha hIRpl., 70:8, 0 .
2. f. of $B a n i k k i, 31$ : 20 .

Shâkin-lalâ-nu (SHA-LA-LA-nu)§, f. of Amêl-Bêl, 23: 6.
Sha-la-la-nu, cf. Shầkin-lalînu.
*Sha-ma-ah-ú-nu (He. Iâhût-natanu, Ahïàma and Palâma, $45: 2$.
Sha-Marduk-ul-i-ni, 107: 1.
Shamash-ah-iddina

1. s. of $A h$-iddina, w., $31: 21$.
2. s. of Ninib-êtir, b. of Ninib-nâdin, w., $7^{\text {ra }}: 8 \mid 12$ : $13|26: 17| 27: 9|40: 15| 48: 25$, Lo. E. $\mid 49:$ $14|50: 15| 51: 10|52: 14| 52^{\mathrm{a}}: 10|59: 18|$ $69: 15$, U. E.

Shamash-ai, f. of Ribât, 107: 6, 15, U. E.
Shamash-balatt-su-iqbi

1. s. of Bunene-ibni, sc., $4: 14 \mid 5: 13$.
2. f. of $B \hat{e} l-i q \hat{q} \stackrel{s}{\text { L }} \downarrow a, 19: 18$.

Shamash-erba, f. of Nabû-rê'uेshunu, 83: 6.
Shamash-êrish, s. of Anum-zêr-lîshir, w., b. of Addannu (q.v.), $82: 26$.

Shamash-êtir, s. of Aļu-ula, w., $3^{a}: 11$.
Shamash-ibni, s. of Ah-iddina, w., $43: 19$.
Shamash-muballit(-it)

1. s. of Tirïâma, w., $11: 11$, R. E. $|30: 29| 34: 24 \mid$ $35: 30\left|39^{\mathrm{a}}: 8\right| 48: 38$, R. E. $|51: 11| 59: 20 \mid$ $69: 18$.
2. f. of Bèl-ah-iddina, 11:15.

Shamash-nôdin

1. s. of Bêl-êteru, m. of Nabû-ushêzib, 73:5,6,
2. f. of Bulatu, $1^{17 \mathrm{a}}$ : 4.

Shamash-na-din-zêru, s. of Bunene-ibni, sc., $35: 31 \mid 40$ : $20|53: 21| 55: 27|56: 18| 58: 18$.
Shamash-shar-usur, 79:4.
Shamash-shum-iqîsha(-sha), s. of Kidin, b. of Bêlâni, 17 : 2.
Shamash-zêr-ibni, 2:2, 3|3:8.

 75: 6.
*Sham-ma-as-pi-it-ru-2i (without det. ${ }^{m}$ ), 101:2.
*Sha-am-sha-nu (cf. He. ןiשְׂשְׁ, LXX इapфúv), apparently hardu sha Addanu (cf. also Bêlshunu), 64: 6.
 of Marê-iddina, $85: 6$.
*Shamslî̂-la-din-ni (Ar., cf. Ammu-ladîni, Ashurbầnapal VIII, 15), s. of Dannâ, 56: 3.


1. s. of Bêl-ah-iddina, b. of Rîkat(?)-il̂̀, $109: 2$.
2. s. of Bêlshunu, $94: 3$.
3. s. of Marduka, w., 67:13.
*Shamshi-na-la-ri (cf. Pa. נדרבול|), s. of Bêl-êṭ̂̀r, 93 : 3.
*Shamshî-nûri" (Ar. *שטׂשינורי), s. of Sin-êtir, w., $49: 18$.
Sha-mu-ú, s. of Patah, $84: 5,0$.
Sha-Nabut-shú-ú
4. s. of Bêl-nâdin, w., $12: 15$.
5. s. of Kiribti, b. of Bêl-bullitsu, $36: 3$.
$\dagger$ Cf. also Euting, Sinaitische Inschriften, 370.
$\ddagger$ Possibly the god was pronounced differently, ef. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 76, note 2.
$\S$ Cf. Shâkin-lalâ (SHA-LA-LA), Strassmaier, Cambyses 287 : 12, 16, etc., and Iula'-Nab̂̀ (above).
$\|$ Cf. Sachau, l. c., p. 742 ; Vogüé, 93.

Shangûu (?E(?)MASH), m. of Mannu-lû-shulum, 54:5.
$S h a-p \hat{k}-k a l-b i$ (and kalbi $=U R-K U$ )

1. s. of Ninib-ah-iddina, w., $39^{n}: 14$.
2. f. of Bêlshunu, 7: 24.
3. f. of Dalatani', 38 : 2.
4. f. of Erba-Bêl, $64: 16$.
5. f. of Shum-iddina, $20: 13|27: 12| 52^{\text {a }}: 1|71: 7|$ 89: 16, U. E.
*Sha-ra- $a-i l \hat{l}$ (cf. Sa. Ilî-shara' $a$ and Nabù-shara'a above)
6. f. of Bèl-êtir, 102: 16.
7. f. of Birut', 7: 23 .
8. f. of Shum-iddina, $16: 16$.

Shan(?) $\dagger$-iqîsha(-sha), s. of Ninib-nâdin, 85 : 7.
Shar(?)-Ai-', f, of Bél-matin, 14 : 17.
*Sha-ar-tú(par?)-na-', f. of Ustu', 48 : 33.
 83: 19, L. E. \& R.
Shi-da-', s. of Nabî-daianu, w., 50: 18 | $93: 13$; no w., 64:2, U. E.
*Shi-li-im-imu (Не.

1. s. of Tāhुर̂-lakin, w., $28: 14$.
2. s. of Pâniia, w, 14: 14.
3. f. of Notûnu, $45: 5$.
4. f. of Piluâama, $14: 5,9|34: 24| 45: 33$.

Shi-riq-ti, Shiriqtim $(R U)(-t i m)$

1. s. of Didê, b. of $\operatorname{Ardi}-\mathrm{Be} l, 88: 1$.
2. s. of Kimti-iddinct, $17^{\text {a }}: \mathbf{5}$.
3. f. of Ardi-Ninib, $17: 16|62: 14| 63: 16$.
4. f. of Bêtshunu, 78: 10.

Shi-riq-tú-Ninib (44:22), Shiriqtu (-tú $=R U-t u ́)-N i n i b$ (19: 14), Sliriqtim (-tim $=R U-t i m)$-Ninio (passim), Shiniqtu ( $=R U-R U$ )-Ninib (27:10), f. of Ardi-Bêl; $4: 11|19: 14| 27: 10|28: 11| 34: 21 \mid$ $35: 27|40: 16| 44: 22|45: 31| 48: 23$, L.E. $\mid$ $52: 15\left|52^{\mathrm{a}}: 9\right| 53: 15$, L. E. |55: R. | 57 7 $14 \mid$ $59: 18 \mid 70: 10$, R. E. | 72: 10, L. E. | $74: 14$, L. E. $\mid 80: 11$, L. E. $\mid 89: 10$, L. E. $|95: 14|$ $96: 14 \mid 99: 14$.
Shi-ish-ku, f. of Nabû-ittannu, 71: 3. $\ddagger$
Shucla-a

1. s. of Ninib-nâsir, sc., $94: 17\left|94^{a}: 16\right| 95: 18 \mid 96:$ $18 \mid 99: 20$.
2. s. of Tukkulu, w., 65: $25|67: 16| 75: 15$.
3. in $a l u B \hat{\imath} t-S h u l \hat{a}, 8: 10 \mid 44: 13$.

Shui-lumn(Shulum)-Bâbilu (Eki, DIN-TTRki)

1. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-\mathrm{ittannu}, 93: 4$.
2. $44: 14,16,20$, L. E. $\mid 70: 5$.

3. s. of Bêl-muballit, b. cf. Bêl-nâdin, w., $32: 20$.
4. s. of Nâdin, w., $14: 17|17: 14| 17^{\mathrm{na}}: 15|22: 13|$ $26^{a}: 14 \mid 33: 8$.
5. s. of Zabdiia $a, 92: 4$.

Shum-iddina (MU-MU)

1. s. of Addannu, W., $40: 19|45: 34| 48: 29 \mid$ [50: $16]|53: 16| 55: 33$, R. $|69: 23| 89: 10$, U. E.
2. s. of Ahushunu, w., 7:21.
3. s. of Bêl-nâdin, w., $10: 25$.
4. s. of Bèlshunu, w., $94^{n}:$ R. $\mid 99: 18$, R.
5. s. of Iddina-Nabî, w., $85: 25 \mid 86: 22$.
6. s. of (Ina-)sillu-Ninib, 58:3, 21| $70: 13$.
7. s. of Kạsir, w., $5: 11|11: 16| 18: 9|19: 19|$ $26: 17 \mid 27: 12$.
8. s. of Lâbáshi, w., $3^{\mathrm{a}}: 9$.
9. s. of Ninib-êtir, w. 16:17.
10. s. of Ninib-muballit, w., $69: 18$, U. E.
11. s. of Ninib-nadin, w., $33: 9|48: 28| 59: 21$.
12. s. of Sha-p̂̀kalbi, w., $[20: 13]|27: 11| 72: 7 \mid$ 89 : 16, U. E. ; no w., $52^{\text {a }}: 1$.
13. s. of Shara'a-ilit, w., $16: 16$.
14. s. of Sabuttum, husband of $f$ Bêlitsunu, $58: 4$.
15. s. of ?-Bêl, b. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu and Ribât, 7:3.
16. f. of Bêl-ittannu, 2:12.
17. f. of Bêt-nâdin, $99: 18$.
18. f. of Imbiia, [23:21]|32:19.
19. f. of I4 $\hat{\imath} s h a-a p l u, 90: 8|108: 12| 109: 12$.
20. f. of Ninib-êtir, $36: 16|44: 25| 62: 13|63: 15|$ $66^{\mathrm{a}}: 21|71: 6| 94^{\mathrm{a}}: 15|95: 15| 96: 16 \mid 101: 16$.
21. f. of Ninib-nàdin, $57: 15$.
22. f. of Silim-ilôni, $86^{n}: 34$.
23. sc., $32^{n}: 14$.

Shum-ukîn

1. s. of Ardi-Ninib, b. of Kîna-aplu, w., $7^{\mathfrak{a}}: 10$.
2. f. of $\operatorname{Dannâ}, 82: 25|89: 15| 92: 13 \mid 108: 11$.
3. f. of $Z \hat{e ̂} r-u k i \hat{n}, 17: 17$.

Şa-bu-tum ("Desire"), f. of Shum-iddina, 58: 4.
$\$ a-a n-g a-n u$, cf. Za-an-ga-nu.
Şilla-ai (abbreviated, cf. Introduction, p. 24)
4. (Abbrev. from Tra-sillu-Esagila), f. of Bêl-ushallim and Ea-bullitsu, 83 : 21.
5. f. of Rîmannu-Bêl, $82: 24$ U. E.
[^24]Sil-lu-Ninib, abbrev. from Ina-sillu-Ninib, q.v.
Sur-ra-ai ("Man of Tyre," He. "צ, cf. Arbilai, Isinnai), in aluBit-Surrai, 79:5 (or" Man of BêthSur ? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ).
Tab-ni-e-a, f. of Bêl-êrish, gf. of Hashdai, 12:6, 9.
Ta-ad-dan-nu, f. of Bet-nâdin-shumu, $13: 12$.
Taq-bi-li-shir (Sifa!), in caluBît-Taqbi-lîshir, 79:7.
Ta-qish, $93: 15$, abbrev. from Taqish-Gula, q. v.
Ta-qish-Gula ${ }^{1}$ ( ${ }^{d} M E-M E$ ), and abbrev. Ta-qish (98:15), s. of Iddina-Bêl, sc. \& w., $75: 17|77: 11| 87: 11 \mid$ $88: 24|90: 9| 92: 16|93: 15| 100: 14 \mid 106: 15$, R. E. | $108: 16 \mid 109: 13$.

Taz-kur-shlu, † f. of Nidintum-Bèl, $35: 1$.
$T a . \ldots$, s. of Pâni-ili, $3^{n}: 12$.
*Ti-va-ka-am, Ti-ri-ka-mu (Pe. = *Tìa-kāma, "Having desire for power,' or containing god Tīra?).

1. s. of Bagapânu, 54 : 2 (id. with No. 2, cf. p. 14).
2. $h_{m a ̀ r ~ b i ̂ t i ~ s h a ~ B e ̀ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u, ~}^{68: 1,5,8 .}$

Tir" $d a-$. (perhaps $=\operatorname{Ter} d \hat{a}, \quad$ ' O child"'), f. of $N a b \hat{u}$ nàdin, 18:1.
*Ti-hu-ut(par?)-ar-ta-'-is, Ti-du-ut(par?)-ar-di-e-si (Pe.), f. of Amun-ki-ki, 81:12|82:12.
*Ti-ri-da-cl-tu (Pe. Tt $\rho \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ )

1. s. of $K a[m a ?] n a^{\prime}$, b. of Baga'dâtu, $74: 7,12$.
2. s. of Ninib-êtir, $74: 4,11$.
*Ti-ri-ia-a-ma, Tir-ri-ia-a-ma (Pe. *Tira-y $\bar{a} m a)$.
3. f. of Balâtu (possibly to be read Muballit and abbreviated from No. 2), $64: 12 \mid 75: 11$.
4. f. of .Shamash-muballit, 11: 12, R. E. | $30: 30 \mid 34$ : $25|35: 30| 39^{a}: 8 \mid 48: 33$, R. E. $|51: 11| 59:$ . $21 \mid 69: 18$.
*Ti-ri-ka-mu, $68: 5,8$, cf. Ti-ra-ka-am.
Tuk-kul(ku)-lum (luc)
5. s. of Tqis $7 a-a p l u$, w., $51: 12$.
6. f. of Shulâ, $65: 25|67: 16| 75: 15$.
7. f. of $\sin -\hat{e} t i r, 28^{a}: 12$.

Tuk-te-e (abbr., cf. Hommel in P. S. B. A., 1897, p. 88), f. of Kidin, 8:6.
"Tu-ra-ma-na-', 28 ${ }^{\text {a }}: 15$, cf. Atrumanu'.
*Ṭa-bi-ià, Ṭ̂abi-ià (cf. Нe. ${ }_{T}^{\prime \prime}$ $27\left|52: 1^{17}\right| 52^{n}: 13|65: 26| 67: 17 \mid 89: 13$.
U'bal-lit-su (abbreviated), s. of Nidintum, $84: 5,0$.
Ú-bal-lit-su-Gula¹, s. of Ninib-muballit, w., $66: 13$.
U-bal-lit-su-Marduk, Uballit (TIN)-su-Marduk (dAMA-- $U D, d S H U)$

1. f. of Iddinc-Marduk, $17^{\mathrm{a}}: 17\left|39^{\mathrm{a}}: 8\right| 41: 13 \mid 48$ : $26|49: 17| 52: 17\left|86^{\mathrm{a}}: 27\right| 88: 21 \mid 105: 13$.
2. f. of Ninib-mutirrishu and Ninib-nâdin-shumu, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}}$ : $7|8: 18| 13: 8|14: 15| 19: 14|23: 20| 24: 12 \mid$ $25: 16|26: 16| 27: 8|28: 5| 29: 28|30: 29|$ $32: 15|33: 6| 39: 9$.
$\dot{U}-b a r$
3. S. of Bêl-mukîn-aplu, sc., $42: 12|66: 14| 69:$ 19 (w.).
4. s. of Bunene-ibni, w., $24: 11|49: 12| 75: 12 \mid 79:$ 11, U. E. | $95: 14$, R. | $103: 11|104: 10| 105$ : $10 \mid 107$ : 17.
5. s. of Lâbês hi, w., 64:13.
6. s. of Nabut-bullittsu, w., $37: 14 \mid 38: 14$.
7. s. of Nâdin, w. \& sc., $71: 10|79: 15| 82: 28 \mid 95$ : 17| 101: 18 | $107: 21$.
8. f. of Addannu, $100: 16 \mid 103: 16$.
9. f. of $A$ rditia and $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 19: 19\left|26^{2}: 15\right| 33: 10 \mid$ $43: 17|58: 12| 69: 25$.
10. f. of Bêl-iqìsha, $46: 11 \mid " 47: 16$.
11. f. of Bêl-nêdin, $61: 12$.
12. f. of Gula-shum-lîshiv, $91: 12$.
13. f. of Hâtin, $57: 16$.
14. f. of Silim-ilâni, 19:16.
 s. of Rahhim-ili, $59: 17$ (w.) | $69: 1,7,8,10,12$, L. E., b. of Zabdïa (69: 3), f. of Hananïâma ( $69: 20$, I. E.) and uncle of Bêl-ittannu ( $69: 3$ ).
UKìn-aphu, cf. Kìna-aplu.
Ú(Sham? ?)-ma-mu-shi, hardu sha Mānūshtānu, w., 83 : 19, L. E. Cf. also ['́'?]-mu-mush-sha, $83: 3$.
Un-na-tu
15. hardu sha Mānūshtānu, hshaknu sha hshushannê sha bît nataandu, $83: 8$, R. E:
16. ..... 7: 5.

Upahhir-Bêl, f. of Ninib-bêl-aḩêshu, $97: 15 \mid 98: 14$.
Ú-sa-ar-ta, 107: '7.
*Us-ku-du-ru-', f. of Uspatar'u', $74: 4$.
*Us-pa-tct-ru-', s. of Uskuduru', 74:4, 11.

* Us-tu-', s. of Shartu(par?)na', w., $48: 33$.
*Ush-ta-bu-za-na-', (Pe., cf. Justi, p. 490 $\ddagger$ ) f. of Bèlittannu, 74:5.
*Z $\boldsymbol{Z} a-a b-d i-i a(i a ̀), Z a b-d i-i a$ (cf. Нс.

1. s. of Barîli-ilî, b. of Bêl-êtir, $95: 2$, L. E.
2. s. of $\operatorname{Bê} l i i a(?), 92: 2$.
3. s. of Bêl-zêr-ibni, w., $68: 8$, f. e. $|72: 14| 80: 15$.
4. s. of Iddirïcu-itî, $85: 19$ (w.) | $86: 3$.
5. s. of Rahim-ilit, w., $65: 28$.
$\dagger$ Cf. Tal-si-i = Tashsî ("Thou hast spoken (promised))," Strassmaier, Cambyses 287: 10 and Bêl-tazkurshu (above).


6．s．of $\operatorname{Sin}-n \hat{\alpha} d i n-a h u, 97: 2$, L．E．
7．f．of $A q \hat{u} b u, 24: 2 \mid 79: 13$.
8．f．of（？）Bêl－ittannu，b．of Udarna＇，69：3， 6.
9．f．of Shullumà， $92: 4$.
＊$Z a-b i-d a-a$（cf．Pa．NフユM忛）
1．s．of Bèl－abu－usur，w．，32 ${ }^{a}: 13$.
2．s．of Iddina－aplu，b．of Bêlshunu，10：2， 31.
＊Za－bi－ni，Za－bi－in，Za－bi－i＋
1．s．of Ninib－ah－iddina， $85: 8$.
2．in $A l u$ Bît－Zabin， $71: 1|81: 2| 105: 5,7 \mid 106: 3$.

＊Za－bu－du（cf．He．זָָוֹ），f．of Ninib－êṭir，21：10．
a Za－ma－ma－êrish
1．f．of Bêl－ah－iddina and Zamama－nâdin， $95: 3$.
2．in $a b u$ Bitt－Zamama－êrish（same person as No．1，
apparently owner of a large estate called after him），26：3｜79：2｜95：4， 7.
$d Z a-m a-m a-n \hat{a} d i n$
1．s．of Zamama－èrish，b．of Bêl－ah－iddina， $95: 3$ ， L．E．

2．hustarbaru，28：4，6，7（probably identical with No．1）．
Za－an－ga－nu，f．of Iqîsha－aplu，8：8．
＊Za－ta－me－e，f．of Ninâku， $45: 30 \mid 50: 13$.
Zêri－ia，f．of Ninib－muballit， $10: 26$.
Zêr－kit－ti－lîshir，and abbrev．Zêr－kit－ti（7：26），f．of Bêl－ muballit， $7: 26|17: 19| 26: 19 \mid 27: 13$.
Zêr－lîshir，in aluBit－Zêr－lisshir，32a： 14.
Zêr－ukîn
1．s．of Daian－ahु－iddina，hgal－la sha Artâ，6：2，5．
2．s．of Shum－uれîn，w．，17：17．
＊Zi－ma－ka－＇（Pe．Zє́́ $\alpha z o \varsigma=Z \varepsilon F \alpha z o s ?$ ），f．of Baga＇ina＇， 76： 4.
Zi－im－ma－a（cf．He．Ṭְ̂），s．of Bêl－êtir，w．， $50: 14$.
Zitti $(\underline{H} A-L A)-N a b \hat{u}$ ，s．of Mushêzib－Bêl，hdàtabara sha Artarêmu，w．， $82:$ L．E． $83: 18$ ，R． $\mid 84: 11$ ，Lo． E．｜ $107: 15$.
$Z u-u m-b a-a$ ，f．of Bêl－êrish， $75: 11$, L．E．，
Zu－um－bu
1．f．of Harbatînu， $86^{\mathrm{a}}: 32$ ．
2．f．of Haribânu， $87: 14$ ．

## 2．Female Names．

fAmat－Bêlit（ $d N I N-L I L$ ），d．of Bushî－Bêl，si：of Ninib－ adtiddina，wi．of Nâ＇$i d-N i n i b$（s．of Ardi－Ninib）， 53： 13 （11），Lo．E．
＂fA－mi－si－ni－＇， $39: 2$.
fBêlit－sunu，d．of Ah－êrish，wi．of Shum－iddina（s．of $S \alpha-$ bûtum）， $58: 3, .22$.
fE－kùur－be－litt， $\mathcal{S}_{8}$ d．of Bêl－balàtu－ittannu，wi．of Mitradàta， mo．of Baga＇mîri， $48: 37$.
fMa－du－mi－i－tum， $39: 2$.

## 3．Names of Scribes．

Ád－dan－nu，s．of Ubâr， $100: 16 \mid 103: 16$.
$A-g a \dot{c} r-a$ ，s．of Nàdin，8：22｜28：16．
Aluu－shu－nu，s．of Sillu－Ninib，57：17．
Amêl－Bêl ${ }^{1}$ ，s．of Silim－Bề， $81: 13$.
Ap－la－a
1．s．of $S i n-i q b i, 61: 13$ ．
2．s．of ．．．，3：24．
Ardi－Ninib，s．of Ritti（or Upahhir ？）－Bèl，78： 12.
Bêl＇ittannu，s．of $A p l \hat{a}, 8^{\prime} 7: 16$.
Bềl，2－muballit（－it），s．of Zêr－kitti－lishir，7：26｜17：19｜ $26: 19 \mid 27: 13$.
$B e l^{1}-n \hat{a} d i n-s h u m u$, s．of Taddannu， $13: 12$.
Bêl－shu－nu（without det．d），s．of Ninib－nâsir， $69: 26$. Bêlit（ ${ }^{(G A S H A N)-u s \hbar a b s h i(-s h i), ~ s . ~ o f ~ G a s h i ̂ r, ~} 85: 26 \mid$ 86：24．

Danna－a，s．of Nâdin， $7^{\text {a }}: 12$.
Erba－Bêll，s．of $I q \hat{\imath} s h a-a p l u, 46: 13 \mid 47: 21$.
$\hat{E} t i r(K A R)-s h u-B e ̂ l^{1}$, s．of $N \hat{a}^{\top} i d-S i n, 2: 17$ ．
Itti－Bê7ı－balêtu
1．s．of Ninib－nâdin－shumu， $39: 11$.
2．s．of Ninib－nâsir， $43: 21$.
$L a-b c-s h i$ ，s．of Balâtu， $64: 17$.
Na－din，s．of Şillu－Ninib， $32: 21 \mid 93: 17$ ．
Ni－din－tû－Bê $7^{1}$ ，s．of Ninib－nâdin，1： 32.
Ni－din－tum－Shamash，s．of Bunene－ibni， $18: 14|19: 20|$ $21: 12\left|26^{\mathrm{a}}: 17\right| 28^{\mathrm{a}}: 16$.
Ninib－abu－uṣur，s．of Bề－nâdin－shumu， $74: 18|76: 14| 77$ $: 12|80: 17| 83: 24|84: 15| 102: 19 \mid 106: 16$.
Ninib－bêl－ahê－shu，s．of Dpahhir－Bêl， $97: 15 \mid 98: 14$.
Ninib－êtir，s．of Shum－iddina， $36: 16 \mid 44: 25$.
＋Sachau，Palmyrenische Inschriften（in Z．D．M．G．，Vol．XXXV），p． 748 （a very common name）．
$\$$ Final $n$ having been dissolved，as often，cf．Shahrini，Shahrin，Shahri＇（for passages cf．B．A．，III；p．394）．
$\S$ Cf．E－sag－ila－be－lit（Strassmaier，Cyrus 337：5，7，14，15，18）and Ina－E－sag－gíl－be－lit（Cambyses 215：6）．

Ninib-ga-mil

1. s. of Bêl-nâdin, $16: 18$.
2. s. of Dummuq, $91: 13|104: 14| 105: 14$.

Ninib-muballitit(-it), s. of Zêrï̆a, $10: 26$.
Ninib-nâdin

1. s. of Bèl-kishir, $31: 23\left|39^{a}: 15\right| 70: 16$.
2. s. of Mugurshu, $54: 14 \mid 63: 17$.
3. s. Nâdin, 62: 16.

Ninib-na-sir, Ninib-nâṣir (PAP), s. of Ardi-Bêl, gs. of Nusku-ushabshi (48:35), 33:11|37:18|38: $18|41: 17| 48: 35|49: 19| 50: 19|51: 15|$ [52:18] | $52^{a}: 14|60: 24| 65: 29|67: 18|$ $72: 16 \mid 89: 17$.
Nusku-nôdin, s. of Ardi-Gula, 6:14|9:18|11:17| $12: 16|14: 18| 15: 21\left|17^{\mathrm{a}}: 19\right| 20: 17 \mid$ [23: 23] | $24: 15|25: 21| 29: 29|30: 31| 34: 26 \mid$ $45: 35$.

Sin-iksur (possibly ఓâşir, written KAT), s. of Nâdin, $3^{\mathrm{a}}: 13$.
Sin-nêdin-ahi (and ahe, 22:18), s. of Ardi-Bau, 22:18| $68: 4$ f. e. $173: 17$.
Shamash-balêt-su-iqbi, s. of Bunene-ibni, $4: 14 \mid 5: 13$.
Shamash-na-din-zêru, s. of Bunene-ibni, 35:31|40:20| 53:21|55:27|56:18|58:18 (probably brother of the preceding scribe).
Shu-la-a, s. of Ninib-nâṣir, 94: 17|94 : $16|95: 18| 96$ : 18|99: 20.
Shum-iddina, $32^{a}: 14$.
Ta-qish- $a G u-l a(G u l a$, written $d M E-M E)$, s. of IddinaBêl, $75: 17|88: 24| 90: 9|92: 16| 108: 16 \mid$ 109: 13.
Úbar

1. s. of Bêt-mufîn-aplu, 42:12|66: 14.
2. s. of Nôdin, $71: 10|79: 15| 82: 28|101: 18|$ 107: 21.

## II. Names of Places. $\dagger$

Ad-di-ia-it (cf. also Hुussê[ti] sha Addita), 36:16|37: $6,18 \mid 38: 6,18$.
Bâbilu (written $E k i$ and $D T N$-TTRki) in mSTulum-Bâbili,

$$
44: 14,15,20 \mid 70: 5
$$

Ban-ni-s7u, 28:2|50:5.
Ba-as-sa-nu, 28: 2|50: 4.
Bit-m Ab-di-ia, 79: 1.
Bît-m Ak-kie-e, $86^{a}: 8$.
Bît-m Ap-la-a, $23: 4$.

Bìt-mBa-ga-'-d $a-c a-t i, 65: 3$.
Bît-mBa-lat-su (mBalât-su), 36:6|66an: 3.
Bêt-G̛a-la-la-nu, 99:3 (cf. 7: 22).
Bît-Gi-r $a-^{\prime}, 45: 6$ (cf. also Gi-r $a^{-}$).
Bît-da-ai-na-tú, 86a : 6.
Bît-mHIc-du-ru, 107: 5.
Bit-m ${ }^{-1} a-\ldots$ - -tum, 83 : 4.
Bitt-mlk-la-', 79: 6.
Bit-mKi-ki-e, $86^{a}: 8$.
Bût-Kip-pu, $15: 5,9$.
Bût-Ma-ru-du, 9: 5, 7| $44: 6$.
Bêt-mMu-rcl-uu, 2:2|3:25|30:3,7|44:9,10|94:4 (without det.m).
Bît-hrab ú-ra- $\alpha-t u ́, 107$ : 8.

Bit-msin-erba, 7: 8 (without det. alu).
Bît- $m_{\text {Sinth-l̂̀shir, }} 93: 3,7$.
Bìt-mSur-ra-ai, 79:5 (cf. mSurrai).
Bêt-mShí-la-a, 8: 10|44: 13 (without det. ${ }^{m}$ ).
Bît-mTuq-bi-li-shir, 79: 7.
Bìt- hTUR-NUN-NA, 16: 6, 19 [30:17.
Bitt-mU-sa-ar-ta; 107:7.
Bît-mZa-bi-in, Bêt-mZa-bi-i, 71:1|81: 2|105:5, $7 \mid$ 106: 3 (without det. $m$ ).
Bît-maZa-ma-ma-êrish, $26: 3|79: 2| 95: 4$, 7 .
Bît-mZêr-hashir, $32^{a}: 14$.
Etitr-Ninib, cf. Mushêzib-Ninib.
Ga-ba-li-ni, Gab-li-ni, 22:5|26a: 6, 7.
Ga-di-ba-tum, 71:2.
Ga-li-ià, Ga-li-e, 39a : $2 \mid 48: 4$.
Gct-lu-tu, 65:2.
Gi-pa-', 25 : 14 (cf. also Bit-Gi-va-').
프a-at-la-' $44: 14$ (apparently identical with the following name).

${ }^{m} \underline{H} a-a m-b a-r i, ~ H a m a m-m a-r i$ (without det. $m$ ), $7 \mathrm{ra}: 3 \mid 8$ : $12 \mid 44: 11$.

Hash-ba-ai, $109: 13$.
$\dagger$ Preceded by the det, alu, unless otherwise stated,

Ha(?)-she(?)-bar(?)-lu(?), $108: 4$.
Hi-du-ú-' $a, \underline{H} i-d u-\hat{u}-{ }^{\prime}-a, 28: 2 \mid 50: 5$.
${ }^{h} \operatorname{HI} i-i n-d a-a i, 75: 2$.
Hुu-í-pu sha mBa-rik-k[i]-ilî, 102: 6.
Hu-us-si-e-[ti] sha Ad-di-ia, $42: 4$ (cf. also Addiiai).
Hुu-us-si-e-t $u i$
Hu-uş-si-e-tú(ti) sha mKal-ba-a, $49: 4,9$.
Hुu-us-si-e-ti sha $m$ Nabî-n $\hat{a} s i i_{,} 79: 15$.
Hu-us-si-e-tú sha mNa-sir, $92: 7-8 \mid 102: 2$.
Hu-us-si-e-ti sha m Ninib-ile' $i, 79$ : 3-4.
Iुu-us-si-e-tú sha $h_{r} \hat{e} \hat{e}^{e}$ (or $h I R ?$ ), $86^{n}: 8$.
Ibni-Nergal (KAK-UR-MAU, without det. $m$ and $d$ ), 101: 4.
I-bu-li-e, 82: 6 .
(h) IR $p l$, cf. Shua hIRpl.

Tsh-qal-lu-nu (He.
$K u-g a b-b a-r i=K U-G a b-b a-r i$, cf. Shubti-Gabbani.
Ku-gur-di-ia, 32:2|59:3, 13 (probably identical with the following name).
Ku-hbur-du, 31:6|35:6,16|39a:2.
$K U-m R a-h i-m u$, cf. Shubti-m Ra-hi-mu.
 $5 \mid 86^{a}: 3$.
Larakki, 72: [1?], 2, 7| $83: 7$.
Ma-ak-ma-nu (without det. alu), 102: 6.
九Ma-la-ไa-nu, Malaĥ̀nu (MA-TUM-TUMpl), 68:6|91 4, 6.
Ma-am-shca-am-mi(?), $102: 5$.
Man-di-qa-ai, 104:5.
Mushezib (KAR)§-Ninib, 17 ${ }^{\text {a }}: 8,11 \mid 106: 6$.
Ni-bir-tum (二Nibirtum, "Crossing"'), 107:8.
Ninib-a-pa-ri-shu-. . ., $51: 5$.
Nippurki, $1: 32|[2: 17]| 3^{n}: 12|4: 14| 5: 9,13|6: 14|$ $8: 13,22|9: 13,18| 10: 26|11: 17| 12: 16 \mid$ $13: 2,13|14: 18| 15: 21|17: 20| 17^{\mathrm{a}}: 19 \mid 18$ : $15|19: 15,21| 21: 13|22: 18| 23: 17,23$, R. |
$24: 15|25: 21| 26^{a}: 18|27: 13| 28: 16 \mid 28^{a}:$ $17|29: 29| 30: 31|31: 24| 32: 21|33: 11| 34:$ $28,26|35: 29,31| 39: 11\left|39^{\text {a }}: 15\right| 40: 20 \mid 41:$ $17|42: 12| 43: 21|44: 5,25| 45: 35|46: 13|$ 47:21|48:5, 36|49:19|50:19|51:15|[52: 18]|52a $: 14|53: 21| 54: 15|55: 27| 56: 8,19 \mid$ 57: 6, 10, $17|58: 8,19| 59:[4], 13,[23] \mid 60:$ $24|61: 7,14| 62: 2,17|63: 18| 64: 5,17 \mid 65:$ $3,29|66: 14| 66^{a}: 23|67: 18| 68: 4$ f. е. $\mid 69:$ $1,26|70: 16| 71: 10|72: 16| 73: 17|74: 18|$ $75: 17|76: 14| 77: 2,12|78: 5,12| 80: 17 \mid 81:$ $18|82: 28|[83: 24]|84: 1,15| 85: 26|86: 24|$ [ $\left.86^{n}: 35\right]|87: 16| 88: 24|89: 8,17| 90: 9 \mid$ $91: 13|92:[3], 16| 93: 17|94: 17| 94^{n}: 16 \mid 95:$ $18|96: 18| 97: 15|98: 14| 99: 20|100: 16|$ $101: 18|102: 19| 103: 16|104: 14| 105: 14 \mid$ 106:16|107:21|108:16. Cf. âlu sha hNippurîpl, 62:2.
Pa-prak-ku, $28: 2$.
Sipparaki, 68:9.
Ri-di(?)-im-ไhu, 7:26.
Sha hIRpl, 70:7; without sha, (h)IRpl, 97:4,6|98:4, $5 \mid 100: 5,6$.
Sha la-me-e, Sha lam-me-e,\| $28^{a}: 4|74: 8| 96: 4,7 \mid$ 99:2.
Sha hma-ak-tu-tu, 23:9.
Sha Nippurî̀pl (EN-LTL-KTpl), 62:2.
Sha-ap-pu-ut-tum, 82:9|102:3.
Sharra-a-ba-ni, Sharrola-ba-nu-í-a, 60:3,5,11, 13. Cf. ${ }^{a} h_{S}$ Shar-ra-ba-nu, Peiser, Bab. Ver., 9:4 and pp. 229 f.
Shubti( $K U$ )-Gab-ba-ri, $86^{\mathrm{a}}: 5,6$ (without det. alac).
Shubti(KU)-mRa-ฉi-mu, 86a 5.
Shi-sha-nu (He. ןשָּ), 4: 6.
Ti-tur-ru sha m Nannaru-mugur, 86a : 7-8.
Uruki-ku, in the name of a gate of Nippur, abulluShi-bi$U_{r} u k i-k u, 48: 31$ (cf. Uru-ku=SHISH-ku). matuTam-dim, $75: 16, \mathrm{R}$.

## III. Names of Gates in Nippur.

Abullu Gula, 48 : 32.
Abullu rabû, 48 : 30.
Abullu Shi-bi-Uruki-ku, 48 : 31.
Bâb Ha-an-ba-ra, व1 $19: 7$.

Bâb ka-lak-ku, 56:8|58:8|61:8|78:6.
Bàb ma-la-ל̧u, $54: 1$.
Bâb Sharru-GUD-SI-DI (= alpu lûshêshir?).
$\dagger$ Mistake for det. $m$.
 בּבּ
$\S$ Cf. Strassmaier, Nabuchodonosor 258:4, 9 with $271: 4$, and $147: 4$ with $147: 8$.
$\| L a m m u$, a certain tree, cf. $I I . R ., 23,20$ e. f.; V. $R ., 26,64$ f.

- Cf. also ${ }^{\text {alu }} \mathrm{m}_{\underline{H}} \boldsymbol{H} a-a m-b a(m a)-r i$.


## IV. Names of Canals. $\dagger$

$B \alpha-d i-i \alpha-\alpha-t u m, B a-d i i^{\prime} \alpha-\alpha-t u m, 29: 3,17$.
Bêl, 16:4, 9|35:5, 16|45:13, 23.
Bèt-abu-usur, $65: 2,14,[20], 22$.
Di-ra-c-tú(tum), 65:8|67:2, 6, 12.
Dûr-Îni-ia, 102: 4.
Har-ri-pi-qu-du(qud), 2:1|9:7|17:6|30:5|37:7|
$38: 7|49: 5,9| 50: 5 \mid 80: 3,5,8$, O., R. E. $\mid$
$94: 4,8\left|94^{2}: 3,[6]\right| 96: 4,8$.
Ka-bar-ru(ri) (mentioned in Ezekiel as
Kûtûu (written $G U-D U-A$, withont $k i$ ), $106: 3$.
Mi-li-du, $45: 11,12,21,22$.
Nam-ga-ri (Nam-ga-rum, Nam-gar)-dâr-Bè ${ }^{2}, 34: 3,11 \mid$ 52:3, $8|90: 1| 101: 1$.
${ }^{(m)}$ Nannarl-mugur (yritten aUD-SAR-DUG-GA), 86 ${ }^{\circ}$ :
7, 9, 15, 23 (cf. also ahuliturpu sha $N$.).
Nit-ta-nu, cf. Ush-ta-nu.
Purât Nippur, 14:2|59:3, 13; without Nippur, 19:9.
${ }^{h}$ Rêshu sha nâri, 30:4, 18.
$\operatorname{Sin}, 1: 27$, L. E. $|9: 12| 12: 12$, R. $\mid 14: 6$, Lo. E. $\mid 15: 2$, $10,15|16: 4| 32: 3|48: 3,6| 55: 4,6,16 \mid 59:$ $3,6,13|65: 7| 70: 7|88: 10,16| 102: 1$.
Su-úu-ru, $80: 3,8$.
Sha mBèl-êrish, $60: 3,12$.
Sha Bît-m Sin-erba, $7: 8$.
Sha mIqûsha(-sha)-aplu, 3:8.
Sha mMi-nu-ú-Bêl-da-an, 60: 4, 12.
Sha m Na-tu-nu, $65: 7,16,20,22$.
Sha urâte (written $f$ siŝûpl.), $25: 3,8 \mid 45: 8,18$.
Shal-la, § $59: 4,14$.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Shan} \hat{u}(-\hat{u}), 52: 2,7$.
Shap-pa-Shamash, 59:4, 14.
Shap-pu-ut-tum, 102:3|106:5.
Sharri, $73: 2$.
Shi-li-ik-ti, \| $48: 3,6$.
Ush-ta-nu, 65: 8.

## V. Names of Deities Contained in the Proper Names.

*d $A d-d u,{ }^{a} A d d u$ ( $I M$ ), $A d-d u$ (without det. $d$ ), ef. the male proper names under $A d d u$ and Hilprecht, Assyriaca, pp. 76 ff ; Winckler, Alttestam. Untersuch., pp. 68ff.
*dA-di-e-shu, cf. $m_{A r d i-d A-d i-e-s h u . ~}^{\text {. }}$
${ }^{d} A$-nu, $d_{A}$-num, cf. the male proper names under Anu.
${ }^{d}$ Ashur (written $d \underline{H} I$ ), cf. the male proper names under Ashur.
*A-te (without det. $a$, if a deity at all) =Atê, cf. mA-te-ia-na-', Baethgen, Beiträge zur Semitischen Religionsgeschichte, p. 70f., and Hoffimann in Abhandlungen der Göttinger $A k$., 1889, p. 34, and in Z. A., XI, p. 24.
*dAt-tar $=\boldsymbol{=}$, cf. the male names under Attar, and Baethgen, l. c., p. 69f.
${ }^{d} B a u$ (written $B \hat{a} b u$ ), ${ }^{d} B a-u$, cf. the male proper names under Buu and Ardi.
*dBan-nu, aBan-a-ni, Ban-an (without det. d), cf. the male proper names under Bannu.
${ }^{d}$ Bêl (written $d E N, d E N-L I L, d L$ ), cf. the male proper names especially under $B \hat{e} l$ and $A r d i$, cf. also ${ }^{d} S h a d \hat{d}-r^{r} a b \hat{u}$.
dBêlit (written $d N I N-L I L$, and $d G A S H A N$ ), cf. the male proper names under Bèlit and mDannat-Bêlit.
$a_{B u-n e-n e, ~ c f . ~ t h e ~ m a l e ~ p r o p e r ~ n a m e s ~ u n d e r ~ B u n e n e . ~}^{\text {a }}$
$a E-a, d T, a B E$ (cf. $80: 2$ with $80: 7$ ), cf. the male proper names under $E a$.
$d G u-l a$ (without det, $d$ in abullu Gu-la), aME-ME, cf. the male proper names under Ardi.
 the male proper names under lâh $\hat{u}$, and Hoffmann in $Z . A$., XI, p. 249ff.
*Ilu Bit-ili (the god of Beth-el, הֲיְהֵ), cf. the male proper names under $I l u-B \hat{t} t-i l i$ and Hommel, Die Altisraelitische Ueberlieferung, p. 196, l. 6.
$\dagger$ Every name is preceded by the det. naru.
$\ddagger$ Written Nồr-Sipparaki Nippurki.
S "Carrying away" (of waters), cf. mashallu, "gutter, channel."
li "Discharge" (of waters).
${ }^{d}$ Ishtar (written $d D I L-B A T$ ), cf. the male proper names under Ishtar.
dI-shum, cf. the male proper names under Ishum.
*Ku-us cf. Qu-us.
dLamassu (? written ${ }^{d} K A L-K A L$ ), cf. the male proper names under Lamassu.
${ }^{\text {a Marduk (written }}{ }^{d} A M A R-U D$ and $d S H U$ ), cf. the male proper names under Marduk.
*aMil-hi , cf. the male proper names $*$ Mil-hi-ta-ri-bi and $N u-h 2 i-M i l-h 2 i$ (cf. V R. 31, $8^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{b}$, Jensen).
*dMul-la(i)-e-shu, dMul-li-shu, cf. the male proper names under Ardi.
${ }^{d} \mathcal{N}_{a b \hat{u}}$ (written $a A G$ and $d P A$ ), cf. the male proper names under Nabî.
${ }^{d} N a-n a-a$, cf. the male proper names under Nonat and mellita-Nanâ, m Nà̀'itta-Nanâ.
dNannaru. (written dUD-SAR), cf. naru(m)Nannarumugur and ciluTiturru sha mNannaru-mugur, cf. also $d \operatorname{Sin}$.
$a N e r g a l$ (written ${ }^{d} U G U R$ ), cf. the male proper names under Nergal.
$d$ Ninib (written $a B A R, a N I N-T B, a S H I-D U$ (14: 13), $d I B, d N I N-D A R,(49: 18 \mid 53: 18)$, cf. the male proper names under Ninib, $A r d i$, etc.
$d N u s k u$ (written $d P A-K U$ ), cf. the male proper names under Nuslou.
*Qu-us (written $K u$ - $u s$, without det. $d$ ). Cf. mKu-us-d $\alpha$ $n a-a$. If a deity at all, identical with the following god :
*aQu-su, Dip, cf. $m a Q u-s u-i a-a-\npreceq a-b i$. Identical with the preceding god. Apparently identical with the Edomite Quush (Schrader, K. A. T. ${ }^{2}$ p. 150) or סip (cf. Baethgen, l. c., pp. 11, 108).
aRammânu (written $d L M$ ), cf. mRammân-rîmanni.
$d \operatorname{Sin}$ (written $d X X X$ and $d E N-Z U$ ), cf. the male proper names under Sin and norSin, also dNannaru.
dShadtu-rabû, cf. the male proper names under Shad $\hat{u}-$ rabtu, also dBêl.
$d S h a m a s h$ (written ${ }^{a} U D$ ) and $a^{S h} a m s h i \hat{\imath}$ (written ${ }^{d} U D p l$, only in foreign names and transliterated Sham$s 7 \hat{\imath}$ above, cf. p. 19), cf. the male proper names under Shamash and Shamshî and naruShap-padShamash.

* dShi-i-pak, cf. $m$. $\hat{a}^{\prime} i d-d S h i-i-p a k$. A Cassite god, cf. Delitzsch, Die Sprache der Kossäer, p. 39.
aZa-ma-ma, cf. the male proper names under Zamama.
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## -Abbreviations.

C. B. M., Catalogue of the Babylonian Museum, University of Pennsylvania (prepared by the editor); Ca., Cast; cf., confer; cyl., cylinder(s) ; E., Edge; f. e., from (the) end; foll(ow)., following; fr., fragment, fragmentary ; fis., fragments; h., height; impr., impression(s) ; inscr., inscription; l. or li., line(s); L., Left; Lo., Lower; M.I.O., Musée Impérial Ottoman ; No., Number; O., Obverse; perpend., perpendicular; Pl., Plate(s); R., Right; R(ev)., Reverse ; U., Upper.

The tablets here published are baked and of light brown to grayish color. In most cases there are black spots on one or more sides. The Obverse is nearly flat, the Reverse slightly rounded, sometimes both are convex. They were found lying on the clay floor of a room ( $5.5 \times 2.75$ meters wide), a little over 6 m . below the surface in the central part of the northwestern ridge of the ruins of Nippur, on the western side of the Shatt-en-Nil (cf. Vol. I, Plate XV). They are all dated in the reign of King Artaxerxes $I$.

Measurements are given in centimeters, length (height) $\times$ (width) $\times$ thickness. Whenever the tablet (or fragment) varies in size, the largest measurement is given.

## I. Autograph Reproductions.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Text. } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plate. } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year. } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | MONTH 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY. } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5376 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> Numerous cracks. Small portions wanting, 7.25 $\times 9.3 \times 3$. Inscr. $17(\mathrm{O})+.16(\mathrm{R})=.33 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of 3 seals ( 1 on L. E., 2 on Lo. E.) and of 4 seal rings ( 1 on L. E., 2 on R. E., 1 on Lo. E.). Thumbmark on R. E. Cf. Pl. IX, Nos. 14, 16. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1, 2 | 10 | 22 | 22 | 5377 | Upper R. corner wanting, $6 \times 7.7 \times 2.65$. Inser. 10 (O.) +9 (R.) $=19$ li. L. and Lo. E. contain each 2 li. of a much effaced A ramaic inscr. written with black color. |
| 3 | 2, 3 | 13 | 6 | 26 | 5378 | Lo. E. and R. much damaged. Lo. R. and L. corners wanting, $6.5 \times 9.05 \times 2.8$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+$. 2 (Lo. E.) +11 (R.) +2 (U. E.) $=27$ li. |


| 80 |  |  | BUSIN | NESS | UMENTS, | ARTAXERXES I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Text. } \\ 3^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Plate. <br> 65 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year. } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | Month. <br> 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY. } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5300 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> Small portions of R. wanting, $4.5 \times 5.4 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 1 (U. E.) +7 (O.) $7+$ (R.) $=15 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E. The scribe used a dull stylus, the points of which were worn off. Most wedges appear therefore double. |
| 4 | 3 | 22 | 7 | 28 | 5379 | A few cracks, $5.65 \times 7.2 \times 2.35$. Inscr. 8 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +7 (R.) $=16$ li. Seal. impr. on R. |
| 5 | 3, 4 | 23 | 6 | 13 | 5380 | Portions of upper L. and R. and of Lo. L. corners wanting, $5.4 \times 6.3 \times 2.1$. Inscr. $7(\mathrm{O})+.8(\mathrm{R})$ $=15 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on R. |
| 6 | 4 | 26 | 2 | 7 | 5881 | Cracked. Part of Lo. L. corner wanting, $6.2 \times$ $7.45 \times 2.8$. Inser. 9 ( O.$)+6$ (R.) $=15 \mathrm{li}$. |
| 7 | 4, 5 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 5382 | Numerous cracks. Portions of O. wanting, 6.2 $\times 7.5 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +11 (R.) $+2(\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E})=.28 \mathrm{li}$. Six thumbmarks on L. E. |
| $7^{\text {a }}$ | 66 | [26] | 10 | 19 | 5442 | Numerous cracks. L. E. wanting, R. Lo. corner damaged, $6.45 \times 8.3 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 5 (O.) +8 $($ R. $)=13 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on R. E. |
| 8 | 5, 6 | 27 | 8 | 12 | 5346 | Small portions of U. half of L. E. and R. corner wanting, $5.7 \times 7.15 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +10 $($ R. $)=23 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on L. E. |
| 9 | 6 | 27 | 10 | 18 | 5383 | Cracked, a portion of R. chipped off, $6 \times 7.1 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 11 (O. $)+8$ (R.) $=19$ li. Seal impr. on L. E. |
| 10 | 6,7 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 5336 | Small portion of R. corner on O. broken off, $5.4 \times$ $6.8 \times 2.15$. Inser. 11 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +12 (R.) +3 (U. E.) +2 (L. E.) $=30$ li. Thumbmark on L. E. |
| 11 | 7, 8 | 28 | 3 | 13 | 5384 | Cracked. Part of Lo. half of L. E. chipped off, other small portions wanting, $5.8 \times 7.15 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +8 (R.) $=\mathbf{1 8} \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. and R., respectively, 2 thumbmarks on L. E. |
| 12 | 8 | 28 | 7 | 13 | 5385 | Cracked. Small portions of $O$. and R. broken out, $6.95 \times 8.6 \times 2.5$. Inscr. $11(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=$. <br> li. Two seal impr. on R. |
| 13 | 8, 9 | 28 | 9 | 24 | 5345 | Part of R. half of Lo. E. and R. corner of Rev. wanting, $5.8 \times 7.9 \times 2.75$. Inscr. 6 (O.) + 8 (R.) $=14$ li. Seal impr. on O. Cf. Pl. V, No. 6. |
| 14 | 9 | 28 | 10 | 28 | 5397 | In fine state of preservation, $6.3 \times 7.9 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +8 (R.) $=19 \mathrm{li} . \quad$ Impr. of a seal cyl. on Lo. E. |
| 15 | 10 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 5343. | Cracked. Part of O. chipped off, $5.9 \times 7.5 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +8 (R.) +1 (U.E.) $=$ 23 li. Thumbmark on L. E. |


| Text. 16 | Plate. 10, 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year. M } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month. } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY. } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5347 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 19 | 5341 |
| $17^{a}$ | 66 | 29 (?) | $?$ | 18(?) | 5444 |
| 18 | 12 | 30 | 6 | 23 | 5386 |
| 19 | 12 | 30 | 6 | 28 | 5337 |
| 20 | 13 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 5387 |
| 21 | 13 | 30 | 9 | 13 | 5302 |
| 22 | 14 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 5388 |
| 23 | 14 | 30 | ? | 12 (?) | 5389 |
| 24 | 15 | 31 | 1 | 8 | 5330 |
| 25 | 15, 16 | 31 | 1 | 17 | Possession of <br> H. V. Tiilprecht. |
| 26 | 16 | 31 | 3 | 30 | 5328 |
| $26^{\text {a }}$ | 66, 67 | [31] | 5 | 10 | 5540 |
| 27 | 16, 17 | 31 | 5 | $?$ | 5390 |
| 28 | 17 | 31 | 7 | 18 | Possession of H. V. Hilprech |
| $28^{3}$ | 67, 68 | 31 | 8 | 6 | 5313 |
| 29 | 17, 18 | 32 | 5 | 12 | 5391 |

Description.
Part of O. chipped off, $5.25 \times 7.28 \times 2.25$. Inscr. 11 (O.) $+9($ R. $)=20$ li. Thumbmark on U. E.
In fine state of preservation, $6.05 \times 7.7 \times 2.5$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+.9(\mathrm{R})=.21 \mathrm{li}$.
Numerous cracks. Small portions wanting, $6.2 \times$ $7.15 \times 2.65 . \quad$ Inser. 12 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) + $6(\mathrm{R})=.20 \mathrm{li}$.
Several cracks. Small portions wanting, $5.1 \times 6 \times$ 2.5. Inscr. 7 (O.) +9 (R.) $=16 \mathrm{li}$.

Cracked. Small portion of L. U. corner broken off, $5 \times 6.5 \times 2.45$. Inser. 10 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) $+10($ R. $)=22$ li. Three thumbmarks on U., R., Lo. E. respectively.

Several cracks. Considerable portion of L. Lo. corner wanting, $5.85 \times 7.15 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +6 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=19$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.
Well preserved. Beginning of last li. on $R$. chipped off, $4.75 \times 5.9 \times 2.2$. Inscr. $6(\mathrm{O})+$. $8(\mathrm{R})=.14 \mathrm{li}$.
Well preserved. One crack. Small portions on R. wanting, $5.3 \times 6.2 \times 2.4$. Inser. 11 (O.) $+8($ R. $)=19$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.
Considerable portion of the L. side of the tablet wanting, 7.1 (fr.) $\times 6.4 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 14 (O.) + 2 (Lo. E.) +8 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=25$ li. Three seal impr. on R. (2) and R. E. (1).
Well preserved. A little chipped off, $6.1 \times 7.35 \times$ 2.5. Inscr. 10 (O.) +6 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=17$ li. Thumbmark on Lo. E.
Well preserved. Two small passages chipped off, $5.45 \times 6.6 \times 2 . \quad$ Inscr. 12 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) + 10 (R.) $=23$ li. An obscene seal impr. on L. E.
Well preserved. A little chipped off, $6.1 \times 7.95 \times$ 2.7. Inscr. 12 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +6 (R.) $=$ 20 li.
Cracked. R. U. and L. Lo. corners wanting. Much chipped off, $6.15 \times 7.5 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 11 (O.) + 8 (R.) $=19$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.
Large piece of $O$. broken out, $R$. Lo. corner of $R$. wanting, $5.8 \times 0.7 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 6 (O.) +8 (R.) $=14 \mathrm{li}$.
In fine state of preservation, $6.2 \times 8.15 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 9 (O.) $+8($ R. $)=17$ li. Seal impr. on O.
In fine state of preservation, $5.8 \times 6.6 \times 2.15$. Inser. $8(\mathrm{O})+.10($ R. $)=18 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on O.
Glued together. Portion of O. and a little of $R$. wanting, $7.2 \times 8.2 \times 2.9$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +

Text. Plate. Year. Month. Day. C. B. m.
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Description.
3 (Lo. E.) +14 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=31 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E.
Cracked; otherwise well preserved, $7.5 \times 9.1 \times 3.1$. Inscr. 14 (O. $)+3$ (Lo. E.) +16 (R.) +2 (L. E.) $=35 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E. Cf. Pl. I. No. 1.

Several cracks. Small portions chipped off, $6.2 \times$ $7.2 \times 2.2$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +12 (R.) $=25$ li. Three thumbmarks on L. E.
Several cracks. Portions chipped off, $6.8 \times 8.7 \times 3.1$. Inscr. $14(\mathrm{O})+.8(\mathrm{R})=.22 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on R.
On the whole well preserved. One crack. A small piece of the Lo. half of R. wanting, $6.2 \times 8.5$ $\times 2.4$. Inscr. $9(0)+.7(\mathrm{R})=.16 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of a seal and a seal ring on Lo. E.
Well preserved. One crack. A small portion of R. chipped off, $6.2 \times 7.5 \times 2.65$. Inscr. 5 (O.) + 7 (R.) = 12 li. Thumbmark on 0.
Several cracks. R. U. corner wanting. $\Delta$ few places chipped off, $7.56 \times 8.95 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 15 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +10 (R.) $=27 \mathrm{li}$.
L. corner wanting, otherwise in fine state of preservation, $8.05 \times 9.3 \times 2.65$. Inscr. 19 (O.) +13 (R.) $=32 \mathrm{li}$.

Very well preserved, $6 \times 7.1 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 10 (O.) $+8($ R. $)=18$ li. Thumbmark on L. E. Cf. PI. III, No. 3.
Several cracks, $5.5 \times 6.27 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +6 (R.) $+2(\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E})=.17 \mathrm{li}$. Three thumbmarks on R .
L. U. corner of R. wanting. A small place chipped off, otherwise well preserved, $5.5 \times 6.35 \times 2.4$. Inser. 9 (O.) +9 (R.) +2 (U. E.) $=20 \mathrm{li}$. Three thumbmarks on R .
R. U., Lo. L. and R. corners broken off, $5.95 \times 7.25$ $\times 2.5$. Inser. 6 (O.) +6 (R.) $=12 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impressions broken off.
Several cracks. Small portions on O. and R. wanting, $5.6 \times 6.65 \times 2$. Inscr. $6(\mathrm{O})+$.10 (R.) $=$ 16 li. Seal impr. on 0 .
In fine state of preservation. A small piece of 0 . chipped off, $6.3 \times 7.7 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +8 $(\mathrm{R})=.22 \mathrm{li}$.
Several cracks. Small portions on O. and R. broken off, $6.55 \times 7.6 \times 2.45$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +7 (R.) $=$ 16 li. Three thumbmarks on R. Cf. Pl. II, No. 2.
A portion on L. side of 0 . chipped off, $4.9 \times 6.2 \times$ 2.15. Inscr. 5 (O.) +1 (Lo. E. $)+8$ (R.) $=14$ li.


Several cracks. Small pieces broken out, $4.95 \times 6.35$ $\times 2$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +9 (R.) $=$ 23 li.
Well preserved, but U. R. corner wanting, $6.5 \times 7.9$ $\times$ 2.9. Inscr. 11 (O.) $+3($ Lo. E. $)+10($ R. $)+$ 2 (U. E.) $=26 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on L. E.
In fine state of preservation, $7.6 \times 9.1 \times 2.9$. Inscr. 16 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +16 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=$ 36 li . Impr. of a seal ring on L. E .
In fine state of preservation, $4.8 \times 6 \times 1.8$. Inscr. $5(\mathrm{O})+.8(\mathrm{R})+.1(\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E})=$.14 li. Thumbmark on $O$.
Two small portions chipped off, otherwise in fine state of preservation, $5.1 \times 6.25 \times 1.9$. Inser. 11 (O.) +1 (Lo. E. $)+11$ (R. $)=23$ li. Two thumbmarks on L. E. On R. and L. E. faint traces of an Aramaic inscription written with black color.
In fine state of preservation, $8.5 \times 11.4 \times 3$. Inscr. $21(\mathrm{O})+.15(\mathrm{R})+.1(\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E})=$.37 . Impr. of 4 seals on U. E , of 3 seals on Lo. E., of 1 seal on L. E., of 3 seals on R. E. Thumbmark on Rev. Cf. Pl. IV, No. 5.
Several cracks. Two pieces broken out of the Lo. half of the R. E., $7.6 \times 8.7 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +9 (R.) $=20$ li. On the 0 . there are very faint traces of two lines of an Aramaic inscr. Two thumbmarks and the impr. of a seal ring on $R$.
Several cracks. The R. side much damaged, two pieces wanting, $7.2 \times 8.6 \times 2.8$. Inser. 12 ( O . +7 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=20$ li. Seal impr. on Rev. Cf. Pl. XI, No. 19.
Well preserved. A small crack. A little on R. chipped off, $7.1 \times 8.6 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 9 ( 0. .) +7 (R.) $=16 \mathrm{li}$.

Well preserved. A few cracks. Small portions of R. and L. U. corners wanting, $8.3 \times 10.2 \times 3.28$. Inser. 13 (O.) +6 (R.) $=19$ li. Thumbmark on R.
Numerous cracks. Several portions wanting, 6.55 $\times 7.9 \times 2.55$. Inscr. $8(\mathrm{O})+.7(\mathrm{R})=.15 \mathrm{li}$.
Cracked. Several places chipped off, $6.58 \times 8.3 \times$ 2.6. Inscr. 13 (O.) +9 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of a seal on L. E. and of a seal ring on Lo. E.
Well preserved, $3.7 \times 4.58 \times 1.6$. Inscr. 9 (O.) + 7 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=17 \mathrm{li}$. Remains of an Aramaic inscription written with black color on R. Cf. Pl. VIII, No. 10.

| Text. <br> 55 | Plate. <br> 34 | Year. 37 | Month <br> 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DaY. } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { С. B. M. } \\ 5409 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 34,35 | ¢ 37 | 12 | 2 | 5410 |
| 57 | 35 | 37 | 12 | 17 | 5411 |
| 58 | 35,36 | 37 | 12 | 22 | 5412 |
| 59 | 36 | 37 | $?$ | $?$ | 5413 |
| 60 | 37 | 37 | 12(?) | 21 | 5414 |
| 61 | 37, 38 | 38 | 1 | 19 | 5297 |
| * 62 | 38 | 38 | 7 | 9 | 5262 |
| 63 | 38, 39 | 38 | 7 | 10 | 5296 |
| 64 | 39 | 38 | 11 | 5 | 5344 |
| 65 | 40 | 38 | $?$ | 28 | 5415 |
| 66 | 40, 41 | 39 | 3 | 3 | 5301 |
| $66^{\text {a }}$ | 70 | 39 | 7 | 19 | 5304 |
| 67 | 41 | 39 | 7 | 28 | 5416 |
| 68 | 41, 42 | 39 | 8 | 21 | 5417 |
| 69 | 42. | 39 | 12 | 4 | 5418 |

## Description.

Four cracks. A central portion wanting, several places chipped off, $7.1 \times 8.5 \times 2.8$. Inscr. $17(\mathrm{O})+.12($ R. $)=29$ li. Two seal impr. on R.
Several cracks. Two pieces of O. broken out, $5.7 \times$ $6.7 \times 2.35$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +9 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=20 \mathrm{li}$.
Well preserved, $6.2 \times 7.2 \times 2.6$. Inscr. $11(\mathrm{O})+$. 2 (Lo. E.) +5 (R.) $=18$ li. Seal impr. on L. E.
Numerous cracks. Several small pieces broken out, $5.5 \times 7 \times 2.4$. Inscr. 11 ( O .) $\times 9(\mathrm{R})=20 \mathrm{li}$. Two thumbmarks on L. E.
Cracked. U. L. corner wanting. Portion of R. side of Rev. broken out, $7.3 \times 9.1 \times 3$. Inscr. 16 (O.) +7 (R.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Remains of five seal impr. on Rev., L. (2), Lo. and R. E.
Several cracks. R. E. and other small pieces broken out, $7.9 \times 10$ (fr.) $\times$ 3.1. Inscr. 16 ( O. ) +9 (R.) $=25$ li. Three seal impr. on R. and one on L. E. Cf. Pl. VI, No. 7.
Well preserved, $5.2 \times 6.2 \times 2.4$. Inscr. $8(\mathrm{O})+$. 7 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=16$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.

In fine state of preservation, $4.6 \times 5.4 \times 2.2$. Inser. 11 (O.) +7 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=19 \mathrm{li}$.
In fine state of preservation, $4.8 \times 6.2 \times 2.2$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +7 (R.) $=20$ li.
Well preserved. A few places chipped off, $6.2 \times$ $7.3 \times$ 2.5. Inscr. 10 (O.) $+8($ R. $)=18$ li. Seal impr. on U. E.
Several cracks. Lo. L. corner broken off, small portions chipped off, $8.2 \times 11.2 \times 3.3$. Inscr. 19 ( O.$)+10$ (R.) $=29$ li. Seal impr. and thumbmark on $R$.
In fine state of preservation, $5.25 \times 6.35 \times 2$. Inscr. 7 (O.) +9 (R.) $=16$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.
Well preserved, small portions chipped off, $6.3 \times$ $7.5 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +2 (Lo.E.) +9 (R.) $=24 \mathrm{li}$. Aramaic inscr. on Rev. and L. E. Three seal impr. on U. E. Cf. Pl. VIII, No. 11.
Cracked; otherwise well preserved. Small portion chipped off, $7.7 \times 9.7 \times 2.9$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+$. $7($ R. $)=19$ li. On R. statement "seal of Addurammu," but no impr. made.
Lo. part of tablet broken off. Cracked, 3.9 (fr.) $\times$ $5.15 \times 1.95$. Inscr. 9 (O., fr.) +8 (R., fr.) + 1 (U. E.) $=18$ li.,$~ T h u m b m a r k ~ o n ~ L . ~ E . ~ . ~$
R. E. wanting. Cracked. Small portions chipped off, $7.5 \times 10$ (fr.) $\times 3.2$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +

Text. Plate. Year. Month. Day.


## Description.

$13($ R. $)=27$ li. Impr. of two seals on L.. E., of three seals on U.E., and of two seals on Lo. E.
In fine state of preservation, $8.5 \times 105 \times 3.2$. Inscr. $1(\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E})+$.9 (O.) $+8(\mathrm{R})=$.18 li. Two seal impr. on O. Of. PI. VII, No. 8.
In fine state of preservation, $5.65 \times 6.88 \times 2.6$. Inscr. $5(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of a seal on U. E. and of a seal ring on I.. E. An Aramaic inscr. of two lines on O. Cf. Pl. VIII, No. 9.
Cracked. Small portions on O. and R. broken out, $7 \times 8.5 \times 2.8 . \quad$ Inscr. $9(0)+.8($ R. $)=17 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of two seal rings on R., of two seals on U. E., of two seals on Lo. E., and of one seal on L. E.
In fine state of preservation, $6 \times 7 \times 2.85$. Inscr. $10(\mathrm{O})+$.1 (Lo. E.) +9 (R.) $=20$ li. Impr. of a seal and a seal ring on Lo. E.
Cracked. Two large pieces broken out, $6.2 \times 8.43$ $\times 2.9$. Inscr. $13(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.19 \mathrm{li}$. One seal impr. each on L., U. and Lo. E. and on Rev. Cf. PI. IX, Nos. 12, 13 and Pl. XI, No. 20.
Several cracks. A number of small portions broken out, $7.8 \times 8.8 \times 3.1$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +9 $($ R. $)=18$ li. Remains of 2 seal impr. on O.; one seal impr. each on L. E. and Rev., and the impr. of a seal ring on R. Cf. PI. IX, No. 15.
Two cracks. A little chipped off, otherwise text well preserved, $5.2 \times 6.5 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 8 (O.) + 7 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=16$ li. Impr. of a seal on L. E, of a seal ring on $O$. Thumbmark on Lo. E.
Cracked. Portion of first li. of O. broken off, $5.14 \times$ $6.8 \times$ 2.6. Inser. $7(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.13 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on L. E.
In fine state of preservation, $4.65 \times 5.5 \times 2.3$. Inscr. $6($ O. $)+8($ R. $)=14 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E.
R. Lo. corner damaged, otherwise well preserved, $6.2 \times 7.7 \times 3 . \quad$ Inscr. $10(\mathrm{O})+.6($ R. $)=16 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of a seal and a seal ring on L. E., also on U. and on Lo. E. Seal impr. on R.

In fine state of preservation, $6.5 \times 7.8 \times 3$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +8 (R.) $=18$ li. Seal impr. on O. (1), Lo. E. (1), Rev. (2), U. E. (1), L. E. (1), R. E. (1). Cf. Pl. X, No. 17.

Cracked, otherwise in fine state of preservation, 5.2 $\times 7.3 \times 2.2$. Inscr. $8(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.14 \mathrm{li}$. Impr. of a seal ring on L . E .

| Text. <br> 82 | Plate. $48,49$ | Year. 40 | Month. <br> 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY. } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5425 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 49, 50 | 40 | $?$ | $?$ | 5426 |
| 84 | 50 | 41 | 1 | 4 | Possession of <br> H. V. Hilprecht. |
| 85 | 51 | 41 | 1 | 12 | 5340 |
| 86 | 51, 52 | 41 | 1 | 12 | 5427 |
| $86^{a}$ | 70, 71 | 41 | $2(7)$ | $?$ | 5305 |
| 87 | 52, 53 | 41 | 3 | 24(?) | 5308 |
| 88 | 53 | 41 | 3 | 10 | 5306 |
| 89 | 53, 54 | 41 | 4 | 10. | Possession of Mr. С. H. Clark. |
| 90 | 54 | 41 | 6 | 1 | 5428 |
| 91 | 54, 55 | 41 | 6 | 17 | 5429 |
| 92 | 55 | 41 | 6 | 20 | 5430 |

$\begin{array}{llll}55,56 & 41 & 6 & 20\end{array}$

## Description.

Three slight cracks. Small piece of U. R. corner of O . broken out, otherwise well preserved, 6.63 $\times 7.63 \times 3.3$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +1 (Lo. E) +14 (R.) $=29$ li. One seal impr. each on L., R. and Lo. E. Impr. of two seal rings on U.E. Cf. Pl. X, No. 18 and Pl. XI, No. 21.
U. R. corner broken off. Small portions chipped off, $6.7 \times 7.7 \times 3$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) $+9($ R. $)=25$ li. Four seal impr. on Rev., and one each on L., R. and U. E.
Cracked, otherwise in fine state of preservation, 6.4 $+7.05 \times 2.5$. Inser. $9(\mathrm{O})+.7(\mathrm{R})=.16 \mathrm{li}$. Two thumbmarks on O. One seal impr. each on L., Lo. and U. E.
In fine state of preservation, $6.7 \times 7.4 \times 2.9$. Inser. 13 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +11 (R. $)+1$ (U. E.) $=$ 28 li. Six thumbmarks on L. E.
R. E. and large portion of Lo. end broken off, 6.2 $\times 6.8$ (fr.) $\times 2.8$. Inser. 13 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +10 (R.) +1 (U. E. $)=26$ li. Five thumbmarks on L. E.
U. L. corner damaged, several portions on $O$. and R. chipped off, $7.8 \times 9.6 \times 3.35$. Inscr. 20 ( O .) $+4($ Lo. E. $)+11$ (R. $)=35$ li. Remains of two seal impr., one each on L. E. and R. Thumbmark on U. E.
A large portion of the upper half of $O$. chipped off. Lo. R. corner of Rev. slightly damaged, $6.9 \times$ $9 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 10 ( O.$)+7$ (R.) $=17 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E.
Two small pieces chipped off, otherwise in fine state of preservation, $6.8 \times 8.5 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 16 ( $O$.) $+9(\mathrm{R})=.25 \mathrm{li}$.
In fine state of preservation, $6.75 \times 8.7 \times 3.2$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +9 (R.) $=18$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on L. E., and the impr. of a seal ring on $R$. E.
Cracked. U. L. corner broken off, a few passages chipped off, $5.9 \times 7.3 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 5 (O.) + $5($ R. $)=10$ li. Seal impr. on R. E.
Several cracks. Lo. R. corner broken out, $5.2 \times 6.4$ $\times 2.3$. Inscr. 9 (O.) $+5(\mathrm{R})=.14 \mathrm{li}$.
Cracked. Small portions of O. and R. chipped off, $5.1 \times 6.2 \times 2.45$. Inscr. $10(0)+.7($ R. $)=17 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. one on R., one on U. E. Three thumbmarks on L. E.
In fine state of preservation, $4.8 \times 5.4 \times 2$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +7 (R.) $=19$ li.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Text. } \\ 94 \end{gathered}$ | Plate. 56 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year. } \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MONTH. } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Day. <br> 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5431 \end{gathered}$ | Descripition. <br> Fr. of a tablet, $6.3 \times 8 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +5 (R.) $=18$ li. Remains of a seal impr. on R. Two thumbmarks on L. E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $94^{\text {a }}$ | 71, 72 | 41 | 6 | 23 | 5441 | Cracked. R. E. and U. part of R. wanting, $6.5 \times$ $8.5 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +6 (R.) $=17$ li. Two seal impr. on R. Thumbmark on L. E. |
| 95 | 57 | 41 | 6 | 24 | 5317 | In fine state of preservation. Small portion of R. E. chipped off, $6 \times 7.5 \times 2.2$. Inscr. 12 (O.) + 5 (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=18 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on R. Four thumbmarks on L. E. |
| 96 | 57, 58 | 41 | 6 | 25 | 5432 | Cracked. Several small portions of O. chipped off, $6.2 \times 7.9 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 13 (O.) $\times 5$ (R.) +1 (U. E.) $=19$ li. Seal impr. on R. Four thumbmarks on L. E. |
| 97 | 58 | 41 | 6 | 25 | 5335 | In fine state of preservation, $5.2 \times 6.5 \times 2.4$. Inscr. $9(\mathrm{O})+$.8 (R.) $=17$ li. Thumbmark on L. E. |
| 98 | 58, 59 | 41 | 6 | 25 | 5433 | Large portion of R . side broken out, $5.2 \times 6.3 \times$ 2.5. Inscr. $8(\mathrm{O})+.7(\mathrm{R})=.15 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on U. E. Thumbmark on O . |
| 99 | 59 | 41 | 7 | 4 | 5434 | Cracked. Large portion on L. side of $\mathbf{R}$. chipped off, $7.1 \times 9 \times 2.9$. Inscr. 13 (O.) $+8(\mathrm{R})=$. li. Seal impr. on R. |
| 100 | 59, 60 | 41 | 7 | 7 | 5303 | In fine state of preservation, $5.3 \times 6.55 \times 2.22$. Inser. 10 (O.) +8 (R.) $=18$ li. Two thumbmarks on L. E. |
| 101 | 60, 61 | 41 | 7 | 16 | 5348 | In fine state of preservation, $5.85 \times 6.85 \times 3$. Inscr. $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { (O. })+3 \text { (Lo. E.) }+6 \text { (R.) }+1 \text { (U. E.) }= \\ & 20 \text { li. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 102 | 61 | 41 | 7 | 16 | 5435 | Cracked, considerable portions broken out, $6.92 \times$ $8.68 \times 2.9$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +6 (R.) $=20$ li. Seal impr. one on L., one on Lo. E. Remains of another on $\mathbf{R}$. The rest broken off. |
| 103 | 61, 62 | 41 | 7 | 17 | 5311 | In fine state of preservation. Beginning of a crack, $\begin{aligned} & 5.45 \times 6.5 \times 2.38 . \quad \text { Inser. } 10(0 .)+8(\text { R. })= \\ & 18 \mathrm{li} . \end{aligned}$ |
| 104 | 62 | 41 | 7 | 17 | 5299 | In fine state of preservation, $5 \times 6 \times 2.3$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +7 (R.) $=16 \mathrm{li}$. |
| 105 | 62, 63 | 41 | 7 | 17 | 5260 | In fine state of preservation, $4.9 \times 6.2 \times 2.4$. Inscr. $9(\mathrm{O} .)+6(\mathrm{R} .)=15 \mathrm{li} .$ |
| 106 | 63 | 41 | 7 | 22 | 5436 | Cracked. Small portions broken out, $6.25 \times 8 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +7 (R.) $=17 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. one on U., one on L., one on R. E., two on Lo. E. Two thumbmarks on Rev. |
| 107 | 63, 64 | 41 | 8 | 6 | 5437 | Cracked. Large portion of L. Lo. corner wanting. Other smaller pieces chipped off, $6.8 \times 8.6 \times$ 3.25. Inscr. 14 (O.) +8 (R.) $=22$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E., one each on L., R. and Lo. E. |
| 108 | 64, 65 | 41 | 9 | 12 | 5438 | Cracked. Large portions chipped off, $6 \times 7.6 \times$ |



Text. Plate. Year. Month. Day.
12,1
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## Description.

wine, lamb, flour) from half a field, embodying an obligation to account to his master and to the son of the latter for the payment made to him. Cf. Pl. 70, No. 66 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
U. E. (No. 12) and L. E. (No. 13) of a baked clay tablet, one impr. of a seal cyl. on each. Contents of tablet: Receipt of seven Persians for rent from their fields ( $q u s h \hat{a}(i)$. Cf. Pl. XI, No. 20 (R ) and Pl. 45, No. 74.
R. E. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of two seal rings and a thumbmark on R. E. Contents of tablet: Hire of herds of sheep to a shepherd. Cf. Pl. IX, No. 16 (Lo. E.) and Pl. 1, No. 1.
R. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of a seal cylinder and a seal ring on R. Contents of tablet: Receipt of an officer and a slave for a year's total tax on certain fields (qashâti), embodying an obligation to account to their superior for the payment made to them. Cf. Pl. 45 , No. 75.
Lo. E. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of two seals and a seal ring on Lo. E. Cf. Pl. IX, No. 14 and Pl. 1, No. 1.
Baked clay tablet, O. and R. One seal impr. on O., two seal impr. on R. Contents: Receipt of two officers of certain canals. Cf. Pl. 47, No. 80.
U. E. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of two seal rings on U. E. Contents of tablet: An officer's receipt for taxes (paid in money and products) on $9 \frac{1}{2}$ fields ( $\left.q a s h a \hat{t} t i\right)$ rent to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, with the statement that this officer remains responsible to Bêl-nâdin-shumu for any claims against these fields. Cf. Pl. XI, No. 21 (Lo. E.) and Pl. 48, No. 82.
R. of a baked clay tablet. Jmpr. of a seal cyl. on R. Contents of tablet: An official's receipt for certain taxes (products, lambs and soldiers), embodying an obligation to account to his superior for the payment made to him. Cf. PI. 31, No. 50.
R. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of a seal cyl. on R. Cf. Pl. IX, Nos. 12, 13 (U. and L. E.) and Pl. 45, No. 74.
Lo. E. of a baked clay tablet. Impr. of a seal cyl. on Lo. E. Cf. Pl. X, No. 18 (U. E.) and Pl. 48, No. 82.
Terracotta figurine, h. 12.2. Head of a bearded man in the style of the Assyrian winged lions and bulls with human heads. Ca. Original in M. I. O., Constantinople.


## Descriftion.

Frag. of a terracotta figurine, h. 8.3. Bêl with a pointed headdress, and with a curved weapon in his right hand. A scarf passing over his left shoulder and under his right arm adorns his breast. Ca. Original in M. I. O., Constantinople.
Terracotta figurine, lower end broken off, h. 12.2 Bêl with a flat headdress, and with a thunderbolt in each hand. Ca. Original in M. I. O., Constantinople.
Frag. of a bas-relief in terracotta, $8.5 \times 7.6 \times 1.6$. Bêl with a low cap, and with a trident in his left hand. Ca. Original in M.I. O., Constantinople.
Frag. of a bas-relief in terracotta, $7 \times 6 \times 1.6$. Bêl with a high conical headdress and a long curl reaching to the shoulder (observe the artist's naïve way of representing the ears), holding a mace with both hands. Ca. Original in M. I. O., Constantinople.

Bas-relief in terracotta, $8.2 \times 11.9 \times 2.1$. Humped bull.
Frag. of a bas-relief in terracotta, $5.3 \times 8.35 \times 1.92$. wild hog.
Frag. of a terracotta figurine, h. 5.7. Two female musicians, the one playing a drum, the other a double flute.
Terracotta flgurine, lower end broken off, h. 10.1. Two lovers: young man and maiden embracing and kissing each other.
Terracotta figurine, a portion at the lower end broken off, h. 13.5. Two lovers: a young man with his arm around a maiden's shoulder.
Terracotta figurine, h. 8.2. Etana lying on the eagle's back with arms around its neck. Etana's head and the bird's bill are broken off. Originally the figurine was covered with a chalk paste by which the artist was enabled to work out the details with greater accuracy and to produce a better effect of the whole group, which was also colored. Traces of red and green preserved.
Terracotta figurine, h. 7.7.: Baby rattle in the shape of a chicken.
Terracotta figurine, with white enamel, h. 4 , length 7.2, width 4.5. Dog with puppies. One puppy wanting.
Sarcophagi in terracotta, enameled and plain, as found in sitic:
Section of the excavations in the upper strata of the temple enclosure. Soutbeast side.
Fortifications (large wall, round tower and rooms) in the later temple enclosure. Southeast side.
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OBVERSE.


REVERSE.

THREE-YEAR LEASE OF FIELDS WITH SEEDS AND FACILITIES FOR IRRIGATION,


OBVERSE.


REVERSE
2
GUARANTEE THAT AN EMERALD SET IN A GOLD RING WILL NOT FALL OUT FOR 20 YEARS.

3. MORTGAGE OF AN ORCHARD AS SECURITY FOR PAYMENT OF DEBT,
4. RECEIPT FOR PARTIAL PAYMENT OF RENT ON FIELD.


OBVERSE,


REVERSE,
5
SIXTY-YEAR LEASE OF LANDS AND BUILDINGS FROM A PERSIAN.


OBVERSE.


REVERSE.
6
STATEMENT OF CERTAIN TAXES RECEIVED BY A SLAVE FOR HIS PERSIAN MASTER.


OBVERSE,


REVERSE
7
LEASE OF FIELDS AND OTHER PROPERTY BY A SLAVE, EXPENSES AND PROFITS TO BE SHARED.


OBVERSE.


REVERSE.
8
OFFICER'S RECEIPT FOR A YEAR'S TAX ON SEVEN ESTATES.


10


11
BABYLONIAN CUNEIFORM TABLETS WITH ARAMAIC DOCKETS,


BAKED CLAY TABLETS WITH SEAL IMPRESSIONS,


OBVERSE,


REVERSE



BAKED CLAY TABLETS WITH SEAL IMPRESSIONS



28

BAS-RELIEFS IN TERRA COTTA,
27. HUMPED BULL.
28. WILD HOG,


FIGURINES IN TERRA COTTA.
29. Musicians,

30, 31. Lovers.


FIGURINES IN TERRA COTTA
32. Etana on the Eagle's Back. B3. Baby Rattle in the Shape of a Chicken. 34, Dog with Puppies.


35
BABYLONIAN SARCOPHAGI IN SITU,
Nippur.


36
BABYLONIAN SARCOPHAGI IN SITU.
Nippur,


37

BABYLONIAN SARCOPHAGI IN SITU,
Nippur.


38
EXCAVATIONS IN THE UPPER STRATA OF THE TEMPLE ENCLOSURE, SOUTH-EAST SIDE.

Nippur.

PL. XX


39
FORTIFICATIONS IN THE LATER TEMPLE ENCLOSURE, SOUTH-EAST SIDE,

Nippur.

## Corrections and Additions.

vol. IX.
Type.
The following typographical errors need correction :
P. 20, li. 13, irrotc comma after "note."
P. 27, li. 9, read Tàdahu-Nabì instead of Iādahुu-Nabu.
P. 27, 1i, 9, read Nabit-haqabi instead of Nabuthaqabi.



P. 31, note, li. 2, read $a-d i-i$ instead of $a d i-i$.
P. 31, note, li. 6, read ka-a-mu instead of $k a$ a mu.
P. 39, note, li. 3 from end, read $u$-mar-raq-qa-am-ma instead of $\mathfrak{i}-\mathrm{mar-raq-qa} a m-m a$.
P. 42, note, li. 3, read ummannu instead of ummaunu.
P. 42, note, li. 4, read zêri id-dash-shu-nu-ti-ma instead of zêriidd-dash-shu-nu-ti-ma.
P. 44, note, li. 13 (end), read $h_{s} h u$ instead of $h_{s} h u$.
P. 45, note, li. 2, read amêlu-tú instead of amêlu túu.
P. 45, note, li. 2, read $\hat{U} m u(-m u)$ instead of $U m u$ ( $m u$ ).
P. 45, note, line 3, read shu'átu instead of shu'âtu.

Plates.
Owing to the Editor's absence, the following corrections and additions could not be made in the plates without considerable delay in publication :

P1. 1, No. 1, lines 4 and 17 , add the marginal note "Mistake of the scribe for V" (referring to the sixth cuneiform sign of each line).
Pl, 6, No. 9, li. 4, to the fourth sign from the end add the marginal note " ma mistake of the scribe for $i s ̣ u$ (read isuma-shi-bुu)."
Pl. 11, No. 17, the numbers $10,15,20$, are each one line too high.
Pl. 14, No. 23, li. 14, middle, add the marginal note "the sign $M E S H$ omitted by the scribe after $n i$ (read $h_{s} h i$-sha-an-nipl., cf. No. 12:5, 8)."
Pl. 18, No. 29, read li. 30 instead of li. 50.
P1. 18, No. 30, 1i. 11, end, read $l u-T i i-i l$ instead of $l i k-k i-i l$.
Pl. 24, No. 39, 1i. 5, end, read IVkon instead of ITIkan.
P1. 29, No. 46, li. 11, place a small circle over $k i$ in the cuneiform text and a comma after $d i$ in the marginal note.
Pl. 43, No. 71, li. 4, end, read m.d.Bêl( $=L$ )-nâdin-shumu instead of mllu-nâdin-shumu (cf. Pl. VIII, No. 9, 1i. 4).
Pl. 53, No. 88, li. 4, to the third sign from the end add the marginal note "one perpendicular wedge omitted by the scribe (read ịshtênit(-it))."
Pl. 62, No. 104, li. 10, end, add the marginal note " $\alpha p l u$ sha omitted by the scribe."
Pl. 70, No. $66^{\text {a }}$, li. 9 , place a small circle after $u$, adding the marginal note " ma omitted by the scribe (read ma-kiv)."
Pl. 71, No. $86^{\text {a }}$, lines 14 and 21, place a small circle after $2 \% 00$, adding the marginal note "gur omitted by the scribe."


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To be published later in Series C of the expedition work. Cf. also my articles in the $Z . A$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Once abbreviated into Bèl-nâdin (19:12), unless a mere mistake of the scribe.
    ${ }^{2}$ A certain Qud̂, only once mentioned as a witness (48:23, U. E.), is also called aphu shut Murashî. But there is no reason for regarding his father as identical with our Murashê above.
    ${ }^{3}$ It would be difficult to explain the presence of these four tablets among documents which exclusively relate business transactions of but one family, unless certain persons mentioned in these four texts were connected with this family as $h_{a r d u, ~ h p a q d u, ~ h m a ̂ r ~ b i t i ~ o r ~ t h e ~ l i k e . ~ F o r ~ a l l ~ t h e ~ f o u r ~ d o c u m e n t s ~ s u c h ~ a ~ c o n n e c t i o n ~ c a n ~ b e ~ p r o v e d ~ o r ~}^{\text {o }}$ made very probable. Bêl-supè-muhur, who, according to 1:2, was hpaqdu sho Arsham, appears later as hardu or
     hmâr b̂̂ti sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu (68: 1, 5, 8); Ribât uplu sha Bêl-erba (43: 2, 8) is probably the same person as hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu (52: 1, 13, R ) ; and a close personal relation between Mârânu aplusha Tddina-Bêl (42 : 3) and Bêt-nâdin-shumu aplu sha Murashû results from the phrase sha qât in 66:2.
    ${ }^{4}$ His name does not occur in Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 42, 43, 46, 47, 54, 61, 73, 78, 87, 90, 92, 108, 109.
    ${ }^{5}$ Bêt-nadin-shumu's name is not found in any of the four documents dated before the twentieth year of Artaxerxes I., while Bêl-hâtin appears active in two of them, and the latter's son, Murash $\hat{u}$, in one (Const. Ni. 525).
    ${ }^{6}$ Nos. $2,3,5,12$ are the only tablets which show Bêl- $\begin{aligned} & \text { âtin actively engaged in business. }\end{aligned}$

[^2]:    task to show the entire correctness of my own theory. This will be done in my Introduction to Part 3, now in course of preparation.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also my remarks in I $H$, Part 2, p. 40, note 2.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preceded by the determ. she and denoting a certain kind of grain. For sheGIG-BA=kipàtu cf. V. R. $39: 28$ c. d. (Delitzsch, Assyrisches Handwörterbuch, p. 317 a , reads kibàtu in view of $K .40$, col. II, 52.) and $K 166,12-13$
     53 e. f., where KU(ZID)GIG-BA=musarû marus. Of. also Strassmaicr, Cyrus, 59, 54, and B. A., III, p. 436, 1. 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. I $H$. . Part 1, p, 12, note 8 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ I distinguish between $\mathrm{ZUN}=$ coll. and $\mathrm{MESH}=p l$., for the former is generally used as a mere det. after singular nouns with a collective meaning (e.g. "wool," "food," "dust"), the latter is the regular plural sign. Owing to the close relation between a plural and a collective noun, ZUN takes frequently the place of MESH.
    ${ }^{2}$ That the scribe intended this group for 162 is plain from an addition of the single items. The scribe, however, made a mistake twice in adding the units, for the total sum is neither 1097 (li. 4) nor 1099 (li. 17), but 1095. The numeral 60 is written $6 \times 10$ in $65: 13 ; 102: 3$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. the phrases ina isumashîhu (hi) sha Ipi (72:6), Ipi Iqa(4:5), etc.; ina iṣumashîhu sha B. (17:5; 19 : $6-7$ and often) ; ina isumasht̂hu rab̂ sha $B .\left(86^{a}: 15,22 ; 95: 6\right)$, the frequent ina isumashîhu sha ú-si-ish (shesh)tum sha B. (e.g., 29:21 f.; 52 ${ }^{\text {a }}: 4,7 ; 65: 11$, cf. p. 40), ina isumashihu tarṣi(u) sha B. ("geaichtes Maass," $32^{a}: 6$; $58: 7$ ). Cf. also ina iṣumushîhu sha ku-ru-ub-bu, Const. Ni. 496:6; 587:6; 603:6 f.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes the imperative is also found, if the third element is napishtim, cf. Nabut-u-sur-napishtim(-tim), Peiser, Babyl. Verträge, 69:14.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ So far as I remember this correct interpretation of the name ' $\Omega \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \nu \bar{s}$ s goes back to Jensen. The exact place where he speaks about it I cannot at present recall.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. especially Eduard Meyer, Die Entstehung des Judenthums, pp. 8 ff,

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Hebrew בַּרְקוֹט (Ezra 2:53, Neh. 7:55), which is identical with ברקס (Euting 861) and the Assyrian Barqûsu (cf. Delitzsch, Prologomena, p. 242), also contains the god Dוק, for whom cf. Baethgen, Beiträge zur Semitischen Religionsgeschichte, p. 11. The first element is possibly not the Aramean word for "son." (Gray, l. c.,
     (Transactions of S. B. A., Vol. VI, p. 433). Hommel, abandoning Müller's erroneous explanation of Euting 861 as Bilqis (defended in his Aufsätze und Abhandlungen, p. 35) recently arrived at similar results.
    ${ }^{2}$ Point
    L3 Final $n$ is frequently dissolved in a spiritus lenis, cf. Shahrin(i) and Shahri', Miniamin(i) and Miniame, Zabin (i) and Zab̂̀, Hamada', etc.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Written $a m e ́ l u d a-a-t a-b a-p a(82: L . E$.$) , améluda-ta-bar-ra (84:11, Lo. E.), amêtuda-a-ta-ba-ri (107: 15), ameluda-$ ta bar-ri (83:18, R.). Identical with רתבריN (Daniel 3:2).
    ${ }^{2}$ Written améluus(z)-ta-ar-bari(102:8, O. Lo. E. \& R. E , Lo. E.), us(z)-ta-ar-bar (=UD, not pa)-ri (102: 16) $u s(z)$-tar-ba-ri (1:29, 30; 50: 11), us(z)-tar-ba-ru (28:4)

    Several times we find ba-ru (cf. . . qi-me ba-ru gam-mar, 5:1), ba-ra (il-ki qi-me sha sharib ba-ra u mimma na-da-na-a-tú sha bît sharri, 95:11f.), ba-ar-ra (XVI ma-na kaspu il-ki gamrûti qi-me ba-ar-ra й mim-ma na-da-na-a-tú sha bêt sharri, 82:1f.), bar-ra ([I]ma-na kaspu hṣ̂̀b sharri qi-me sha sharri bar-ra ü mim-ma na- [da-na-a-tíi] sha bît sharri il-ki gam-ru-tu, 83: 1f., 12f.) or $b a-a-r i(13: 1 ; 23: 1 ; 44: 2)$ in connection with qime "flour" and "all kinds of gifts for the royal palace." This word does not seem to be Semitic. Is it Persian?
    ${ }^{3}$ Written pi-ti-pa-ba-ga (15:4, 8, 16, L. E.).
    ${ }^{4}$ The opposite case happened in No. 48, where a Persian by the name of Mitradâta married a Babylonian wife, ERkur-bêlitt, daughter of Bêl-balâtu-ittannu. The fruit of this marriage was a son, who received the Persian name Baga'mîri.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apil-shu in 13: 11 is a mistake of the scribe for apil-shu sha or aplu sha. ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Gray, l. c., p. 5, Note 2.

[^10]:    nadônu maḩâru (cf. Turkish alysh werish, "Buying and selling," and the similar phrases in Arabic and Persian), "Selling and buying," i. e., "business," "Handel, Geschaft" (where also the more important word stands first in Assyrian). Cf. for our expression, dinu u ragâmu, No. $69: 9$ (7:19) and Peiser, Babyl. Verträge, CXIII, 60 (Darius). In the latter two passages this phrase is used for the more common single word rugummu.

[^11]:    Annotations: No. 5, L. 5. SE-an=iddan (for íddannu(i), Relat. clause of which Bêl-nadin-shumu is the subject), unless an be regarded as a mistake of the scribe for na (二nadna, "which has been paid"). L. 7. ana and ina adconnishu, $i$. e., "at the fixed time," cf. the similar expression $\hat{u m u}$ ( $\quad m u$ ) ma-la kaspu'a ina pâni.shu (66: 4 f .), "when the silver loaned to him is due" ("wenn die Zeit orfüllt ist," i.e., "der Termin für die Zahlung des Silbers fällig ist"). L. 8. arhi, "per month," more common is sha arhu (66:5 and Tallquist, $l$. c., p. 10, li. 8).

[^12]:    Annotations: No. 6, L. 1. libittucoll., not libnâti. Cf. the instructive passage Strassmaier, Nabon. 256, li. 1 (1I $M$ libittucoll.) with li. 6 ( $I I M$ li-bit-tum). As stated above, p. 20, note $1, Z U N$ is principally a mere determinative after nouns in singular with a collective meaning. It is also frequently placed after substantives like alpu, "ox" ( $67: 10$ ), libittu, "brick," which in themselves are no nouns with a collective meaning, but often occur in a larger number (herd, school, etc.). Substantives commonly counted, such as mana, shiqlu, alpu (49:2), libittu, etc., stand in singular after numbers, as in Hebrew, except they are to be taken individually (IV alpupl. =IV alpê, 35:8). L. 6. ina ki-si i-man-nu-u' i-na-an-din-u', "they shall count them with the kisu" or "at the kisu"? As to the writing of $k i-s i$ another partly effaced passage is of importance (Strassmaier, Cyrus 255 : 10 f. , left untranslated by Demuth in B. A., III, p. 432), which is to be restored as follows: ina[ki]-is-su i-man-ni-[ma] a-na mArdi-dBêl [i-nam-din]. The precise meaning of the word kissu must remain undetermined for the present, it can, however, scarcely be separated from another word used in connection with bricks, Strassmaier, Nabonidus 264: 1 f.: IV shiqlu" kaspu a-na ka-si-e sha libittucoll. In view of such expressions as ina hुaṣari inamdin, "he shall deliver (the dates) at the storehouse," I am inclined to see in kissu the place where bricks are delivered, counted and stored, $i$ e., "the brick shed," deriving it from kas $\hat{u}$, "to cover." All sun-dried bricks had to be protected from destruction by rain and apparently were stored under a shed in the same way as they are stored with us before they are baked.

[^13]:    Annotations: $\mathbf{N o . 1 0}{ }^{\text {b }}$, L. 1. $S H A G-E N$, an ideogram frequently occurring in our inscriptions with an unknown Assyrian pronunciation. It is used in three different ways. 1. It is found in contracts dealing with the lease of

[^14]:    $\dagger A b d u$ is loanword in Assyrian.
    $\ddagger$ Name of a place in Babylonia, apparently called after a person, cf. alu m. Hambari, etc.

[^15]:    $\dagger$ For Banî(ê) by the side of Bânï̈a, cf. Ba-la-si-i (Delitzsch, Assyrisches Handwörterbueh, p. 176b), Kab-ti-i (Strassmaier, Nabuchodonosor 251: 9), Ahu-li-ti-' (q. v.), La-ma-ni' (q.v.), etc., and even Kambuzî (Strassmaier, Cambyses 85 :22|100:2) and Mārê (Pa. Mārjâ). Cf. also du Galiia and alu Galê.
    $\ddagger$ Cf. Vogüé, $l . c, 34$.
    $\S$ The Palmyrene form of the common Semitic god is Bôl. But he was also worshiped under the name of Bêl, $B \bar{\eta} \lambda o s$, cf. Waddington, Insoriptions 2606a). This latter cult was introduced from Babylonia. Cf. Baethgen, $l$. c., p. 86.
    || Vogüé, l. c., 2.
    TIn the transliteration of Bêl the following rule has been observed : Bêl=aEN,Bêl${ }^{1}=a E N-L I L, B e l^{2}=a L$.

[^16]:    $\dagger K A K$, cf. Bêl-ib-ni (Strassmaier, Oyrus 334 : 7; Peiser, Babyl. Verträge, $69: 10$ ).

[^17]:    $\dagger$ Cf. Strassmaier, Cambyses 303:14 (Mardule-mu-bal-lit).
    $\ddagger$ Cf. Ninib-na-din-shumu.

[^18]:    $\dagger$ Cf. Bi-ib-ba-nu, Strassmaier, Cambyses 257:17.
    $\ddagger$ Clermont-Ganneau, Antiquités et inscriptions inédites de Palmyre (in Révue Archêologique, 1886, juillet-août), p. 21 .
    § Cf. Dan-ni-a (Strassmaier, Inschriften zu Liverpool 74:10) and Dan-ni-e-a (passim.)

[^19]:    $\dagger$ Cf. III $R ., 69: 14$ e.
    $\ddagger$ Cf. Hommel, Altisraelitische Überlieferung, p. 196.
    § nî̀-lindar, perhaps "My god may help" (= $=$ (He. or Ar.) - N.
    $\|$ Cf. Nabì-qa-ta-ri, 23:8. N. regards my explanation as doubtful, qātārā probably being loanword in Ar.

[^20]:    $\dagger$ Cf. the well-known name of Egibi, doubtless derived from the same Aramaic stem $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$.
    $\ddagger$ Cf. It-ti-Bêl-lu-um-mir, Strassmaier, Cyrus 188: 36; Cambyses 68:21.

[^21]:    $\dagger$ Written $I G I+2$ perpendicalar wedges．
    $\ddagger$ For the change of $工$ to $m$ before $n$ ，cf．Miniamîni and Miniame as over against
    $\$$ Sf．the name Shôkin－lalh（［＂A deity is］establishing abundance＂），written SHA－LA－LA（Strassmaier，Oamb． 287：12，16）．Delitzsch，Prol．，p．200．Strassmaier and Ziemer（B．A．III，p．473）read Shalala．Cf．Shâkin－lalìnu． $\|$ Against Peiser，who proposed to read the name Lû－balta（Babylonische Verträge，p．235）．
    －In view of names like Man－nu－ki－Rammân，it is possible but not probable to read Man－nu－ki－Ia（二dIà）．

[^22]:    $\dagger=N \hat{a} ' \dot{i} d t a=N \hat{a} ' i d a t a$, written $I$.
    $\ddagger$ Cf. Vogüé, l. c., 20, 36, 48, etc.
    §Cf. Euting, Epigraphische Miscellen, 18, 52, and Nöldeke, Beiträge zur Kenntniss der aramäischen Dialecte (in Z. D. M. G., Vol. XXIV), p. 92.
    $\|$ Cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 26, note.

[^23]:    $\dagger$ In view of the writing Bêl-ú-pah-SAR (q.v.), which can only be read Bêl-úpah-hir, I reject Delitzsch's ú-pah-har (Assyrisches Handioorterbuch, p. 520 b), substituting the reading aboye.
    $\ddagger$ Unless by mistake the scribe omitted a perpendicular wedge between $n \hat{u} r$ and $m \hat{a} t i$, in which case the name would be identical with the following. But cf. names like Shamash-nu-úr-ma-tim, Pinches, Peek, p. 55.
    § Cf. also the previous name.
    \|If the name is to be read as restored above (Nusku-nâdin).
    T Cf. Lînûh-libbi-ili, Strassmaier, Cambyses 268: 15.

[^24]:    $\dagger B I$ and $S h a r r u$ are made identical in No. 85 ; cf. 1.8 ( $Z a-b i-n i$ ) with 1.28 (sharru).
    $\ddagger$ Cf, hrab-shi-ish-ku (Strassmaier, Cyrus 74: 8).

