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A microreactor has been developed for in situ, high-energy x-ray diffraction investigations of reaction process between carbon dioxide, brines and rocks. Our design is an evolution of a previous existing reaction cell developed by Diefenbacher et al [1]. Several changes and improvements have been made to the new cell design: (i) the reactor chamber will be accessible through two points, whereby any combination of gases, liquids or fluids can be injected and investigated, (ii) the CO$_2$ flow and pressure will be controlled through a supercritical fluid pump which allows the cell to operate under a well-controlled pressure and flow conditions, and (iii) sintered polycrystalline diamond will be initially employed as X-ray transparent window material. The microreactor should allow investigation of reactions in the rock-brine-CO$_2$ system in the pressure-temperature range of 400 bar and 600°C. The new microreactor system will increase our capabilities to perform in situ investigations of the reaction processes in
between rock-brine and CO$_2$, relevant for potential carbon storage applications in geological formations.
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1.1 - Motivation

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is a major greenhouse gas that contributes to global warming (Friedmann, 2007). It occurs naturally in processes such as photosynthesis and respiration (2010). Carbon dioxide accounts for 0.03 vol. % of the atmosphere (White et al., 2003). CO$_2$ can also be produced through the combustion of fossil fuels (2010). The use of fossil fuels adds about 600 billion metric tons of CO$_2$ per year and may remain in the atmosphere for as long as 200 years (McKibbin and Wilcoxen, 2002). The largest source of CO$_2$ emissions is power generation, followed by transportation, and industrial exhausts (Anderson and Newell, 2004). Of direct carbon emissions, about 40% are from
the generation of electricity, 32% from transportation and 15% from industry (Anderson and Newell, 2004).

Atmospheric carbon dioxide is increasing every year (e.g. see Fig. 1.1.1) (Solomon et al., 2009). At present day, the carbon dioxide concentration is at 394 ppm (Tans and Keeling, 2013). Figure 1.1.1 shows the steady increase of the mean atmospheric CO₂ concentration at Mauna Loa Observatory Hawaii, in the time period from 1958 to 2013. C. David Keeling of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography first took these measurements in March of 1958 at a facility of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Priem, 2013). Since May of 1974, NOAA started its own recordings of CO₂ measurements, and these have been conducted in parallel with those made by Scripps (Tans and Keeling). According to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report, “Carbon dioxide is the most anthropogenic greenhouse gas” and “Most of the observed increase in global average temperatures since the mid-20th century is very likely due to observed increase in anthropogenic greenhouse gas concentrations” (Tans and Keeling). For this reason, technological solutions, such as, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), are being investigated worldwide as possible solutions to decrease the CO₂ emissions in the atmosphere (McKibben, 2007).

1.2 - Carbon Capture and Storage

CCS is a technology that prevents carbon dioxide emissions from entering the Earth’s atmosphere by three main processes. The primary involves capturing the gas at large combustion sources, transporting it to a storage site, and storing the CO₂ in order to isolate it from Earth’s atmosphere and environment (McKibben, 2007).
1.2.1 - Capture - The most difficult step in CCS is capturing the CO₂ (McKibben, 2007). The gas must be captured from stationary sources such as oil refineries, fossil-fuel-based power generation, iron and steel plants, etc. (Singh, 2013). Carbon dioxide can be captured through three different processes: post-combustion capture, pre-combustion capture, and oxy-combustion (White et al., 2003). Post-combustion capture occurs after the combustion of fossil fuels and the carbon dioxide is captured from the flue gas (Singh, 2013), whereas in pre-combustion capture the fuel is reacted with oxygen in air, or with steam, to produce hydrogen and carbon monoxide (Olajire, 2010). The pre-combustion process is known as gasification (Olajire, 2010). In this process coal is gasified with oxygen to produce a mixture mainly composed of H₂ and CO (Figueroa et al., 2008), and this mixture is passed through a shift converter, in which the
CO reacts with steam to produce CO$_2$ and more H$_2$ (Olajire, 2010). The CO$_2$ is then separated and the H$_2$ is used as fuel in a gas turbine combined-cycle plant (Olajire, 2010). Oxy-combustion is when the fuel is burned in a stream of oxygen that contains little or no nitrogen (Edge et al., 2011). Figure 1.2.1 is a diagram that illustrates the basic concept of the three different capture processes.

Post-combustion capture mainly is used in coal-fueled power generators that are air fired (Figueroa et al., 2008). Pre-combustion capture can be used in gasification plants and oxy-combustion can be applied in new plants or by modifying existing plants (Figueroa et al., 2008).

1.2.1.1 - **Advantages of different capture systems** - The advantage of using post-combustion capture is it can be applied to majority coal-fired power plants and it can be retrofitted to existing units that generate two-thirds of the CO$_2$ emissions of power (Figueroa et al., 2008). Pre-combustion capture is advantageous because the CO$_2$ concentration is high and the pressure is elevated above atmospheric pressure (Figueroa et al., 2008). This results in an increased driving force for separation, more technologies available for separation and the potential for reduction in compression costs/loads (Figueroa et al., 2008). In oxy-fuel combustion the product minimizes sequestration costs due to the CO$_2$ concentration in flue gas being very high (Palmer, 2012). During the combustion of oxy-fuel, over 95 vol% purity of flue gas is recycled and is used for combusting a gas purely carbon dioxide and water vapor after which the gas is ready to be stored once purified and compressed (Scheffknecht et al., 2011).

1.2.1.2 - **Disadvantages of different capture systems** - The disadvantages of post-combustion capture is that the flue gas stream contains a weak CO$_2$ concentration.
and is at ambient pressure causing a low CO$_2$ partial pressure (Figueroa et al., 2008). The low CO$_2$ partial pressure leads to significantly higher performance or circulation volume required for high capture levels; thus the CO$_2$ will be produced at lower pressures in conflict to sequestration requirements (Figueroa et al., 2008). One barrier to implementation of pre-combustion capture arises because this process is applicable mainly to new plants, as few gasification plants are currently in operation (Figueroa et al., 2008). Also there are barriers to commercialize the application of gasification due to availability new plants, cost of equipment, and requirements of extensive supporting systems (Figueroa et al., 2008). Employing oxy-combustion capture has its downsides also. When it comes to this process, there is little experience and therefore developing this technique will likely be financially expensive and the risk will be high (Palmer, 2012).

**1.2.1.3 - Examples of Separation technologies of carbon capture systems** - The most well-established method for capturing carbon dioxide is post combustion chemical absorption method with alkanolamine solvents (Palmer, 2012). When amines react with carbon dioxide, water-soluble compounds are formed (Arachchige and Melaaren, 2013). Alkanolamines can be classified as primary, secondary or tertiary amines (Figueroa et al., 2008). Monoethanolamine or MEA is categorized as a primary amine; it is the most applicable amine because of low partial pressure of CO$_2$ in the flue gas (Arachchige and Melaaren, 2013). A few other examples of separation technologies that can be used during post combustion are carbonate-based systems in which a soluble carbonate reacts with CO$_2$ or aqueous ammonia where ammonia-based wet scrubbing is used (Arachchige and Melaaren, 2013)
Some developmental separation technologies that can be used during pre-combustion capture are integrated gasification combine cycle (IGCC), state-of-the-art physical solvent processes or pre-combustion sorbents (Figueroa et al., 2008). Integrated gasification combine cycle is considered to be a very promising approach (Figueroa et al., 2008). Present IGCC concepts that incorporate CO$_2$ capture give an opportunity for recovering waste heat at levels suitable for district heat production including the usage of classical heat sources [e.g. tap-steam turbine (Figueroa et al., 2008)]. The physical solvent process selectively absorbs the carbon dioxide without a chemical reaction and pre-combustion sorbents, a method involving highly active lithium silicate-based (Li$_4$SiO$_4$) sorbent material for high temperature CO$_2$ removal (Liszka et al., 2013).

An example of a new oxy-combustion separation technology that was designed and
under development by the Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry is an oxy-fuel IGCC power generation system integrated with a combination of CO₂ recovery processing and a semi-closed cycle gas turbine (Figueroa et al., 2008). This new system consists of an oxygen-CO₂ blown gasifier, a hot or dry synthetic gas cleanup system, a semi-closed cycle oxygen-fired gas turbine, and a CO₂ recovery process; a diagram of this system is shown in Figure 1.2.2 (Hasegawa, 2012). Oxy-fuel IGCC could simplify CO₂ recovery systems, reduce station service power, and achieve higher thermal efficiency (Hasegawa, 2012).

Another example of an oxy-combustion system is an oxy-fuel combustion regenerative steam superheater for a power generation system (Hasegawa, 2012). It is based on a previous system called combined cycle power generation system (CCPS) (Pak, 2008). In both systems pure oxygen burns fuel, but the steam superheater utilizes an oxygen-combusting regenerative steam superheater instead of the gas turbine combustor (Pak, 2008).

1.2.2 Transport - Once CO₂ is capture, it must be transported to a storage site (Pak, 2008). The carbon dioxide can be transported via pipelines, ships, railways or trucks (Singh, 2013). The most effective way to transport carbon dioxide is through high-pressure ground pipelines (Singh, 2013). Internationally, there are more than six thousand kilometers of CO₂ transport pipelines in existence (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013). The majority of these pipelines are of no threat to humans because they are located in poorly populated or completely uninhabited areas (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013). New pipelines being planned for carbon dioxide transport will have to travel through densely populated areas and therefore the need to have a risk assessment becomes very
crucial (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013). Once the carbon dioxide is transported, can then be injected into a geological formation since it is one of the different options. There are several different injection techniques that can be used.

1.2.2.1 - Injection Techniques – The injection of CO\textsubscript{2} into deep geological formations involves many similar technologies that have been developed in the oil and gas exploration and production industry (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013). Injection technology, well-drilling technology, computer simulation of storage reservoir dynamics and monitoring techniques from existing applications are being further refined for design and operation of geological storage [specifically, from operations such as Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) or Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS)]. Before CO\textsubscript{2} can be injected and stored into a deep geological formation, it is important to understand the properties and solubility of CO\textsubscript{2} and the local geological and mineralogical setting of the storage site (McKibben, 2007).

1.2.3 Geological Storage - 1.2.3.1 Chemical and Physical Properties of CO\textsubscript{2} - For effective estimation of storage potential we must be able to predict the behavior of the carbon dioxide that is injected into the geosphere in order to understand the conditions required for storage (White et al., 2003). Carbon dioxide exists as a somewhat inactive compound at ambient conditions in the Earth’s atmosphere and at its critical point, 304 K and 7.4 MPa (White et al., 2003). Below the critical point, carbon dioxide can be easily compressed into a liquid, which is how CO\textsubscript{2} is transported (White et al., 2003). Above the critical point, CO\textsubscript{2} exists as a supercritical fluid (White et al., 2003). Supercritical fluids are unable to become liquefied under extreme pressure and
when changing the density this supercritical fluid can transform from gas-like state to a liquid-like state (White et al., 2003). For the purposes of geological storage CO₂ will be gaseous or supercritical because the critical temperature exceeds conditions of the deep geosphere (Gupta and Shim, 2007).

Liquid dense gaseous CO₂ is an excellent solvent for small hydrocarbons and aromatics (White et al., 2003). Therefore, the CO₂ injected into a geological storage site has the ability to draw out small molecules that are trapped within the macromolecular arrangement inside the pore space of the geological media (White et al., 2003). Natural brines are characterized by high salinity, which can possibly impact the solubility of carbon dioxide (White et al., 2003). For example, lower salinity aquifers will have a higher capacity for solubility trapping of CO₂, while higher salinity aquifers will have a lower capacity for solubility trapping (White et al., 2003). These different chemical and physical properties of CO₂ are the stepping-stones defining how and why carbon dioxide interacts with different geological media.

1.2.3.2 - Nature of Trapping/Storage Options - The first chemical reaction with the brine elements upon injection of CO₂ is the dissolution of CO₂ in water (White et al., 2003). When CO₂ dissolves in water, it forms carbonic acid, which lowers the pH level of the solution. Note that as temperatures rise, the pH level will rise, and as pressures rise, the pH level decreases. Increased acidity leads to greater solubility of minerals and in turns leads to increased porosity and permeability of the rock formation. The solubility of carbon dioxide in water depends on the pressure of carbon dioxide and the temperature of the underground water mineralization (White et al., 2003). Some types of interactions
or trapping mechanisms that take place between the geologic media and carbon dioxide are static trapping of residual gas, which is classified as physical trapping. Solubility, ionic, mineral and adsorption trapping are categorized as chemical trapping (Drobek et al., 2008). Another type of trapping method is hydrodynamic (Bachu et al., 2007). CO$_2$ will interact differently with its surroundings depending on the storage option (Bachu et al., 2007).

For example, oil and gas reservoirs, in which stratigraphic and structural trapping can occur before cessation of injection, are not limited by time. Adsorption, which can be applied to coal beds, is not of a temporal nature either (Drobek et al., 2008). However, the investigation of deep saline aquifers is very complex due to the various trapping mechanisms involved that depend on different time scale [specifically, dissolution and mineral precipitation] when it comes to the estimation of storage capacity of CO$_2$ (Bachu et al., 2007).

In general, oil and gas deposits, unmineable coal seams, saline aquifers, organic-rich shales, and basalts have all been suggested as long-term storage sites for carbon dioxide (Bachu et al., 2007). Oil and gas reservoirs in geologic formations are better understood due to the injection techniques such as EOR or EGS, that have been used by the oil and gas industries for many years (Wang et al., 2013). Therefore the best near-term storage options for CCS are depleted oil or gas reservoirs; however, aquifers represent the best long-term option (Anderson and Newell, 2004). In the United States (US), saline aquifers exists within sedimentary basins that contain large storage capacities which them a viable option of geologic carbon sequestration (Anderson and Newell, 2004). Deep saline aquifers are believed to have the most storage potential on
the order of 2,000–20,000 Gt (Breunig et al., 2013). Although there are many factors that influence the storage of carbon dioxide, these options are very appealing; but there is more than one solution of CCS.

1.2.4 - Alternative Storage Options – There are other options such as opportunities for direct economic use of the capture CO$_2$, but they are very limited (Wang et al., 2013). Carbon dioxide could be used as inorganic carbonates or as a feedstock for plastics, and new discoveries in chemistry and bioprocessing could lead to additional uses (Anderson and Newell, 2004). Although, the market for these uses is potentially accessible, they remain relatively small compared to the large quantities of CO$_2$ that would become available upon the nationwide application of CCS (Anderson and Newell, 2004). The conversion of CO$_2$ for the most part is energy intensive, and in most cases will generate more net CO$_2$ emissions than would be released absent conversion (Anderson and Newell, 2004). Additionally, CCS is already commercially available for large point sources of CO$_2$ emitters, such as power plants (Kirchsteiger, 2008). Therefore, the conversion of CO$_2$ would, in principal, defeat the purpose for an alternative solution of CCS.

Alternative underground injection procedures also provide appropriate operational lessons and experience (Anderson and Newell, 2004). Notably, the deep injection of liquid wastes and acid gas disposal (mixtures of CO$_2$ and H$_2$S) have been administered on the megaton scale (McKibben, 2007).

1.2.5 - Pilot, Demonstration, and Commercial Projects – Several pilot, demonstration, and commercial projects have been deployed and provided some insight
concerning geological storage in deep saline aquifers (McKibben, 2007). Saline aquifers, depleted hydrogen reservoirs, and un-mineable coal seams are the three major geological storage options of carbon dioxide, but deep saline aquifers have the highest potential capacity globally for CO$_2$ storage (Michael et al., 2010). Pilot projects have been demonstrated in Frio, Texas; Nagaoka, Japan; and Ketzin, Germany (Michael et al., 2010). The purpose of these pilot projects was to research testing of injection and monitoring technology (Michael et al., 2010). In Decatur, Illinois and Cranfield, Mississippi demonstration projects have been implemented for the sole purpose of analyzing the verification of CCS technology and safety levels at commercial injection rates (Michael et al., 2010). Commercial projects, solely for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from stationary industrial CO$_2$ sources, are underway at Gorgon, Australia; Sleipner, Norway; and Snøhvit, Norway (Michael et al., 2010).

Although this technology is underway and poses environmental benefits, there are potential risk factors that are associated with the transport of carbon dioxide and storage of carbon dioxide.

**1.2.6 - Risk Factors - 1.2.6.1 - Transport Issues** - When pipelines will be in densely populated areas and the important elements that will help to prevent adverse effects of uncontrollable CO$_2$ leakage are:

- Ensure a way to dry the CO$_2$ to prevent corrosion (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013) Avoid elastomeric seals in the CO$_2$ installation because CO$_2$ cannot dissolve such materials (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013)
- Make sure compressors and pumps consists of proper lubricants to
withstand carbon dioxide (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013)

- Account for the possibility of crack propagation in the pipeline at the designing stage and brittle cracking (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013)
- Correct placement of safety valves together with automatic gas leak detection systems (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013)
- Use of a carbon dioxide odorization (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013)

The most important element that helps aid in the reverse effects of uncontrollable CO$_2$ leakage is the determination of the hazard zones around the pipeline; this will be based on the likely concentration of carbon dioxide (Rusin and Stolecka, 2013).

1.2.6.2 - Reservoir issues – There are two different types of risks due to leakage from storage of CO$_2$ in geological reservoirs: global risks and local risks (Michael et al., 2010). Global risks include the release of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere that may contribute significantly to climate change once leaked (McKibben, 2007). Additionally, local risks involve possible local hazards for humans ecosystems and groundwater if CO$_2$ leaks out of a storage formation and produces quantifiable effects (McKibben, 2007). Local risks depend on three things: volume, rate, and surface topography (Wilson et al., 2003).

It is difficult to calculate the available capacity in a sedimentary rock that has a specific volume at a given depth-pressure-temperature for a specific region (Wilson et al., 2003). The nature of geological settings, rock characteristics, and reservoir performance combine to make some estimates unreliable when they are made with methodologies that generalize the inputs for evaluating potential storage capacity.
Capacity estimates made by scientists are lacking (Bradshaw et al., 2007):

- Coherent and explicit definitions (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Acknowledged and legitimate use of different scales and assessments (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Acknowledgment of time scales and the effectiveness of various trapping methods (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Consistent guidelines and techniques for capacity estimations (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Appropriate documentation with reference to data, constraints, and techniques (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Appropriate reporting methods and practices (Bachu et al., 2007)
- Recognition of that with each storage option, estimates of capacity changes as technology advances and economic conditions change (Bachu et al., 2007)

A key concern for geologic CO$_2$ sequestration (GCS) in saline formations is the likelihood for CO$_2$ leakage through a penetrable or porous fault (Lu et al., 2012). A few things can occur when storage capacity is limited and the storage formations are filled up with saline water. The increased reservoir pressure activates mechanical stresses and deformations around and in the injection site; if this pressure becomes too extravagant, then this will result in new fractures or reactivation old ones (Bachu et al., 2007). Consequently, the pressure buildup caused by the injection of CO$_2$ within saline
formation needs to be alleviated (Rutqvist et al., 2008). The extra pressure can be alleviated through the expansion of reservoir pore space and compression of fluid, or if reservoir boundaries are open, by pressure-driven migration of native brines into neighboring formations (Birkholzer et al., 2012).

As a result of brines being more dense than CO₂, the intended storage reservoir should be overlain by low-permeability caprock formations that are able to adequately restrict upward buoyancy-driven flow of the injected CO₂; depending on the composition, this will force lateral movement, creating a larger CO₂ plume than that of an neutrally buoyant fluid (Birkholzer et al., 2012). The strong buoyancy of CO₂ added to the lengthy time scales appropriate for geological sequestration are challenging issues (Wilson et al., 2003). Therefore, if caprock layers are discontinuous and dissimilar, they will contain deficiencies [for example fracture zones or faults] leading to a bigger problem and possibly causing permeable conduits for CO₂ migration towards the surface (Wilson et al., 2003). There is also the potential for induced seismicity due to undetected faults and pressure build up inside the reservoir (Rutqvist et al., 2008). Earthquake faults can allow gas to escape towards the ground surface (Mazzoldi et al., 2012).

Experiments are being performed to study reservoir performance under different conditions. For example, an experiment was done to investigate the evolution of a fractured carbonate cap rock during the flow of CO₂ acidified brine (Brian Ellis et al., 2011, 248). The objective of this experiment was not only to understand how complex interplay of CO₂-water-rock interactions and fluid transport will impact fracture evolution, but also to aid in the prediction of the type of environment needed for long-term sealing
integrity (Ellis et al., 2011).

The experiments results showed that for a carbonate caprock significant fracture erosion is possible when CO$_2$-acidified brine is able to flow continuously through the fracture; with respect to geological sequestration, this would increase the chances of leakage carbon dioxide through the caprock (Cappa and Rutqvist, 2011). Predicting the amount of time or impact on fracture permeability is difficult due to the fact that fracture geometry can evolve under reactive flow conditions (Ellis et al., 2011). This is just an example of one of the many experiments that place emphasis on the vulnerability of carbonate formations as caprocks and the need to carefully evaluate their sustainability (Ellis et al., 2011).

Predicting the capacity or lifetime of CO$_2$ in these geological reservoirs is not the only issue, but the bigger problem lies in the uncertainty about the political and regulatory goals of geological storage (Ellis et al., 2011). For example, what should the median lifetime of stored CO$_2$ be set at or what fraction of early failures are we willing to accept (Wilson et al., 2003)? Some leaks will be unavoidable upon deployment of CCS on a large scale (Wilson et al., 2003), but even with this uncertainty there is a demand for the ability to monitor and verify the behavior of geologically stored CO$_2$ (Wilson et al., 2003).

1.2.6.3 - Monitoring – Despite the ongoing pilot demonstration and commercial projects allowing for more realistic data, there are limited data from past injection monitoring, which are needed for storage affirmation, model calibration and long-term assessment of monitoring strategies (Michael et al., 2010). If monitoring of these
projects is not executed properly, we will not be able limit or avoid further risks. Several ways to monitor CO₂ geological sequestration are through chemical tracers, isotopic ratios of CO₂, and geophysical monitoring; the simplest way to monitor movement of stored CO₂ is through pressure measurements at the surface or in situ downhole (Matthes, 2008). Every step of Carbon Capture and Storage is vital to the success of this technology as a whole and taking into account the amount of risks involved, it is appropriate to compare CCS to other alternative solutions.

1.2.7 - Alternative Solutions - Energy dependency, nationally, is one of the most important obstacles faced today and governments are being encouraged to develop game plans in various fields in order to reduce their external dependency (Rodrigues et al., 2013). A few other technological options consist of: lessening energy demand (either by developing more efficient energy conversion and/or utilization devices); decarbonizing energy supplies, or by increasing the use of nuclear energy and/or renewable energy sources; decreasing non-CO₂ greenhouse gases; and sequestering CO₂ through the enhancement of natural sinks by biological fixation; (White et al., 2003). Although there are other alternatives to CCS, “CCS in conjunction with other measures could significantly reduce the cost of achieving stabilization and would increase flexibility in achieving these reductions” (McKibben, 2007).

1.3 - Goals

There still remains limited knowledge and experience on geological storage, as demonstrated in the risks section 1.2.6.1. There is a need for more development and improved understanding of the requirements of sequestration activities, which is needed
to define the gap in permitting requirements for large-scale deployment of CCS (Litynski et al., 2008). These gaps of knowledge and different risks associated with the immaturity of geological storage, mentioned in section 1.2.6.2, illustrate why we need more in situ investigations. We utilize a synchrotron source and an externally pressure and temperature controlled microreactor for \textit{in situ}, high-energy x-ray diffraction investigations of reaction process between CO$_2$, brines, and minerals to further our knowledge on the subject. Using this technique we will learn about the mineral phases and their initial amounts, lending one puzzle piece in the big puzzle of reservoirs.

The goals of this development of the enhanced microreactor system are:

(i) To make the reactor chamber accessible through two points, so that any combination of gases, liquids or fluids can be injected and investigated.

(ii) To better control the flow and pressure of CO$_2$ through a supercritical fluid pump which will allow the cell to operate under well-controlled pressure and flow conditions.

(iii) To enable the use of a large variety of X-ray transparent windows, initially that of sintered polycrystalline diamond.

(iv) To exceed the capabilities of previously developed microreactor systems in achievable pressure and temperature. Our target was to allow investigation of reactions in the rock-brine-CO$_2$ system in the pressure temperature range of 400 bar and 600°C.

(v) To use X-ray diffraction to identify mineral phases and their initial amounts.

By improving the previous microreactor design of Diefenbacher (Diefenbacher et al.,
2005), we will be able to help further enable the studies of CCS for climate change mitigation.

CHAPTER 2. TECHNICAL SUMMARY

2.1 High Pressure - Temperature Cells:
In this section we give a brief overview of various high-pressure and temperature cells in order to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each cell and to make a comparison to the microreactor and delivery system we have designed and assembled.

2.1.1 - Hydrothermal Diamond Anvil Cells - Hydrothermal Diamond Anvil Cells are diamond anvil cells with a hydrothermal pressurizing system using aqueous fluids as the pressure medium (Bassett, 2009). These cells are similar to a microreactor, in that, they are appropriate for high pressure-temperature studies in solid-fluid or fluid-fluid systems (Burchard et al., 2003).

The most popular HDAC design presently in use is called the Bassett-type cell (Bassett et al., 1993). This cell design resembles that of a Merrill-Bassett diamond anvil cell (Bassett et al., 1993). A picture of the cell is shown above (e.g. see Fig. 2.1.1), and can be illustrated as two adjoining diamond anvils, mounted at their centers, on tungsten carbide (WC) seats in a vice comprised of two metal plates (Bassett et al., 1993).
Two metal plates are drawn together, in order to apply pressure to the sample between the diamond anvils, through the tightening of three screws (Burchard et al., 2003). The sample is easily x-ray and visually accessible through holes in the center of the plates (Bassett et al., 1993). In order to avoid the effect of thermal expansion, the belleville springs were aligned between the screw heads and the upper plate (Bassett et al., 1993). The Basset-type cell has attained pressures and temperatures of 2.5 GPa and 1200 °C (Bassett et al., 1993).

Since the Bassett-type cell, a new modified hydrothermal diamond anvil, designed by Burchard and Zaitsev and Maresch, has proven to be more successful and has reached pressure and temperature conditions exceeding 4.5 GPa and 1000°C (Burchard et al., 2003). Although the use of Hydrothermal DACs have proven to be successful in such studies, Hydrothermal DACs are limited very diminutive volumes, which convolutes accurate control of some critical experimental parameters (Burchard et al., 2003). Besides volume, the major issue lies in the fact that once the liquid sample has diminished, there is no replenishing it. If the sample is nonexistent, after the experiment is complete, then there is no way to assess the interactions between the rock-brine-CO₂.

2.2 Environmental Chamber A modified design and use of a high-pressure environmental stage, from former design by Koster (shown in figure 2.2.1) (Whitfield et al., 2008), was invented for high-temperature high-pressure (MPa) diffraction work conducive for those studying gas hydrates and materials processing (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). Unlike that of the Diamond Anvil Cell, this cell design is not limited to the
dilemma of weak reflections and powder averaging on laboratory diffractometers that are without two-dimensional detectors (Whitfield et al., 2008). This system was specifically used for the study of supercritical and subcritical CO$_2$ processing of bio-based polymers (Whitfield et al., 2008). In order to reach these supercritical conditions of CO$_2$, a maximum pressure of 12.4 MPa (1800 psi) was required (Whitfield et al., 2008). A line-focus X-ray tube and parafozing optics was most appropriate for the reflection geometry (Whitfield et al., 2008). A gas reservoir and transducer were used to balance and keep track of the gas pressure in the gas cell (Whitfield et al., 2008). The maximum pressure available in a commercial stage is 6 MPa and in consequence it was important to build a stage suited for a Bruker D8 diffractometer (Whitfield et al., 2008).
It is predicted that as CO$_2$ pressures continue to rise, during crystallization of the polymer, obtaining real time data will be difficult due to instantaneously rising gas density and beam attenuation (Whitfield et al., 2008). A major contribution to attenuation at higher pressures is due to the absorption of CO$_2$ (Whitfield et al., 2008). The structural grade of the beryllium windows (98% Be) also plays a small factor in the lower transmission (Whitfield et al., 2008). The effects of attenuation can be seen in Figure 2.2.2. Rapid data collection with a sufficient time resolution is affected owing to beam attenuation at high CO$_2$ pressures (Whitfield et al., 2008).

2.3 - Diefenbacher’s Cell Design

Diefenbacher’s microreactor design has been developed in order to bypass the difficulty of the reactant becoming completely consumed during the reaction in closed systems (Whitfield et al., 2008). A picture and diagram can be seen in Figure 2.3.1. It has the capability to monitor heterogeneous reactions, while providing spectroscopic and optical access to the sample chamber, allowing the investigation of fluid–fluid, solid–fluid, solid–gas and fluid–gas systems (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). Through the
use of single crystal moissanite (silicon carbide) windows, there is visual access to sample chamber, to allow for spectroscopic investigations such as x-ray diffraction, x-ray radiography, and more (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). The cell provides means to collect data continuously during both subcritical and supercritical ranges over a wide range of pressures and temperatures (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). These different ranges allow for in situ investigations of a wide variety of systems, including, mineralogical reactions, geochemical reactions, catalytic reaction processes, pharmaceutical materials processing, etc.

The cell uses a CO$_2$ pressure ballast as external activity control to broaden the capabilities available for in situ reaction (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). Using the CO$_2$ pressure ballast allows for total pressure to be fixed and the CO$_2$ to be constantly replenished (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). Although this is a great concept, the CO$_2$ pressure ballast is subject to pressure changes when the temperature changes (Diefenbacher et al., 2005). The pressure and temperature ranges of the cell are 310 bar and 400 °C (Diefenbacher et al., 2005).

2.4 - Our Modified Microreactor
A modified design, of Diefenbacher’s externally pressure and temperature-controlled microreactor, has been created to increase our ability to perform and investigate \textit{in situ} high-energy diffraction of any combination of gases or liquids. Several adjustments have been made to the previous cell design. The reactor chamber is accessible through two points instead of one, whereby any combination of gases, liquids or fluids can be injected and investigated. The CO$_2$ flow and pressure will be controlled through a supercritical fluid pump, which allows the cell to operate under a well-controlled pressure and flow conditions. In Diefenbacher’s design, a CO$_2$ ballast tank was used as the external pressure control of the system. The disadvantage of using a CO$_2$ ballast tank to control the pressure is the system’s pressure has a tendency to fluctuate once the temperature changes. Another beneficial change is sintered polycrystalline diamond will be initially employed as X-ray transparent window material instead of the silicon carbide. Sintered polycrystalline diamond is as hard or harder than a single-crystal diamond (Diefenbacher et al., 2005), with a hardness of 10 (Irifune et al., 2003). Crystal Moissanite, when sold as an abrasive has a hardness of 9.5 and is the most common
out of the natural carbides (Hock, 2009). Moissanite is not cost efficient and has a higher absorption than the sintered polycrystalline diamond. The modified cell design can be seen in Figure 2.4.1, above. We expect to reach pressure and temperature ranges of 400 bar and 600°C.

2.4.1 - The reactor - The reactor, the core of the microreactor, is comprised of Hastelloy B-3. We use this material because it is highly resistive to heat and corrosion. The toughness of this material was shown through the machining. It was very difficult to cut through the Hastelloy B-3. The reactor is a cylindrical shape, with an inlet and outlet for connection to the external pressure system. The interior of the core has a 6 mm diameter and a path length of 23 mm, which yields approximately 650 μl of internal volume. The sample volume is greater than the Diefenbacher cell, which was 100 μl. The ends of the cylindrical core are cut to a depth of 0.5 mm and 10 mm recesses to accommodate the sintered polycrystalline windows, which have a 9 mm diameter. The sintered polycrystalline windows are very appropriate for synchrotron x-ray diffraction studies because optically transparent. The windows are interchangeable, ranging from 1 mm thickness to 3 mm. The

(Fig. 2.4.2) AutoCAD drawing displaying the inlets and outlets of the microreactor, the thermocouple hole (in red), the three pin holes (smaller size cylinders that do not cross the top of the frame), and placement of the three screws (medium sized cylinder that extend to the top of the frame).
thinner windows will support less pressure in the reactor, but the thinner the widows are the higher the beam transmission of the x-ray. Thus, this will provide a stronger signal for the studies of x-ray diffraction.

Using the preceding information we calculated the amount of stored energy as an appropriate hazard criterion. This value was calculated using the equation for frictionless adiabatic flow of an ideal gas:

\[
U = 101.3 \frac{(p_s V)}{(\gamma - 1)} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{p_a}{p_s} \right)^{\gamma-1} \right]
\]

Where \( U \) is stored energy; \( P_a \) is the absolute pressure (\( \approx 103 \) atm); \( P_s \) is the absolute pressure to which the vessel would drop if it burst (\( \approx 394.77 \) atm); \( V \) is the volume of the vessel (\( \approx 650 \) µl); \( \gamma \) is the adiabatic exponent for that of a diatomic gas (\( \gamma = 1.4 \)).

The level or risk or threshold value of this pressurized system is \( E = 53.27 \) J. This value is calculated and must comply with the guidelines set forth by national, local laws, and national laboratories, in this case Brookhaven National Lab, before the operation or testing of this pressurized vessel (Hazen et al., 2013). This microreactor was constructed and assembled at Brookhaven National Laboratory in the National Synchrotron Light Source. Thus, there were minimum safety requirements concerning the planning, procuring, identifying, handling, transporting, installing, using, storing, and disposing of compressed gas cylinders and related equipment (BNL).

2.4.2 - The frames - The microreactor incorporates the use of three guideposts and three pinholes containing pins, for the alignment of the core and frames. Furthermore, these three guideposts are used to help manage assuring seal, similar to that of the diamond anvil cell. Gold (Au) gaskets are used for the windows because of its stable
nature over a wide range of temperatures and pressures and is soft, allowing
deformation and therefore a good seal (BNL). The gasketing of windows and the faces
of the frame provide enough support to the windows, to hold them snuggly in place. X-
ray access is maintained through the angled inserts on the outside faces of the frame.
The material of frames is Vascomax C-300, which is a nickel-based alloy. An AutoCAD
drawing of the microreactor is shown in Figure 2.4.2.

2.4.3 - Delivery system - The external pressure system is controlled through the
use of a Supercritical Fluid pump (SFT-10) manufactured by SUPERCRITICAL FLUID
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. This dual pump is used because it provides constant flow of
carbon dioxide and constant pressure throughout the microreactor system. The flow
rate can be set in 0.01 mL/min increments from 0.00 to 24.0 mL/min. The constant
pressure is reliable from 0 to 10,000 psi in 10 psi increments. High-pressure fluids and
gases will flow through 316 stainless steel capillary tubing. Stainless steel valves and
fittings are used throughout to ensure that the system is applicable for high-pressure
performance and a corrosive environment. Pressure Inducers are used to help monitor
the pressure ranges of the experiments. Figure 2.4.3 shows a three-way valve,
positioned before the microreactor, which can be used as another access port into the
microreactor. A syringe is situated on the opposite side of the system from the SFT-10
pump, for fluid injection. The syringe will contain brine. Relief valves have been placed
in between the two pumps and cell to keep the system from over pressurizing. A rupture
disc is positioned on the same side as the SFT-10 pump and in between the relief valve
and the cell in order to protect the cell from being damaged if the system over
pressurizes.
CHAPTER 3. SUMMARY

3.1 - Summary and Outlook

The work outlined in this thesis enables further experimental study of geological storage options of carbon capture and storage. Different processes, such as the capture of CO₂ for geological sequestration, enhanced coal bed methane production, or enhanced oil recovery are not yet technologically mature to implement nationally (Figueroa et al., 2008). Therefore, it is important to improve instruments and techniques that allow for the investigation CO₂ capture and storage technology.

Our new microreactor was designed in order to make improvements upon previous
cell design and delivery system by Diefenbacher, by changing the windows that were used, the type of CO₂ pump used, and by designing an inlet and outlet of the cell. We hope these amendments make for a new and improved microreactor and delivery system. The final steps will be to design and build a copper block for heater, assemble the delivery system, and commissioning of the system.

The copper block is just one part of the whole heating system. It will be used to enclose the heater and the cell. It is necessary to design and build the heater in a way that will not exclude any part of the X-ray beam. Therefore the bevel openings (on the copper block) that overlie the faces of the cell will need to be larger than the bevels openings on the cell itself. Assembly of the delivery system includes the fulfillment of the required safety documentation that will incorporate safety testing of the cell and other instruments incorporated in the delivery system. This documentation can be found on Brookhaven National Lab’s website at www.sbms.bnl.gov/committee.cfm (BNL) and can be found in Subject Areas under Pressure Safety. The previous steps are done in order to satisfy all guidelines set forth by the government and Brookhaven National Laboratory and to ensure a safe environment when running experiments with the microreactor. Assembly of the delivery system is the most critical step before commissioning of the system and must be completed before we can begin the final step.
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# Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parts Name</th>
<th>Part Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seamless Tubing</td>
<td>SS-T1-S-020-6ME</td>
<td>316/316L SS Seamless Tubing, 1/16 in. OD x 0.02 in.</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Way Medium-Pressure Ball Valve</td>
<td>SS-6FKBF4-H</td>
<td>316 SS 3-Piece 2-Way Medium-Pressure Ball Valve, 1.3 Cv, 1/4 in. Female NPT, HNBR O-rings</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seamless Tubing</td>
<td>SS-T4-S-035-20</td>
<td>316/316L SS Seamless Tubing, 1/4 in. OD x 0.035 in. Wall x 20 Feet</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Male Elbow</td>
<td>SS-400-2-4</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Male Elbow, 1/4 in. Tube OD x 1/4 in. Male NPT</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Gauge</td>
<td>PGI-63B-PG5000-CAQX</td>
<td>Industrial Pressure Gauge, Bayonet Ring, 63 mm, 0 to 5000 psi, bar secondary, Center-Back Mount, 1/4 in. Tube Adapter</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Way Ball Valve</td>
<td>SS-42GXS4</td>
<td>SS 1-Piece 40 Series 3-Way Ball Valve, 0.35 Cv, 1/4 in. Swagelok Tube Fitting</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Reducing Union</td>
<td>SS-400-6-1</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Reducing Union, 1/4 in. x 1/16</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series Ball Valve</td>
<td>SS-41GS1</td>
<td>SS 1-Piece 40G Series Ball Valve, 0.1 Cv, 1/16 in. Swagelok Tube Fitting</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Union</td>
<td>SS-100-6</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Union, 1/16 in. Tube OD</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture Disk Tee</td>
<td>SS-RTM-F4-2</td>
<td>316L Stainless Steel Rupture Disk Tee, 1/4 in. Male NPT x 1/4 in. Female NPT, 2850 psig (196 bar) Rupture Disc</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief Valve</td>
<td>SS-4R3A-KZ</td>
<td>SS High-Pressure Proportional Relief Valve, 1/4 in. Swagelok Tube Fitting, Kalrez Seal</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Male Connector</td>
<td>M-400-1-4</td>
<td>Alloy 400/R-405 Swagelok Tube Fitting, Male Connector, 1/4 in. Tube OD x 1/4 in. Male NPT</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Male Connector</td>
<td>SS-100-1-4</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Male Connector, 1/16 in. Tube OD x 1/4 in. Male NPT</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Female Connector</td>
<td>SS-400-7-4</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Female Connector, 1/4 in. Tube OD x 1/4 in. Female NPT</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Fitting, Male Connector</td>
<td>SS-100-1-2RT</td>
<td>SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Male Connector 1/16 in. Tube OD X 1/8 in. Male ISO Tapered Thread</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>PCD Poly Cylinder Htc: 1.00 X: 9.00</td>
<td>Almax Industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heater</td>
<td>PM6C1CH-3AAAAAAA</td>
<td>Watlow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>VGG8</td>
<td>Scientific Instrument Services, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supercritical Fluid Pump</td>
<td>SFT-10</td>
<td>Supercritical Fluid Technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gold gasket-6.95mm l.D. x0.020”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant Flow/Constant Pressure. Pressure ranges 0 to 10000 psi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>